

**Shared Service Centre  
Feedback and Information Governance**

Mark Rudd, Assistant Director | Shared Services



Mr Antony Steig

Via email: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx

Date: **11 April 2018**  
Our ref: LBH/7181418  
Teleph one: 020 8489 1988  
Email: xxx@xxxxxxxx.xxx.xx

Dear Mr Steig,

**Internal Review of the Freedom of Information Act request reference: LBH/6898717**

Thank you for giving us an opportunity to review the response we have sent to your Freedom of Information request.

Your request received on 7 December was as follows:

"I would like to know the amount of money which 'Move 51 o North' has borrowed from its incorporation to the present, broken down by calendar year and broken down further to show the amount which is owed to Haringey Council."

The response, sent on 15 January by Mr Rajput, Homes for Haringey Director of Corporate Affairs, stated that the information requested in relation to the amount of money borrowed and owed by Moves 51 is contained in the company's annual financial statements. The financial statements for years 205/16 and 2016/17 were attached to the response.

You requested an Internal Review as you said that we had not provided the information requested.

I have reviewed your request and the original response. The amount of money borrowed by Move 51o North is stated in the 16/17 financial statement at section 13 on page 21.

It is my view that Homes for Haringey have answered your request for information adequately and provided you with the information that is held on record.

I'd like to take this opportunity to reiterate the advice my colleague has given you under Internal Review reference LBH/7198318, dated 9 April. That is, that we note from our records that you have already submitted 29 FOI requests in 2018. Please note that, when calculating the costs of responding to an FOI request, we may take into account other requests made by the same person about the same matter, and also any requests from other people, where the requests are clearly connected.

When submitting further FOI requests, we ask that you exercise some restraint and consider what practical use the information you are asking for might have and whether it is in the broader public interest. The vexatiousness provisions of the Freedom of Information Act recognise that people do not always exercise

**Shared Service Centre  
Feedback and Information Governance**  
10th Floor, Alexandra House  
225 High Road  
Wood Green  
London N22 7TR  
T 020 8489 1988

[www.haringey.gov.uk](http://www.haringey.gov.uk)

their rights in a reasonable or proportionate manner. Public authorities are therefore protected from having to devote time and resources to responding to unreasonable, excessive or obsessive requests.

I should also advise you that the sheer volume of your requests and subsequent Internal Reviews is becoming burdensome. We have already spent considerable officer time in dealing with your requests, and with the requests from other members of the 'Peoples Information Center'. With this in mind we will need to consider whether further requests of a similar nature may be considered to be unreasonable under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act or the Environmental Information Regulations.

I hope that you are satisfied with my response to your complaint. If you are dissatisfied, you may complain to the Information Commissioner, who may be able to help you. Please note that if you wish to refer this case to the Information Commissioner, they normally ask that you do so within two months of our response to you.

You can contact the Commissioner at:

Information Commissioner

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire

SK9 5AF

[casework@ico.org.uk](mailto:casework@ico.org.uk)

[www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

Sue Dyos

**Feedback Team Leader**

