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## HS2

Phase 2a - Whitmore Heath to Madeley: Proposed Scheme \& the Twin Bored Single Tunnel

## April 2018

## Proposed Scheme \& the Twin Bored Single Tunnel Option - cost comparison

| Item | Proposed Scheme (£ million) | The Twin Bored Single Tunnel (£ million) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tunnels | 182.02 | 369.38 |
| Civil engineering (excluding earthworks) | 144.52 | 50.55 |
| Rail systems | 69.66 | 103.55 |
| Indirect costs | 65.37 | 84.92 |
| Sub-total: Construction \& Indirect costs: | 461.57 | 608.39 |
| Sub-total difference: |  | 146.82 |
| Earthworks (reduction against Proposed Scheme) |  | -8.82 |
| Land \& Property (reduction against Proposed Scheme) |  | -15.94 |
| Efficiency adjustment |  | 5.38 |
| Avoided design improvements required for Proposed Scheme |  | -1.20 |
| Total Difference: |  | 126.24 |
| Contingency (40\%) |  | 50.50 |
| Total cost difference from Proposed Scheme: |  | 176.74 |

## Proposed Scheme \& the Twin Bored Single Tunnel - cost comparison notes

1. Tunnel costs include bored tunnels, cross passages, cut \& cover tunnels, portals and shafts. The tunnel advancement rate assumed is 90 metres per week.
2. Civil engineering costs include bridges, viaducts \& other structures, roads and utility diversions.
3. Indirect Costs include HS2 corporate costs, project management, design development \& insurances. They are calculated on a $\%$ basis.
4. Earthworks costs represent the cost saving in adopting the single tunnel. The earthworks model considers re-use of excavated material, processing requirements, mass haul, disposal etc. These costs cannot be isolated for a specific section of route.
5. The cost shown for Land \& Property illustrates the saving associated with avoided purchases from adopting the Single Tunnel Scheme.
6. The Efficiency Adjustment represents expected opportunity cost savings associated with rail systems and indirect costs and the loss of efficiency opportunity associated with the reduced civil engineering cost.
7. Contingency $(40 \%)$ is consistent with the Proposed Scheme and is applied to the Total Difference.
8. All costs are stated at base date 1Q 2015.

## General Arrangements

|  | Proposed Scheme | The Twin Bored Single Tunnel Option |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Arrangements | - Whitmore Heath Tunnel: 690 m long twin bore tunnel/ 238 m long cut and cover tunnel $/ 150 \mathrm{~m}$ long porous portals; <br> - Madeley Tunnel: 670 m long twin bore tunnel/ 150 m long porous portals; <br> - A retaining wall 495 m long; <br> - Manor Road overbridge; <br> - 785 m River Lea Valley viaduct; <br> - Realignment of the A53 and A525; <br> - Stopping up of Snape Hall Road. | - $6,400 \mathrm{~m}$ long twin bore tunnel/ 150 m long porous portals; <br> - 2 ventilation and intervention shafts and associated head houses and access roads. |

## Construction Effects - slide 1 of 2

|  | Proposed Scheme | The Twin Bored Single Tunnel Option |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method and Duration | - Whitmore Heath tunnel assumed to be bored by TBM from the Whitmore Heath southern portal. The TBM will break through at the north portal where it will be dismantled and returned to the southern end to drive the second bore; <br> - Madeley tunnel assumed to be bored by TBM from the Madeley Tunnel southern portal. The TBM will break through at the north portal where it will be dismantled and returned to the southern end to drive the second bore. <br> - Work assumed to commence in Q4 2020 and end Q1 2025 , with a duration of 4 years and 6 months. | - Twin bores of the single tunnel will be driven by 2 TBMs from the Whitmore Heath southern portal. <br> - Work assumed to commence in Q4 2020 and end Q1 2025, with a duration of 4 years and 6 months. |
| Construction Logistics - Worksites |  |  |
| Main Tunnel Drive | 2 | 1 |
| Vent Shafts | 0 | 2 |

## Construction Effects - slide 2 of 2

|  | Proposed Scheme | The Twin Bored Single Tunnel Option |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | - Local diversions of telecommunications assets. | • No diversions required. |
| Excavated <br> Materials | $1,060,000 \mathrm{~m} 3$ | $1,280,000 \mathrm{~m} 3$ |
| Surplus Material | 0 | $680,000 \mathrm{~m} 3$ |

## Environmental Impacts Comparison

|  | Proposed Scheme | The Twin Bored Single Tunnel Option |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airborne noise/dwellings potentially <br> eligible for noise insulation | 6 | 1 |  |
| Ground-borne noise/dwellings <br> potentially eligible for further <br> mitigation | 3 | 0 |  |
| Loss of woodland Habitat/Ancient <br> Woodland |  |  |  |
| Listed buildings indirectly affected | $33.2 \mathrm{ha} / 6.7 \mathrm{ha}$ |  |  |
| Public Rights of Way stopped up or <br> diverted | 6 | $13.6 \mathrm{ha} / 0.3 \mathrm{ha}$ |  |
| Permanent loss of agricultural land | 11 | 1 |  |
| Farm holdings permanently affected | 190 ha | 5 | 4 |

## Construction Impacts Comparison

|  |  | Proposed Scheme | The Twin Bored Single Tunnel Option |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Southwards extension of Whitmore Heath Tunnel

Southwards extension of Whitmore Heath Tunnel would;

- Remove need for cut and cover section;
- Remove need for temporary diversion of A53 Newcastle Road;
- Avoid significant groundborne noise effects to 3 dwellings at Whitmore Heath;
- Reduce visual impact at Meece Brook Viaduct and Meece Embankment;
- Reduce impact on Whitmore Wood by 0.5ha;
- Simplify construction by removing need to reinstate A53 over tunnel portal;
- Reduce the cost of the Phase 2 a project by approximately $£ 12.8$ m.


## River Lea Viaduct

During detailed design, it would be possible to lower the River Lea viaduct by up to 3.4 m , at its highest point, and by an average of 1.5 m . This can be achieved without affecting the operation of the West Coast Mainline. This change could result in a potential cost saving of approximately $£ 1$ million.

Any further lowering of the River Lea viaduct (of up to 1.5 m ) would require works to the West Coast Mainline, involve additional disruption to services and involve significant additional cost of approximately $£ 5.5 \mathrm{~m}$.

## River Lea Viaduct



## Key Design Elements - engagement

The nominated undertaker will carry out community engagement on the detailed design of key design elements. Such community engagement is likely to be directed at the members of the public in the area where each key design element is located. The exact scope and nature of public engagement will depend on the key design element in question.

The following are key design elements:

- Whitmore Heath Tunnel - South Portal;
- Whitmore Heath Tunnel - North Portal;
- River Lea Viaduct;
- Madeley Tunnel South Portal; and
- Madeley Tunnel North Portal.


## Design - Engagement






The Proposed Scheme


Twin Bored Single Tunnel Option



## Twin Bored Single Tunnel Option - Construction Comparison
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