

Reference	Date Due	First name	Surname	Summary	Date received	Date received by LFU	IPC	Notes	Applicant type	Date closed	Day closed	Outcome	Refused status	Exemption
RFI20121451	CLOSED 07/01/2013		[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	I ask the following question under the Freedom of Information Act: In the statement "our enforcement officers have access to hand-held detection devices capable of detecting a TV within 20 seconds" appearing on the BBC's "TV Licensing" web page referred to above, does the term "TV" refer to a "television receiver" or does it refer to a "television set" (as defined in the respective pieces of legislation referred to above)? If the term "TV" does not refer to either of these notions, please state what type of apparatus it does refer to. Please note I am not asking for information about when a television licence may or may not be required. I am simply asking about the use of this particular term in this particular piece of text. I would expect the answer to be clear and unambiguous.	05/12/2012	07/01/2013	NM	Request passed by IPC to TVL on 7/1/13. Final draft sent to IPC on 10/1/13.		14/01/2013	25	Information not held		
RFI20130003	CLOSED 30/01/2013		[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	I am looking to receive a FOI on your fleet of Detector Vans throughout the United Kingdom, including make, model and registration numbers and also what these vans are kitted out with. Please can you direct me to the right department	02/01/2013	04/01/2013	LQ	Final draft sent to IPC on 10/1/13		30/01/2013	20	All information withheld		s.31
RFI20130004	CLOSED 30/01/2013		[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	I am aware that a TV Licence is usually backdated to start immediately after the expiry date of the old Licence, even if the new Licence is purchased weeks or months later. By reference to policy documents, staff training manuals or similar, please can you tell me:- 1. What is the basis in law for backdating licences, or is it simply BBC policy? 2. What is the maximum period by which a licence would be backdated without the consent of the licence-fee payer? 3. Can a licence-fee payer object to their new licence being backdated (for example, on the basis that they did not use TV in the intervening period)? 4. Are the rules for backdating different, depending on the payment method? And if so, what are the differences?	02/01/2013	03/01/2013	TP	Final draft sent to IPC on 28/1/13		30/01/2013	20	All information disclosed		
RFI20130012	COMPLETED01/02/2013		[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	I understand that you are a corporation / company, you collect money via the means of a licence fee. Please can you inform me if the licence fee is legal or lawful, is it an act ect? Please can you inform me if a person or a human must pay this fee ?. I understand that acts ect only carry the weight of law if you consent to be governed ie: become a person / birth certificate and give up your rights as a human / living. I am looking into law - common law - law of land as opposed to civil law - law of the sea / contract / governed law. I hope you can help me with my research. Please can you send me a list of all private funding - gifts including the name of the persons or companies who contributed.	06/01/2013	07/01/2013	TP	Final draft sent to IPC on 10/1/13. Finance to provide IPC with response to request re: private funding. Chased IPC 07/02. This is still held up by Finance.		14/02/2013	29	All information disclosed		
RFI20130016	COMPLETED 18/02/2013		[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	Yet another license payer has been prosecuted on behalf of the BBC, it seem quite disturbing that the licensing authority is allowing Capita to abuse the court system in the way that it is doing. My questions are... 1: Did either the BBC or Capita present a statement under caution that broadcast was being received without a license. 2: As it is now blatantly obvious that the licensing authority prosecutor either lied under oath or did not check the details of the case being presented. Will the prosecutor be censured for presenting false evidence before a court. If you do not wish to go into detail regarding the 2 questions a simple yes or no answer will suffice. I look forward to receiving your reply	06/01/2013	07/01/2013	NM	IPC advised due date extended as a result of delay in clarification from requester. Sent to IPC on 15/2/13		18/02/2013	20	All information withheld		s.31 – law enforcement and s.40(2) - PD
RFI20130019	CLOSED 04/02/2013		[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	I appreciate that you are bound by confidentiality of the names of individuals and I am only requesting numbers, this quantative data request does not breach the act as it does not allude to 'personal' data, but to properties or dwellings. Having cross referenced and triangulated various requests to other bodies and from other concurrent investigations I have established that there are many hundreds of individuals and families living in Royal Palaces and Government buildings, and as you define quarters or lodgings as separate dwellings they are thus responsible for possessing a TV license and this is of public interest. Your criteria for licensing is that: “You must be covered by a valid TV Licence to watch or record television programmes as they're being shown on TV if you’re a tenant or lodger. This includes the use of devices such as a TV, computer, mobile phone, games console, digital box and DVD/VHS recorder. If you live in self contained accommodation such as a separate flat or annex, then you need your own TV Licence.” (Source – TV Licensing) I should like to know: Given that your crown immunity policy stipulates that the onus is on them to prove their exemptions. - Are you proactively making, and then following up on licensing requests to these premises, and how many requests were made in the last 2 years to how many 'properties' within the palaces/government residences? - How many exemption requests have you received in the past two years from these dwellings? The postcodes are: SW1A 1AA; PE35 6EN; SW1A 1BA; AB35 5TB; SL4 1NJ; EH8 8DX; EC3N 4AB; TW9 3AB; GL8 8PH; SW1A 1BS; SE1 7JU; TW9 1PQ; TW8 8JF; PH2 6BD; SW1A 0AA; SW1A 2AA; SW1A 2AB; SW1A 2DY	06/01/2013	08/01/2013	NM	Final draft sent to IPC on 30/1/13		01/02/2013	19	All information disclosed		
RFI20130025	CLOSED 04/02/2013		[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	It has been reported that BBC/TVL uses Recorded Mail to send letters to certain unlicensed addresses. By reference to BBC Policy documents, Staff training materials, emails and similar documentation, please can you tell me:- 1. How many items of recorded mail were sent to unlicensed addresses in each of the past 5 years. 2. What is the purpose of the use of recorded mail, and how does it relate to the detection of Licence Fee evasion? 3. What is the estimated additional cost of using recorded mail in these instances? 4. What cost-benefit assessment has been undertaken of this exercise? What was the outcome?	08/01/2013	09/01/2013	LQ	Final draft sent to IPC on 28/1/13		04/02/2013	20	All information disclosed		

RFI20130026	CLOSED 01/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>The text of a sworn oath of a BBC application for a search warrant has entered the public domain. An excerpt of the text of that statement relevant to this FOI request is reproduced below.</p> <p>"5. A television display generates light at specific frequencies. Some of that light escapes through windows usually after being reflected from one or more walls in the room in which the television is situated. The optical detector in the detector van uses a large lens to collect that light and focus it on to an especially sensitive device, which converts fluctuating light signals into electrical signals, which can be electronically analysed. If a receiver is being used to watch broadcast programmes then a positive reading is returned. The device gives a confidence factor in percentage terms, which is determined by the strength of the signal received by the detection equipment and confirms whether or not the source of the signal is a "possible broadcast""</p> <p>"6...When the detector camera was pointed at the window of the Premises a positive signal was received indicating a TV receiver was in use receiving a possible broadcast with a confidence factor of 97%."</p> <p>--Excerpt ends--</p> <p>The text in this statement makes plain that the TV detector does NOT produce with 100% confidence that its 'positive reading or signal' is indicative of a live TV broadcast being received, which is the legally licensable factor.</p> <p>Search warrants granted by Justices of the Peace and Magistrates are based on the information contained in these sworn statements. The execution of these warrants will inevitably impinge on the liberty of legally TV licence free households. It is also a criminal offence to make a false or misleading statement to a Justice of the Peace or Magistrate under oath.</p> <p>There is therefore a strong public interest in full transparency of the capabilities of the TV detection equipment and any "confidence factor" statistic figures quoted to Justices of the Peace and Magistrates under oath in order to obtain search warrants.</p> <p>For the avoidance of doubt I am not asking HOW the TV detectors work, but the provenance of the results that their detection parameters and/or statistics produce.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, please answer the following questions.</p> <p>Q1. Is the "confidence factor in percentage terms" actually output by the TV detector as described above? (Please answer Yes or No.)</p> <p>If the answer to Q1 is Yes:</p> <p>Q2a. What is the range of "confidence factor" percentages that the TV detector device can produce?</p> <p>Q2b. What is the MINIMUM confidence factor percentage that the BBC will quote to a Justice of the Peace or Magistrate in order to apply for a search warrant?</p> <p>If the answer to Q1 is No: Q2c. What is the source of the confidence factor that is quoted to Magistrates and Justices of the Peace? ("97%" in this example)</p> <p>Q3. How is the "confidence factor" percentage calculated?</p> <p>Q4. Please state concisely what the "confidence factor" percentage is a function of and what variables are used in its calculation?</p> <p>Q5. The statement says that the confidence factor in percentage terms in this particular case was "97%" of a "possible broadcast". Are there statistics produced which may be quoted to Justices of the Peace and Magistrates to assist them to decide whether or not to grant search warrants that would indicate what the confidence factor ACTUALLY is? If so, what are they and are they provided?</p> <p>Q6. In regard to the following excerpt sentence "If a receiver is being used to watch broadcast programmes then a positive reading is returned."; Are there other circumstances in which a "positive reading" or "positive signal" is also returned? If so, what are they? (for example playing a DVD or video game)</p> <p>Q7. Does the percentage "confidence factor" phrase referred to in paragraphs 5 and 6 refer to the output from the TV detector device in BOTH cases? Please answer Yes or No.</p> <p>Q8. Does the detector provide three (3) separate outputs indicating "Received Signal Strength", "Possible Broadcast" and "Confidence Factor Percentage"? If not, what are the outputs?</p> <p>Q9. Is the "confidence factor" solely a function of the "Received Signal Strength" and "Possible Broadcast" TV detector output parameters?</p> <p>Q10. Are Justices of the Peace or magistrates being made aware that the statistical "confidence factor" percentage of the TV detector may in fact be much LOWER if the "positive reading" were representing an ACTUAL (rather than 'possible') broadcast detected?</p> <p>Q11. Is the 97% "confidence factor" quoted in the statement above merely the 2012 published BARB statistic that "97% of UK households have a television"?</p> <p>Q12. Does the "TV Detector" exist at all? Please answer Yes or No.</p>	08/01/2013	08/01/2013	LQ	Fianl draft sent to IPC on 31/1		01/02/2013	20	All information withheld		s.31 - law enforcement
RFI20130060	CLOSED 08/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>This is a request made under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Please provide full and detailed information about how the BBC and each of the companies contracted under the "TV Licensing" trade mark identify, monitor and deal with opposition to the tv licence and the tv licence enforcement regime and the techniques used and bases in Law which are used to carry out such activities.</p>	14/01/2013	14/01/2013	TP	Draft approved by Sian & sent to IPC by LW 04/02.		07/02/2013	19	Information not held		
RFI20130063	CLOSED 08/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>Could you please state for the record if, when conducting a search, have the Enforcement Officers had any formal training in testing of televisions and if so what qualifications do they have.</p> <p>If, when testing a television, the said device malfunctions, who is responsible for the repair or replacement costs.</p>	11/01/2013	15/01/2013	LQ	Draft approved by Sian & sent to IPC by LW 04/02.		08/02/2013	20	Information not held		
RFI20130067	CLOSED 11/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>I'm doing a study on the TV licence fees and revenue generated.</p> <p>I have found the number of licences in force on your website (here).</p> <p>Could you please provide me with the breakdown of the licence by type for the years 2000-2012? E.g. How many homes, hotels, student accommodation, care homes, mobile sites, etc.</p>	14/01/2013	14/01/2013	NM	Data provided by Prox 06/03 - Data to be checked.Deadline extended to 18/2/13. Sent to IPC on 18/2/13		19/02/2013	26	Information partially disclosed		
RFI20130070	CLOSED 06/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>"I would therefore, hereby formally request you to please supply the figures for the number of claims submitted and the number of claims accepted during the last two tax years ending April 2012. Could you also please provide details of your organization, company registration with be fine."</p>	09/01/2013	14/01/2013	TP	Draft approved by Sian & sent to IPC by LW 04/02.		06/02/2013	20	All information disclosed		

RFI20130071	13/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	1. Did the housing authority Derwent and Solway from Cumbria supply you with their tenants details living in the properties known as Well Lane flats post code CA156AE during the months July 2012 to Jan 2013	16/01/2013	16/01/2013	NM	IPC contacted requester for further infromation. Revise due date when clarification received. Sent to IPC on 11/3/13.						
RFI20130074	COMPLETED12/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	Please would you state whether the BBC has held any held any contract with any of the following seven companies since 1st January 2007? Please could you also state whether any of these companies have been subcontracted by any third company acting on behalf of the BBC with the trading name of "TV Licensing" (if any part of that contract has been valid since 1st January 2007)? (1) Buckman Hardy Associates (2) Communisis (3) dB Broadcast (4) Face2Face Contact (5) G4S (6) Intrum Justitia (7) Verint (or Verint Systems or Verint Consulting) For each such contract please supply the start and end dates of the contract, a statement of the purpose of the contract, and the names of the companies which are, or were, party to the contract. Companies acting with the trading name of "TV Licensing" would of course include (but not be restricted to) Capita Business Services Limited, Proximity London Limited, or any of the various public relations companies which the BBC employs in regard to the collection of the television licence fee. In order that no part of this request should be viewed as a "repeat request", I note that I have previously been informed of the following contracts: August 2006 to November 2008: between the BBC and Face2Face Contact Limited "to visit unlicensed properties and to enquire whether a [television] licence was required". 11th February 2009 to 28th August 2009: between the BBC and dB Broadcast Limited for the "build and fit out of TV detection vans". 18th January 2010 to 17th January 2011: between Capita Business Services Limited (on behalf of the BBC) and G4S Limited for "data cleansing and door drop activity" in connection with television licence enforcement. Therefore, if the above information is correct, you need not repeat it in response to the current request. Nevertheless, I would expect to be told of any extensions of these contracts, or of any other contracts with these companies since 1st January 2007.	15/01/2013	16/01/2013	TP	Largely drafted, needs sign-off by Sian. Sent to IPC on 12/2/13		12/02/2013	20	Information partially disclosed		
RFI20130076	COMPLETED13/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	Could you please tell me how my search warrants have been applied for in the last 2 years in relation to license evasion and of these how many have been granted	16/01/2013	17/01/2013	TP	Draft approved by Sian. Sent to IPC 06/02. RFI20130076 (not logged) is from requester with same name and asking for 3yrs rather than 2. IPC to confirm it's the same person. Once confirmed, treat as one request and change this reference and details if necessary. Request for clarification sent on 30/1/13.		15/02/2013	22	Information partially disclosed		
RFI20130083	CLOSED 01/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	I wish to know whether the "Enquiry Officers" are employed directly by TV Licensing or are sub-contracted to external agencies. I wish to know which agencies. Please treat this as a formal Freedom of Information request	04/01/2013	17/01/2013	NM	Draft sent to IPC on 23/1/13		28/01/2013	16	All information disclosed		
RFI20130084	COMPLETED 14/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	1: The number of people who have withdrawn the implied rights of access to the BBC and all of its contractors, in particular Capita Business Services Limited (TV Licensing). 2: The number of WOIRA addresses that have been visited despite WOIRA being given. 3: During a visit by an enquiry officer, the number of people who refuse to give their details (eg: Name).	17/01/2013	18/01/2013	LQ	Draft approved by Sian. Sent to IPC 06/02		14/02/2013	20	All information disclosed		
RFI20130088	COMPLETED15/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	Please could you provide me with answers to the following questions: 1. How many License Fee payers are there in Wales? 2. What is the the license fee income from Wales? 3. How much of the BBC's annual income in the years 2011-12; 2010-11; 2009-10; 2008-09 came from commercial activities generated from BBC Wales & BBC Cymru? 4. What is the total cost of maintaing BBC services in Wales?	19/01/2013	21/01/2013	TP	Final draft sent to IPC on 30/1/13		15/02/2013	20	Information partially disclosed		
RFI20130093	COMPLETED 15/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	A Statement on Oath applying for a search warrant was placed in the public domain. This statement was sworn by a Chris Christophorou, (acting on behalf of the BBC and employed by the BBC's appointed agent Capita Business Services Ltd.) before a JP of Canterbury Magistrates' Court on 28 June 2011. A copy of the statement can be viewed here: http://tv-licensing.blogspot.co.uk/2011/07/lifting-lid-on-tv-licensings-pandoras.html?m=1 Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, please provide all BBC produced or held documents or information that mention or refer to this particular sworn statement (including any drafts of this statement and the final version) For the avoidance of doubt, this request includes all information held in BBC documents and emails including documents and emails held by any of the authorised agents, third-parties or sub-contractors acting for or on behalf of the BBC in connection with this sworn statement.	19/01/2013	22/01/2013	NM	Sent to IPC on 15/2/13		15/02/2013	20	All information withheld		s.42 - LPP
RFI20130094	COMPLETED15/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	Please provide copies of all BBC held/produced policy documentation about the processing of Freedom of Information Act requests. In particular I am seeking all information the BBC holds relating to the processing of TV licensing related Freedom of Information Act requests.	19/01/2013	21/01/2013	TP	Sent to IPC on 15/2/13		15/02/2013	20	All information disclosed		

RFI20130095	COMPLETED15/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>In RFI20121524, the BBC stated: "I can tell you that you do not need a TV licence if you only use a TV to listen to digital radio broadcasts and not to watch or record television programmes as they are being shown".</p> <p>By reference to policy documentation, staff training materials or similar, please can you tell me:-</p> <p>1. What process is used to distinguish Evaders' use of TVs from legitimate use of TVs (as per RFI20121524)? e.g. when a "Home visit" is undertaken, or during Search Warrant execution?</p> <p>2. Is a householder's word deemed (by you) to be sufficient to make this distinction - generally, or in the event of "Home visit" or Search Warrant execution?</p> <p>3. If a TV is capable of having channels deleted, is it a requirement of your policy that TV channels are deleted? e.g. in order to "pass inspection".</p> <p>4. If a TV is not capable of having channels deleted, is it still compatible with the information provided in RFI20121524?</p> <p>5. Do these principles apply to other items of equipment such as: VM, Sky, Freesat, Youview and Freeview set-top boxes, (in each case) with or without recording capability?</p> <p>6. Any other information that will help the public understand the links and differences between what is permitted without a licence and what would be checked in the case of "Home visit" and/or Search Warrant execution?</p>	19/01/2013	22/01/2013	TP	Sent to IPC on 15/2/13		15/02/2013	20	Information partially disclosed		
RFI20130096	COMPLETED15/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>Under the Freedom of Information act 2000, please disclose</p> <p>Full details of expenditure on TV Detector vehicles, in each of the last 10 years for which figures are available, as detailed below.</p> <p>I would like the response to include, for each year during the period:</p> <p>Overall expenditure on the vehicle fleet;</p> <p>The number and type of vehicles available to TV Licensing, the number and type purchased and disposed of and the amount paid and received in each case;</p> <p>the amount of money invested in research, technological development and equipment for the vehicles.</p> <p>I would prefer to receive the information in electronic format, to the enclosed email address. However, if it is more convenient, I would be happy to receive the information in hard copy format.</p>	19/01/2013	22/01/2013	NM	Sent to IPC on 15/2/13		15/02/2013	20	All information withheld	s.31 - law enforcement	
RFI20130097	COMPLETED15/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>Following on from the publication of search warrant documents at the following address</p> <p>http://tv-licensing.blogspot.co.uk/2011/07/lifting-lid-on-tv-licensings-pandoras.html?m=1</p> <p>Could you please state for the record, as the poster of these documents was found not guilty</p> <p>1: Will the employee of Capita (TV Licensing) who swore under oath of a possible broadcast reception, be disciplined for presenting knowingly fake evidence before a court.</p> <p>2: After reading the description of the detection method used are the BBC now willing to elaborate on how detection is achieved.</p> <p>3: If a "detection camera" see's specific light frequencies how does it differentiate between live broadcast, watching catch up, DVD playback or gaming console.</p>	19/01/2013	21/01/2013	LQ	Sent to IPC on 15/2/13		15/02/2013	20	All information withheld	s.31 - law enforcement	
RFI20130126	COMPLETED25/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>A, How many times in 2012 have you applied under Section 29(3) of the Data Protection Act to get information from Sky Broadcasting (BSKYB) relating to it's subscribers?</p> <p>B, How many of these above applications under Section 29(3) were granted?</p> <p>C, How many times during 2012 did BskyB actually disclosed customers details?</p>	28/01/2013	29/01/2013	NM	Sent to IPC on 21/2/13		21/02/2013	18	All information withheld		
RFI20130140	COMPLETED26/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>Dear British Broadcasting corporation,if has you say your independant from government,then were do you get the athuority from to force householders to pay.? and please define householder.?</p>	30/01/2013	31/01/2013	LQ	Sent to IPC on 21/2/13		22/02/2013	18	All information disclosed		
RFI20130147	COMPLETED26/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>1. How many paid for licenses were issued in 2010-2011.</p> <p>2. What were total annual costs of the TV Licensing Enforcement Section for the year 2010-2011.</p> <p>3. Have the BBC ever investigated the feasibility of using viewing cards as a method enforcing license payments.</p>	29/01/2013	29/01/2013	TP	Sent to IPC on 21/2/13		26/02/2013	20	All information disclosed		
RFI20130182	COMPLETED06/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>i am a university student and doing my final year project, and am looking for data on tv licencing.</p> <p>i have already seen the data on your site and was wondering if it is at all possible to get the numbers for just england not uk.</p> <p>that is how many licences have been issued each year?</p> <p>also if your data includes, can you give me the number of tv's sold each year in england. (cuz everytime i buy a tv i get a letter send to my house to buy a tv licence so i thought you may know)</p>	06/02/2013	07/01/2013	LQ	Sent to IPC on 27/2/13		06/03/2013	20	All information disclosed		

RFI20130186	08/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>I would like to request details of the provisions and revisions to the tv license conditions for BBC programmes being released on iPlayer *ahead* of real TV broadcasts.</p> <p>Currently people do not need a tv license to watch online streamed programs on any device *unless* they want to :-</p> <p>"watch TV online as it's being broadcast, you still need to be covered by a TV Licence."</p> <p>(also described here :- http://bit.ly/UOcITm)</p> <p>Please provide information held on/for :-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Changes to the conditions of the TV license to cover these 'broadcasts' - Any changes to the BBC definition of 'catch-up tv', 'on-demand tv', 'live broadcast' or 'broadcast'. - The BBC term by which these 'ahead of live' releases will be known. - Details of any planned changes to the BBC's TVL detection process + Planned or predicted reduction of TVL detector fleet planned percentage changes will suffice for year on year reductions. + Planned or predicted reduction of TVL detector staff numbers - planned percentage changes will suffice for year on year reductions. + Planned or predicted reduction of TVL 'investigation officer' numbers - planned percentage changes will suffice for year on year reductions. + Changes to the detection process - plans for ISP's to be requested to provided name and address details of their customers using IP addresses to stream programs released in this way + Changes to the BBC iPlayer servers logs of connections/users. + Changes to the use of BBC iPlayer servers logs of connections/users - is this planned to become evidence of the need for a tv license. If so what actions are planned to ensure that the user of an ip address really is the same as the ISP account holder (name and address) - Changes already documented and prepared for the website http://www.tvlicensing.co.uk, in particular the page http://www.tvlicensing.co.uk/check-if-you-need-one/topics/technology--devices-and-online-top8/ (or http://bit.ly/UOcITm) - Changes already documented and prepared of the 'No license needed policy' (current version : http://bit.ly/12bAOVF) 	09/02/2013	11/02/2013	NM	TVL contribution sent to IPC on 1/3/13						
RFI20130195	COMPLETED 12/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>Please disclose the amount of profit the BBC makes from prosecuting people for the offence of 'using a television without a licence'. I don't think the BBC would be prosecuting people at a loss or breaking even on this.</p> <p>Please also list each magistrates court the BBC uses for the prosecutions and please also disclose the costs of booking these courts for the prosecution hearings.</p> <p>What is the total cost to the BBC for procecuton hearings including legal professionals etc.</p> <p>Data for the last three years is sought but if retrieving this data exceeds the time allowed to spend on such requests please provide the information to fall within the terms of the FOI Act.</p>	13/02/2013	13/02/2013	LQ	Sent to IPC on 11//3/13		11/03/2013	19	Information partially disclosed		
RFI20130201	12/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>Please send me the figures for "No Licence Needed" for each of the past five years (see correspondence below with TV Licensing press office). Please also send me any emails or other records showing discussions about these figures, including discussions about whether they should be made public.</p>	12/02/2013	13/02/2013	NM	Sent to IPC on 7/3/13						
RFI20130211	13/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>The BBC has stated:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As search warrants are only issued by independent third parties (the magistrate or sheriff in Scotland) in accordance with strict legal requirements, the public interest in knowing that we are using our powers proportionately is satisfied. 2. TV Licensing does not prosecute except where it is in the public interest to do so which includes having sufficient evidence. This is a key principle of the Crown Prosecution Guidelines which we publicly state that we adhere to. Further, a magistrate would not allow a prosecution to take place if there was not sufficient evidence and public interest. <p>I have a number of questions relating to the clarification of the statements above. Please read the BBC statements above and with reference to them:-</p> <p>Define what exactly is 'the public interest'. Explain how it is in MY interest (I am the public) to prosecute a person for non payment of money to a third party using my money</p>	13/02/2013	14/02/2013	TP	Sent to IPC on 11//3/13						

			Define 'sufficient evidence' Define 'strict legal requirements' Define 'using our powers proportionately' Please be as precise as possible in your responses and relate your answers specifically and only to the statements quoted above from the BBC.											
RFI20130213	13/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	As the BBC is clearly a Corporation a contract and agreement of the parties is required before any business can take place. Charging a fee for any service is a contractual arrangement. If I choose not to contract with the BBC or their agents Capita what rules can force me to contract against my will? I am not referring to the telecommunications act but to any rules which compels me to consent to a contract or excuses the B B Corporation from being bound by contract law.	13/02/2013	14/02/2013	NM	Sent to IPC on 11//3/13							
RFI20130214	COMPLETED13/02/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	I ask if it was possible that one of your representatives was in the area and could have knocked at my door on 01/12/11?	16/01/2013	04/02/2013	TP	Request received by Capita on 16/1/13. Not passed to LFU until 4/2/13. Sent to IPC on 21/2/13.		27/02/2013	30	All information disclosed			
RFI20130228	15/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	Can you please tell me who is legally obliged to pay the tv licence fee.is it the person who owns the reciever or is it person who's name is on the licence fee,thank you,	18/02/2013	18/02/2013	LQ	Sent to IPC on 11//3/13							
RFI20130230	15/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	How much money do you spend each year to persecute people about a television's licence, and it results that they didn't possess a television?	18/02/2013	18/02/2013	LQ	Sent to IPC on 11//3/13							
RFI20130233	17/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	I refer to Freedom of Information request RFI20130094. Disclosure Document 2, released in response to the request, contains the instruction "Log request on TVLMT spreadsheet"; Under the Freedom of Information Act please provide the most up-to-date version of this spreadsheet. Disclosure Document 3 refers to: "Related documents - Complaint Codes - R139 Freedom of Information Checklist - R151 Freedom of Information presentation R341"; Again under the Freedom of Information Act please provide the most up-to-date versions of these related documents.	18/02/2013	19/02/2013	TP								
RFI20130257	18/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	Following on from the ultimate non-response to RFI20120981, I am re-raising and re-phrasing my questions. In each case, the questions relate to information held by on on behalf of the BBC, including staff training materials, policy documents, performance statistics, computer system designs, and any other relevant sources. 1. In November 2012, TVL sent a letter that included the text "you have not responded to our previous letters, so we have opened any investigation"; (a) Please can you tell me how many households received this letter from you at around this time (November 2012). (b) Please can you indicate the actual consequences for a citizen that occur when TVL "opens an investigation"; 2. In December 2012/January 2013, TVL sent a letter that included the text "you have left us no alternative but to proceed with the final stages of our investigation"; (a) Please can you tell me how many households received this letter from you at around this time. (b) Please can you confirm whether this "final stage" of investigation relates to the investigation opened in (1) above, and if so, the number of households that received letter (2) without receiving letter (1). (c) Please can you indicate the actual consequences for a citizen that occur when TVL embarks upon the "final stage" of an investigation. 3. In January/February 2013, TVL sent a letter that included the text "OFFICAL WARNING: WE HAVE OPENED AN INVESTIGATION"; (a) Please can you tell me how many households received this letter from you at around this time. (b) Please can you confirm whether this was a new investigation, or related in some way to that in (1) and/or (2), above. Please can you indicate the number of households that received all three letters. (c) Please can you indicate the actual consequences for a citizen that occur when TVL embarks upon a further investigation when the previous one has yet to be resolved. 4. Please can you confirm that at no stage over this period, did you send any letters to householders confirming the cessation of an investigation. 5. Please can you specify the "fair and lawful" purpose for which data is being processed in order to facilitate the sending of these letters. 6. Please can you explain BBC policy concerning the rights of data subjects in relation to data used to send these letters.	18/02/2013	19/02/2013	NM								

RFI20130261	19/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>I would like to know how many home visit checks are undertaken in regards to TV licencing.</p> <p>I would like figures for the amount of checks on addresses without a TV licence during the period of 1st of January 2012 to 1st of January 2013. Also the figures for checks on addresses that have notified that they do not need a TV licence for the same period.</p> <p>Similarly, I would also like to request figures for the amount of residents who own a TV licence at present.</p>	20/02/2013	20/02/2013	TP								
RFI20130271	20/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>Please can you tell me, for each of the past 5 years, the estimated number of residential households that did not require a TV Licence?</p> <p>If this statistic is not readily available, please can you tell me the total number of residential households within the scope of TV Licensing activities, the number of active residential licences and the estimated number or proportion of evading households.</p>	20/02/2013	22/02/2013	TP								
RFI20130281	15/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>if the BBC is guiding lawfully unlicensed people to use TV equipment to receive Freeview Radio, how does this guidance affect the work of TVL doorstep staff?</p> <p>In what way(s) can legitimate use (for radio reception) be differentiated from evasion (during examination & test of equipment) if there is no difference in configuration?</p>	16/02/2013	22/02/2013	TP	Sent to IPC on 11//3/13							
RFI20130282	20/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>"Thank you for the response by [redacted], dated 12th February, to my FOI request RFI20130074. I have some points of clarification, and related questions following on from this. I again ask these questions under the Freedom of Information Act. First, regarding the contracts involving Face2Face Contact and Intrum Justitia, I note that information about the purpose of these contracts was withheld, citing exemptions under Sections 31(1)(a), (b), (d) and (g) and (2)(a) of the Act. I respectfully draw your attention to the fact that, in the case of Face2Face Contact Limited, precisely this matter had already been considered in the Internal Review,IR2011012, of Question 5 of my earlier request RFI20110330, where the same information had been sought. In the Review, dated 23rd May 2011, it was stated:</p> <p>"I am not satisfied that the information sought, if disclosed, is likely to influence the public's perception of detection tactics and outcomes and is likely in turn to impact on public behaviour regarding the voluntary payment of the TV licence fee ... In the circumstances I therefore uphold the appeal."</p> <p>The requested information was then provided in a supplement, also dated 23rd May 2011, to the original response to RFI20110330. I will therefore not request this same information again. However, my arguments in seeking the Review would have applied equally well if 'Face2Face Contact'" had simply been substituted by "Intrum Justitia" (indeed more so now, given that an even greater time has elapsed since those activities were carried out). In view of the Review IR2011012, I therefore invite you reconsider. I ask:</p> <p>(1) Please state for what purpose, or purposes, Intrum Justitia was contracted in respect to "TV Licensing" up until 2008. If you should still choose to withhold this information, then it is likely that I will seek another internal review of this matter.</p> <p>I now move on to Verint Consulting. I am a little puzzled by the implication that this company has been neither contracted by, nor subcontracted on behalf of, the BBC since 1st January 2007. You will recall that the response to Question 6 of my earlier request RFI20120500 referred to an ""external review carried out by Verint Consulting for TV Licensing in April 2008"" (see also Disclosure Document 6 of that reply). I therefore ask:</p> <p>(2) Please state whether Verint Consulting received any payment for its work in carrying our the review for "TV Licensing" in April 2008, referred to above. If it did receive payment, please clarify through what channels it received payment.</p> <p>Furthermore, you state that you have interpreted my request as being restricted to ""services in respect of TV Licensing". I did not specify this in my question. My only reference to ""TV Licensing"" was with regard to the trading name adopted by an intermediary company, in the event of the task having been subcontracted. It did not refer to tasks performed by the particular company I was asking about. Perhaps I should have stressed this point, and I apologise if it was misleading. I therefore ask:</p> <p>(3) Please provide me with the start and end dates, and a statement of the purpose, of every contract between the BBC and Verint (or Verint Consulting) or between any company acting on behalf of BBC and Verint (or Verint Consulting) since 1st January 2007.</p> <p>Regarding Communisis, it is stated that the contract is in respect of "printing and fulfilment" services. I would be grateful for some further precision. In particular, the BBC has stated that, each year, upwards of twenty million letters are distributed to unlicensed properties by Proximity using the trademark of "TV Licensing". I ask:</p> <p>(4) Is Communisis currently responsible for the printing of the letters distributed to unlicensed properties with the trademark of ""TV Licensing""? Is Communisis also responsible for the folding of these letters, their insertion into envelopes, and the sealing of these envelopes? If not, please state which company is currently responsible for each of these respective tasks, giving the dates of any relevant contracts.</p> <p>It is stated that, since 1st July 2012, G4S has been subcontracted by Capita for services in respect of "TV Licensing". I ask the following in relation to the activities of G4S under this contract (irrespective of whether or not these activities relate directly to data cleansing or the identification of empty/derelict premises):</p> <p>(5) In carrying out any of these activities since 1st July 2012, has any employee of G4S entered on to private property (including private grounds) at any unlicensed address?</p> <p>(6) In carrying out any of these activities since 1st July 2012, has any employee of G4S knocked on any door or rung any doorbell at any unlicensed property, or made any other attempt to attract the attention of any potential occupier of any unlicensed property?</p> <p>(7) Has G4S been given any leaflets, or other printed material, to distribute to unlicensed properties since 1st July 2012? If so, please provide a copy of any such leaflet, or printed material.</p> <p>(8) Since 1st July 2012, has any employee of G4S made any attempt to enquire, or to investigate, as to whether or not television receiving equipment is in use at any unlicensed property?</p> <p>Finally I would like to ask the following:</p> <p>(9) Please provide me with a list of all companies, other than Capita or G4S, which have been contracted, or subcontracted, or otherwise employed since 1st January 2011 to visit unlicensed properties on behalf of the BBC.</p> <p>I note that it has previously been explained to me that, for its own internal purposes, the BBC uses the term "visit" in a very restrictive sense. I stress that I am employing the word here in accordance with common usage. Therefore, activities constituting a "visit" would include entering on to private land surrounding any such property, or attempting to attract the attention of any potential occupier of any such property, or distributing leaflets or other printed material to any such property, etc."</p>	20/02/2013	22/02/2013	TP								
RFI20130286	21/03/2013	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	<p>I would like to know how many people were fined for not holding a TV licence in both the years 2010 and 2011. Please could the figures be expressed for the whole country, the county of Lincolnshire, and the city of Lincoln if possible? Additionally, the total money received from the fines in the aforementioned areas.</p> <p>I would be interested in any information held by your organisation regarding my request. I understand that I do not have to specify particular files or documents and that it is the department's responsibility to provide the information I require. If you need further clarification, please contact me by (state your preferred method of communication here, ie: email, phone, post).</p> <p>I would like to receive the information in (specify your chosen format here: electronic, hard copy and/or to inspect the documents on site).</p> <p>If my request is denied in whole or in part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exemptions of the act. I will also expect you to release all non-exempt material. I reserve the right to appeal your decision to withhold any information or to charge excessive fees.</p>	21/02/2013	25/02/2013	NM								

tbc		[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	How many applications have TV Licensing made to customers for paymer1t during the year 2012, which have proved to be completely unfounded and incorrect?	07/03/2013	07/03/2013								
xxx	xxx	[Withheld under Section 40(2)]	As a public company, inline with the freedom of information act, I would like to know when someone has used this tactic : How many people have used this method what do you to ensure that pay What does ' removing the implied right of access' actually mean How do you then check if they should be paying What do you do to enforce payments. What do you do when you belive they have a TV and dont pay How many people have you taken to court over this How many time have you won in court over this From what i read on the internet it seems to me that people have been doing this for a long time and nothing has been done about it. What would happen if we all stopped paying!	08/03/2013	11/03/2013	xxx							