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Dear Mr McKenzie

## Lendal Bridge

I refer to your e mail dated $2^{\text {nd }}$ September 2014 in which you express your dissatisfaction with the Council's response to your earlier e mail of $29^{\text {th }}$ August.

The Lendal Bridge trial has ended, and therefore the Council is not pursuing a legal challenge in respect of the Traffic Penalty Tribunal decision regarding a specific number of appeals against PCNs issued on Lendal Bridge. It does not automatically follow that the Council had no legal power to issue any PCNs on Lendal Bridge.

The Council maintains that the reasoning and findings in the decision of the Traffic Penalty Tribunal regarding the status of the bus street and the lawful ability to enforce the Lendal Bridge Experimental Traffic Regulation Order(TRO) were flawed, and is still challenging this principal issue in relation to the Coppergate Traffic Regulation Order.

The Council is not therefore in unlawful possession of the Lendal Bridge penalty charges that motorists paid to the Council.

The Council is paying back those who appealed to the Traffic Penalty Tribunal, and is also using its discretion to pay back those who make it known to the Council that they now dispute their PCN, even if they did not appeal to the Traffic Penalty Tribunal at the time they incurred the penalty.

Continued...

I can only reiterate my earlier response to you regarding any penalty charge monies that are not disputed by an individual who incurred the charge, and confirm that the Council has not yet made a decision.

I consider that this adequately answers your question, and I therefore consider this line of correspondence to be now closed.

Yours sincerely

Ruth Stephenson
Major Transport Projects Manager

