



## UK Visas & Immigration

Freedom of Information  
Central Correspondence Team  
Central Operations  
PO Box 3468  
Sheffield  
S3 8WA

Aditi Singh  
request-604663-9a19e7e9@whatdotheyknow.com

Email:  
FOIRequests@homeoffice.gov.uk

[www.gov.uk/ukvi](http://www.gov.uk/ukvi)

FOI Reference: 55526

8 October 2019

Dear Sir or Madam

Thank you for your enquiry of 18 September in which you requested a variety of information regarding Tier 2 Intra Company Transfer and General applications made for leave to remain to UK. Your enquiries have been handled as a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

### Information Requested

*For Calendar Year 2019 - Till Aug, please provide the Tier 2 Visa data for ICT (Intra company Transfer) and General separately. To limit the no# of sponsors/ organizations/ company, the data is only for those sponsors who have been issued total visa count  $\geq 25$  per year.*

*In past, successful request FOI Reference: 52754*

*Extract Details Split by Data I.e. Add few key data points to the extract in excel format 1. Client Contract = Yes or No 2. Final Work Location (incl. Client) - the location incl. City and Post Code (first four letters) - this is different from the registered address of sponsor 3. Work Length I.e. Duration for which visa is issued e.g. < 1 year; < 3 Years; < 5 Years; > 5 Years*

### Response

The response to your enquiries is attached as Annex 1.

These statistics have been taken from a live operational database. As such, numbers may change as information on that system is updated.

Data is provided up to and including 30 June 2019. The rationale behind this is located below;

If you are dissatisfied with this response you may request an independent internal review of our handling of your request by submitting a complaint within two months to [foirequests@homeoffice.gov.uk](mailto:foirequests@homeoffice.gov.uk), quoting reference **55526**. If you ask for an internal review, it would be helpful if you could say why you are dissatisfied with the response.

As part of any internal review the Department's handling of your information request would be reassessed by staff who were not involved in providing you with this response. If you were to remain dissatisfied after an internal review, you would have a right of complaint to the Information Commissioner as established by section 50 of the FOI Act.

Yours sincerely

C. Walls  
Central Operations Team

We value your feedback, please use the link below to access a brief anonymous survey to help us improve our service to you:

<http://www.homeofficesurveys.homeoffice.gov.uk/s/108105TAZNG>

**We do hold information of interest to you for 2019 but have decided to exempt this information under section 36(2)(c) of the FOIA 2000. Arguments for and against disclosure in terms of the public interest are set out in this attached Annex.**

Information is provided up to the end of June 2019, in line with current published statistics. We do hold the information you have requested for the period up to August 2019, but as it will not be published into the public domain until later this year, we are unable to provide it yet.

In relation to the information covering July – August 2019, we can confirm that the Home Office holds the information that you requested. However, after careful consideration we have decided that the information is exempt from disclosure under section 36(2)(c) of the Freedom of Information Act. This provides that information can be withheld where disclosure would prejudice the effective conduct of public affairs and the public interest falls in favour of applying the exemption.

Arguments for and against disclosure in terms of the public interest, with the reasons for our conclusion, are set out below.

### **Public interest test**

Some of the exemptions in the FOI Act, referred to as ‘qualified exemptions’, are subject to a public interest test (PIT). This test is used to balance the public interest in disclosure against the public interest in favour of withholding the information, or the considerations for and against the requirement to say whether the information requested is held or not. We must carry out a PIT where we are considering using any of the qualified exemptions in response to a request for information.

The ‘public interest’ is not the same as what interests the public. In carrying out a PIT we consider the greater good or benefit to the community as a whole if the information is released or not. The ‘right to know’ must be balanced against the need to enable effective government and to serve the best interests of the public.

The FOI Act is ‘applicant blind’. This means that we cannot, and do not, ask about the motives of anyone who asks for information. In providing a response to one person, we are expressing a willingness to provide the same response to anyone, including those who might represent a threat to the UK.

### Considerations in favour of disclosing the information

There is a general public interest in statistics relating to migration. Disclosure of the information requested would increase accountability and transparency and enhance the public’s understanding of current trends in types of applications and processing times. All of these factors are in the public interest and there is some weight to be given to the considerations in favour of disclosing the information.

### Considerations in favour of withholding the information

The Department publishes migration statistics quarterly and intends to publish migration statistics for July - August in November of this year. Although the exact breakdown of the information requested will not be published, it will form part of the overall figures. Premature release of the subset of data requested could form part of a series of requests which together could build up a picture of the overall data due to be published. Although it is accepted that you may not necessarily be interested in making subsequent requests, information released to one person under the FOI Act is, in effect, released to the public at large. This would provide an opportunity for others to submit additional requests ahead of the planned publication date in order to obtain the information prematurely.

Premature disclosure of statistics without adhering to established pre-publication procedures (which include internal consultation about the final statistics being published) would undermine the Department's ability to use its staff resources effectively in a planned way, so that reasonable publication timetables are not affected. We conclude that the overall balance of the public interest lies in favour of withholding the information to ensure that the Home Office is able to publish migration statistics in a managed and coherent way.