

Department for Education

Robert Goodwill MP House of Commons London SW1A 0AA





Department for Business Innovation & Skills

Minister for Skills and Enterprise

1 Victoria Street London SW1H 0ET T +44 (0) 20 7215.5000 E enquiries@bis.gov.uk www.gov.uk/bis www.education.gov.uk

Our ref: MCB2014/10531

6 June 2014

Lee Robert

Thank you very much for your letter of 19th May, on Behalf of Mr William Kidd of Seato Hall, Staithes TS13 5AT, who contacted you about damp proofing services.

As you indicate Mr Kidd has previously contacted the Department on this subject in relation to the TrustMark scheme which is operated by TrustMark (2005) Ltd under our Master Licence. We have responded to Mr Kidd on the points which would be in our remit to do so, a copy of the most recent letter is attached.

The Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) are not accountable to this Department, and I am sure you would appreciate that we would therefore unable to comment on their policy. May I suggest that Mr Kidd re-contact RICS setting out his cand request a response from RICS justifying their policy position.

MATTHEW HANCOCK MP



ROBERT GOODWILL M.P.



TONDON 2MIY 0YV HORZE OF COMMONS

Ref: RG/SFB

23 June 2014

Mr. W. Kidd, Seaton Hall Farm, Staithes, Saltburn by the Sea, TS13 5AT

Dear Mr. Kidd,

I enclose a copy of a letter I received from Matt Hancock MP, the Parliamentary under Secretary of State for the Department for Business Innovation and skills. As you will see from the letter he maintains that the ball is now very firmly in the RICS. Although the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveys are not accountable to the Department, I feel that they should review their policies so as to ensure that they are not complicit in any restrictive practices that do not allow full competition between qualified individuals in the provision of services.

Could I suggest that you contact RICS again and enclose a copy of the letter from Mr. Hancock which may well ensure that this receives their attention.

I am sorry that we do not seem to be making a great deal of progress but maybe perseverance will pay off in the end.

AMPER HAPL

t ours sincerely,

Robert Goodwill MP Scarborough & Whitby

Euc.

Member of Parliament for Scarborough and Whitby



Department for Business Innovation & Skills

1 Victoria Street London SW1H 0ET

William Kidd Seaton Hall Staithes Saltburn by the Sea TS13 5AT T +44 (0) 20 7215 5000 E enquiries@bis.gov.uk

www.gov.uk/bis Our ref: TOB2014/08938

Your ref:

13 May 2014

Thank you for your letter of 26 April, raising concerns about TrustMark's standards remediation of 'damp homes'.

The Minister receives a large amount of correspondence every day and is unable to respond to each one personally. I have been asked to reply as I provide oversight TrustMark's Master License. I have followed up with both TrustMark and BBA on the matters you have raised.

As indicated in our previous letter, it is TrustMark and not the Department who are responsible for developing and maintaining the standards of the scheme. It is the responsibility of TrustMark Scheme Operators to inspect and ensure that TrustMark Standards are maintained by trade members of the scheme, a process regularly as by TrustMark.

In order to continue to receive Government endorsement, TrustMark are obliged to demonstrate that their standards are 'fit for purpose'. Accordingly, I requested Liz I the independent chair of the scheme, to review their approach in relation to this iss To recognize your sensitivity of a PCA member being a TrustMark Board member additionally requested that she take the lead in these investigations.

Turning to BBA, the Department has no authority over the activities of BBA. Howe approached the CEO of BBA, Clare Curtis-Thomas, for some background informatheir activities in relation to this matter.

Having reviewed all the statements, I do not propose to take any action at this tim relation to the Master License we hold with TrustMark.

I have, however, noted you concerns and have requested that the Chair of TrustMark closely watch developments in this area and to be prepared to review any further evidence which you or others may care to provide her. Liz Male assures me that she wishes to maintain appropriate standards within the scheme and has agreed to review the evidence and, further, to recommend changes to the standards when necessary.

BBA has issued its own statements on chemical damp proofing creams which I understand you have seen.

Thank you for writing.

Yours sincerely

Barry Blackwell
BIS CONSTRUCTION UNIT