

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@hertscc.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 November 2010 17:30
To: [REDACTED] Ruth Gray
Cc: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: St Albans Schools issues meeting required

Ruth,

please accept this e-mail as commissioning module 6.

[REDACTED] - my vote is that we keep whatever needs to be done in advance of the meeting to something very simple, my time is similarly going to be very limited taking into account the need to cover waste representations, and reps for Dacorum's Core Strategy by 13th and 15th December respectively.

Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Senior Planning Officer
Hertfordshire Property

CHO 315

Tel 01992 [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 November 2010 17:24
To: [REDACTED] ruth.gray [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: St Albans Schools issues meeting required

[REDACTED]/Ruth,

Thanks both - please proceed with module 6.

I agree with the timetable set out, but need people to be aware that I [REDACTED]. As such, it would be preferable for me to meet on 19 Nov and then a view will need to be taken as to what prep needs to be undertaken by whom prior to the meeting (i.e. anything from me will be that day, or will have to wait until 29 Nov). Sorry, I know this is bad timing - but when is it good timing at the moment?

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 November 2010 14:49
To: ruth.gray [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St Albans Schools issues meeting required
Importance: High

Ruth/ [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] first four paras for a bit of context following on from a conversation I had with Ruth regarding the meeting we have at SADC on the 30th)

firstly Ruth, thank you for setting things out in connection with the meeting that we are having with St Albans on 30th Nov.

As we discussed yesterday afternoon, (when I set out the timetable associated with the work which has been carried out on Primary School place planning), I suspect that [REDACTED] will not want us to talk explicitly about the recommended permutation of school expansions contained in her business justification papers, as these will not have been considered by the Education Panel until 16th December.

I would certainly anticipate that it is unlikely that [REDACTED] is going to want or accept release of extracts of [REDACTED] business justification papers in advance of that being cleared with the appropriate politicians/panels here - but that is something which we would need to discuss further at a meeting between us, in advance of meeting SADC.

Also, as observed, I am conscious of the fact that the SADC consultation on the next stage of the Core Strategy is not out to consultation yet, and I had always anticipated that we would use the business justification information and the update of the Meeting the Rising demand document to inform further representations to St Albans in relation to their Core Strategy when the consultation associated with that document is launched. Similarly, I would expect that, subject to CSF's agreement, the secondary feasibility work will also be submitted formally as part of that consultation - but we will need to talk about that in due course and as you note, before we meet with SADC.

Module 6 of the V and G Workplan dated 5th August 2010.

I have noted that you have not yet received confirmation of your instructions in relation to Module 6 of the work plan Ruth. (Modules 4 and 7 were commissioned on the 4th October [REDACTED]).

[REDACTED] - please can you confirm that you are happy for me to commission V and G in relation to module 6 of the work plan (attached once again for your records), this module effectively covers production of the report which will form the representations to SADC in due course.

thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Senior Planning Officer
Hertfordshire Property

CHO 315

Tel 01992 [REDACTED]

From: Ruth Gray [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 05 November 2010 10:15
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: St Albans Schools issues meeting required
Importance: High

[REDACTED]

Thanks.

Our planning appraisals of the existing school sites are nearly completed now.

I have been in touch with Stomor and their highway appraisals (of the potential sites) are nearing completion and will be issued on Tuesday 9 November. We then need to feed that work into our report, complete our potential sites planning appraisals and write the final overall report.

We had said that we would meet [REDACTED] in early November to brief on the existing schools (highway appraisals having been completed). Since we are so close to completing the potential sites as well I think we should aim for just one meeting to cover everything and push the date back ensuring that we still hit the 30 November PPAP/City Forum meeting.

I would suggest the following timetable for the rest of the month/early December:

- Completion of V&G report in draft (existing and potential school sites): 19 November (issued to HCC)
- Meeting [REDACTED]/[REDACTED]/Dick/Pauline/Ruth: 22/23 November (to run through report and run through presentation logistics and requirements) (allow 2-3 hours)
- Preparation of presentation: 23-28 November (who leads on this?)
- Meeting SADC officers: 25/26 November (to run through draft report) (allow 3 hours)
- Meeting SADC PPAP/City Forum: 30 November (presentation)
- Finalisation of report and issue to SADC: 6 December (this fits with our earlier advice to [REDACTED])

If you are looking to run through a draft report on the Primaries on 25/26 we would need additional time.

I am around all day today if you want to discuss further.

I note that we have not yet been commissioned on Module 6 and we will need to begin this work next week if we are to hit the timescales above.

We will need an immediate instruction to proceed to manage these timescales.

Regards,

Ruth

Ruth Gray
Associate Director

Tel: 01438 316331

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Vincent and Gorbing Limited

The information in this e-mail (including any files transmitted with it) is confidential and may also be legally privileged. It is intended for the addressee only and access to it by others is unauthorised. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify us immediately, destroy any copies and delete it from your computer system. Copyright in this e-mail and any attachments will remain vested in us and will not be transferred to recipients.

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@hertsc.gov.uk]

Sent: 04 November 2010 15:41

To: [REDACTED] Ruth Gray; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: St Albans Schools issues meeting required

[REDACTED] - hello, thanks and noted.

[REDACTED]

please can you liaise ;

1. With Ruth Gray at V and G

tel 01438 316331

Ruth - looking at the dates being suggested by [REDACTED] below do you think that the secondary feasibility work will have reached a stage by which you'd be able to report back ?

2. **With [REDACTED] re [REDACTED] availability,** to fit with any dates suggested by Ruth above and

3. **[REDACTED] and My calendar,**

and

4. **go back to [REDACTED]** with some suggested dates for a meeting, here at C Hall, in the morning please with a 9.30am start

thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Senior Planning Officer
Hertfordshire Property

Telephone Number 01992 [REDACTED]

"[REDACTED]@stalbens.gov.uk>

To "[REDACTED]@hertsc.gov.uk>

cc "[REDACTED]@hertsc.gov.uk>

04/11/2010 15:17

Subject FW: STAGS - Letters of support

Hi [REDACTED]

FYI - copies of letters re STAGS. If you are interested, the agenda for the next PPAP on 10 Nov should be available on our website tomorrow. <http://stalbens.moderngov.co.uk/ieListMeetings.aspx?CId=149&Year=2010>. It should include a copy of the draft consultation pamphlet.

I am also looking to agree a meeting in early Nov to discuss your emerging conclusions from the schools studies prior to PPAP on 30 Nov.

We need to complete a report for PPAP and I am looking to see if we can meet in the next couple of weeks, ideally 10/11 Nov but if not then 15/16 Nov . We would prefer a morning meeting, if its not possible then afternoon with an early start, maybe 1.30pm or sooner.

Thanks



****Disclaimer****

The information in this message should be regarded as Private and is intended for the addressee only unless explicitly stated. If you have received this message in error it must be deleted and the sender notified.

The views expressed in this message are personal and not necessarily those of St Albans City and District Council unless explicitly stated. Please be aware that emails sent to or received from St Albans City and District Council may be intercepted and read by the council. Interception will only occur to ensure compliance with council policies or procedures or regulatory obligations, to prevent or deter crime, or for the purposes of essential maintenance or support of the email system.

****Disclaimer****

The information in this message should be regarded as confidential and is intended for the addressee only unless explicitly stated. If you have received this message in error it must be deleted and the sender notified. The views expressed in this message are personal and not necessarily those of Hertfordshire County Council unless explicitly stated. Please be aware that emails sent to or received from Hertfordshire County Council may be intercepted and read by the council. Interception will only occur to ensure compliance with council policies or procedures or regulatory obligations, to prevent or deter crime, or for the purposes of essential maintenance or support of the email system.