
From: Richard Warner [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: 12 March 2013 13:16
To: Sue Jackson
Subject: SJ re: sequential site report

Thanks Sue

As I explained at the meeting, I am aware that the report falls short of what would be required for a full planning application. It was drafted by me to provide a discussion point and in that it has succeeded. A full report would of course be provided by a professional planning consultant

However, as I think I mentioned at the last meeting, until we have a clear steer on any available site which has half a chance of being generally regarded as suitable, Realise Health will not be spending any additional resource beyond my time

I am very grateful nevertheless for your comments which I shall pass on to the professional design team when the Doctors have decided which site they wish to pursue. I keep in close touch with the Practice and I am aware various discussions are continually taking place so let's hope for encouraging news soon

With best wishes

Richard

From: Sue Jackson [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: 12 March 2013 12:12
To: Richard Warner
Subject: sequential site report

08/04/2013

Dear Richard,

At the last meeting I agreed to consult the policy team on the sequential site report and they have commented as follows

“the information supplied could usefully be supplemented with more specific information on criteria for sites and environmental constraints on available sites, including flood risk, topography/landscape, archaeology/historic environment, highways/transport and ecology”.

I consider the report could set out at the beginning the specific requirements for a site i.e. size including parking provision, accessibility and location; and also work up the information about each site looked at.

Kind Regards

Sue Jackson
Principal Planning Officer
Planning Projects Team
Environmental and Protective Services
Colchester Borough Council
33 Sheepen Road
Colchester
CO3 3WG

email [REDACTED]
telephone [REDACTED]
fax [REDACTED]

Post Point 8