From: Tandra Forster Sent: 11 March 2010 11:55 **To:** June Graves; Mel Brain

Subject: Corporate Board - Risk Sharing Paper, draft

Importance: High

Hi to both

See attached initial draft. I thought it would be helpful if we cold agree before it goes to legal/finance etc

The deadline for Corporate Board is the 23rd March.

You will see that there are gaps, I have emailed Sovereign for the info.

Mel I have tried to weave in the Single Conversation as part of it so you will please look and adjust etc

Thanks Tandra

Tandra Forster Contracts & Commissioning Manager (Supporting People/Adult Social Care) Project Manager Supported Living Development Programme West Berkshire Council Tel: 01635 519248

Mob: 07786 277451

We have moved to a new address wef 1st December 2009:

West Berkshire Council West Street House West Street Newbury **RG14 1BD**

Telephone number and exts will remain the same

- [Introduction]
 - 0 [*]
- [Proposals]
 - o [*]
- [Conclusion]
 - 0 [*]
- [Introduction]
 - o [The requirement for the development of extra care housing, to offer more appropriate care options for older people, has been identified in both the Council's Older Peoples Commissioning Strategy and the Putting People First Strategy for Adult Social Care.]
 - o [The Priory Court project, an extra care housing development, forms part of a range of projects within the Supported Living Development Programme, the aim of which is to promote choice and independence for vulnerable people in West Berkshire]
 - O [This programme is a key part of Putting People First which is the Council's overarching strategy for transforming adult social care in West Berkshire. The Priory project involves the development of extra care housing for people aged 55+ to offer an appropriate alternative to more traditional residential services.]
 - o [The project has a significant financial savings target within the Council's MTFS for 2012/13 and forms a key part of transforming how we deliver care into the future waiting for total]
 - o [This project is to be delivered by Sovereign Housing Association on previously developed land in Hungerford. The development, subject to planning consent, aims to deliver 46 units of extra care housing for people aged 55+.]
 - o [Sovereign Housing Association estimate that the site will cost £Xm to develop, they will contribute £xm to the cost of the development. It is estimated that The HCA will make a grant allocation of £xm and it is proposed that the Council contributes £xm S106 funding. Have emailed Dave Ingram for figures]
 - o [The project plan developed by SHA estimates that the development would take at least 27 months from agreeing designs in May 2010, to practical completion. This would mean that the build could be completed by summer 2012, subject to achieving planning permission in August 2010 and no other delays.]
 - o [As part of the negotiation to bring this project forward Sovereign Housing Association have indicated that their Board have concerns that achievement of planning consent could lead to costs in excess of £500k.]
 - o [These costs reflect both the nature of the development, site topography and build constraints created by the need to prevent damage to a large number of trees.]
 - o [Given the levels of investment, and recent experience of failed planning applications, SHA's Board are concerned that they will be financially disadvantaged if they fail to achieve planning consent for a Project that has been developed in response to Council priorities.]

o [For the Council the development of the Priory project is key to the delivery of £xm savings identified in the Medium Term Financial Strategy and a key part of our plans to increase the provision of extra care housing.]

• [Proposal]

- o [Given the level of importance of this development it has been suggested that we jointly develop a Risk Sharing Agreement to enable the project to proceed.]
- o [The agreement would formalise the roles and responsibilities of each party and enable the Council to have a greater say in how the development is planned and delivered from the start. This would include design, planning approach, development of any local resources as part of the process, consultation and so on.]
- o [To minimise the risk to the Council any agreement would specify precisely what the costs, conditions and the governance would have to be for it to be enacted. The negotiation would be dealt with through Legal Services in consultation with Housing and Planning to ensure compliance with Council policies. Funding to cover cost of enactment would have to be determined as part of establishing the agreement.]
- o [It is recognised that this is new ground for the Council however it does reflect pressures created by the current economic climate and anxiety expressed by a number of RSLs about bringing forward new projects.]
- o [The establishment of this principle also fits with the expectation the HCA set out in the 'Single Conversation, a new framework introduced in June 2009 to secure the delivery of a full range of housing, infrastructure and community activities.]
- o [The purpose of this framework is to create greater transparency in HCA dealings with Local Authorities as a way of ensuring that grant funding follows local priorities such as the Priory Project.]

• [Conclusion]

- [The requirement for the development of extra care housing, to offer more appropriate care options for older people has been identified in both the Council's Older Peoples Commissioning Strategy and the Putting People First Strategy for Adult Social Care.]
- o [The Priory Court project, an extra care housing development, forms part of a range of projects within the Supported Living Development Programme, the aim of which is to promote choice and independence for vulnerable people in West Berkshire.]
- o [The project aims to deliver 46 units, a mix of 1 & 2 beds, for people with care needs aged 55+. The delivery of achieving significant savings in the MTFS is contingent on the successful delivery of this project.]
- o [The initiation of these developments requires considerable investment and commitment from our partners; achieving planning consent can result in costs in excess of £250k. Whilst the Council is committed to

support these developments planning constraints mean that there is increased risk of a protracted process, that it will require additional investment to ensure plans are acceptable and even then there is the real possibility that consent will not be achieved. The current economic climate means that concerns around these risks have heightened and RSLs require stronger assurances.]

- O [At a national level the initiation of the 'Single Conversation' by the Homes and Communities Agency means that now, more than ever, Local Authorities are expected to provider leadership in negotiations to ensure the delivery of a full range of housing to ensure better outcomes for local people. The development of this type of agreement would give confidence both the RSL and the HCA about the importance of this project.]
- o [It is recommended, therefore, that consent is given to establishing the principle of a risk sharing agreement. This to be negotiated to minimise the risk of its having to be enacted. *]

PART II

The report on the following item is **not for publication** by virtue of exempt information of the description contained in Paragraph * of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information)(Variation) Order 2006. Rule 9.10.4 of the Constitution also refers.

Title of Report:	The Priory* Please delete the paragraphs that do not apply		Item *
(Paragraph 6 – information relating to proposed action to be taker the Local Authority)			
Report to be considered by: Corporate Board		Corporate Board	
Date of Meeting: 30 March 2010		30 March 2010	
Forward Plan Ref:			
Forward P	lan Ref:		

Purpose of Report:

To seek agreement to the principle of a risk sharing agreement, in the context of partnership working to deliver a key Supported Living Developments project, as part of the Putting People First Programme of work.

Recommended Action:

Members to agree the principle of developing a risk sharing agreement that will enable the Council to bring forward an extra care housing development in partnership with Sovereign Housing Association.

Reason for decision to be taken:

The requirement for the development of extra care housing, to offer more appropriate care options for older people has been identified in both the Council's Older Peoples Commissioning Strategy and the Putting People First Strategy for Adult Social Care. At a national level the initiation of the 'Single Conversation' by the Homes and Communities Agency means that now, more than ever, Local

Authorities are expected to provider leadership in negotiations to ensure the delivery of a full range of housing to ensure better outcomes for local people. The initiation of these developments requires considerable investment and commitment from our partners; achieving planning consent can result in costs in excess of £250k. Whilst the Council is committed to support these developments planning constraints mean that there is increased risk of a protracted process, that it will require additional investment to ensure plans are acceptable and even then there is the real possibility that consent will not be achieved. The current economic climate means that concerns around these risks have heightened and RSLs require stronger assurances. It is proposed therefore that consent is given to the principle of a risk sharing agreement, which would be negotiated to minimise the risk of its being enacted.

	Statutory: ☐ Non-Statutory: ☐				
	Other:				
Other options considered:					
Key background documentation:	Older Peoples Commissioning Strategy				
documentation.	Putting People First - Adult Social Care Strategy				
	'Single Conversation' - Homes and Communities Agency				
The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the following Council Plan Priority(ies):					
□ CPP1 – Support our communities through the economic recession – to alleviate the					
impact on different communities and individuals who find themselves out of work and/or disadvantaged					
□ CPP2 – Raise levels of educational achievement – improving school performance levels					
□ CPP3 – Reduce West Berkshire's carbon footprint – to reduce CO₂ emissions in West Berkshire and contribute to waste management, green travel, transportation and energy efficiency					
The proposals will also help achieve the following Council Plan Theme(s):					
□ CPT1 - Better Roads and Transport					

□ CPT2 - Thriving Town Centres				
☑ CPT3 - Affordable Housing				
□ CPT4 - High Quality Planning				
□ CPT5 - Cleaner and Greener				
□ CPT6 - Vibrant Villages				
☑ CPT7 - Safer and Stronger Communities				
□ CPT8 - A Healthier Life				
□ CPT9 - Successful Schools and Learning				
☑ CPT10 - Promoting Independence				
☑ CPT11 - Protecting Vulnerable People				
□ CPT12 - Including Everyone				
☑ CPT13 - Value for Money				
□ CPT14 - Effective People				
□ CPT15 - Putting Customers First				
□ CPT16 - Excellent Performance Management				
The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the above Council Plan Priorities and Themes by:				
Delivery 46 units of affordable housing, creating more appropriate support and care opportunities for older people to enable them to remain living independently in the community in a secure and safe environment. Enable the Council to commission care services that offer greater value for money than alternative residential care services.				
Portfolio Member Details				
Name & Telephone No.:	Councillor Joe Mooney - Tel (0118) 9412649			
E-mail Address:	jmooney@westberks.gov.uk			
Date Portfolio Member agreed report:				

Contact Officer Detail	S	
Name:		
Job Title:		
Tel. No.:	01635	
E-mail Address:	@westberks.gov.uk	
Implications		
Policy:		
Financial:		
	If there are any financial implications contained within this report this section must be signed off by a West Berkshire Group Accountant. Please note that the report cannot be accepted by Policy and Communication unless this actio has been undertaken.	
Personnel:	nas 2001 anastratom	
Legal/Procurement:		
Property:		
Risk Management:		
Equalities Impact Assessment:	For advice please contact Principal Policy Officer (Equalities) on Ext. 2441.	
Corporate Board's View:	to be completed after Corporate Board meeting	

NOTE: This section does not need to be completed if your report will not progress beyond Corporate or Management Board.

Is this item subject to call-in?	Yes: □	No: 🗷	
If not subject to call-in please put a cross in the appropriate box:			
The item is due to be referred to Council for final approval		X	
Delays in implementation could have serious financial implications for the Council		X	
Delays in implementation could compromise the Council's position			
Considered or reviewed by Overview & Scrutiny Commission or associated Task Groups within preceding six months			
Item is Urgent Key Decision			

Executive Summary

- 1. Introduction
 - 1. *
- 2. Proposals
 - 1. *
- 3. Conclusion
 - 1. *

Executive Report

1. Introduction

- The requirement for the development of extra care housing, to offer more appropriate care options for older people, has been identified in both the Council's Older Peoples Commissioning Strategy and the Putting People First Strategy for Adult Social Care.
- 2. The Priory Court project, an extra care housing development, forms part of a range of projects within the Supported Living Development Programme, the aim of which is to promote choice and independence for vulnerable people in West Berkshire
- 3. This programme is a key part of Putting People First which is the Council's overarching strategy for transforming adult social care in West Berkshire. The Priory project involves the development of extra care housing for people aged 55+ to offer an appropriate alternative to more traditional residential services.
- The project has a significant financial savings target within the Council's MTFS for 2012/13 and forms a key part of transforming how we deliver care into the future – waiting for total
- 5. This project is to be delivered by Sovereign Housing Association on previously developed land in Hungerford. The development, subject to planning consent, aims to deliver 46 units of extra care housing for people aged 55+.
- 6. Sovereign Housing Association estimate that the site will cost £Xm to develop, they will contribute £xm to the cost of the development. It is estimated that The HCA will make a grant

- allocation of £xm and it is proposed that the Council contributes £xm S106 funding. Have emailed Dave Ingram for figures
- 7. The project plan developed by SHA estimates that the development would take at least 27 months from agreeing designs in May 2010, to practical completion. This would mean that the build could be completed by summer 2012, subject to achieving planning permission in August 2010 and no other delays.
- 8. As part of the negotiation to bring this project forward Sovereign Housing Association have indicated that their Board have concerns that achievement of planning consent could lead to costs in excess of £500k.
- 9. These costs reflect both the nature of the development, site topography and build constraints created by the need to prevent damage to a large number of trees.
- 10. Given the levels of investment, and recent experience of failed planning applications, SHA's Board are concerned that they will be financially disadvantaged if they fail to achieve planning consent for a Project that has been developed in response to Council priorities.
- 11. For the Council the development of the Priory project is key to the delivery of £xm savings identified in the Medium Term Financial Strategy and a key part of our plans to increase the provision of extra care housing.

2. Proposal

- 1. Given the level of importance of this development it has been suggested that we jointly develop a Risk Sharing Agreement to enable the project to proceed.
- 2. The agreement would formalise the roles and responsibilities of each party and enable the Council to have a greater say in how the development is planned and delivered from the start. This would include design, planning approach, development of any local resources as part of the process, consultation and so on.
- 3. To minimise the risk to the Council any agreement would specify precisely what the costs, conditions and the governance would have to be for it to be enacted. The negotiation would be dealt with through Legal Services in consultation with Housing and Planning to ensure compliance with Council policies. Funding to cover cost of enactment would have to be determined as part of establishing the agreement.

- 4. It is recognised that this is new ground for the Council however it does reflect pressures created by the current economic climate and anxiety expressed by a number of RSLs about bringing forward new projects.
- 5. The establishment of this principle also fits with the expectation the HCA set out in the 'Single Conversation, a new framework introduced in June 2009 to secure the delivery of a full range of housing, infrastructure and community activities.
- 6. The purpose of this framework is to create greater transparency in HCA dealings with Local Authorities as a way of ensuring that grant funding follows local priorities such as the Priory Project.
- 7. Although new to this Council, there is precedent with similar agreements in place with other local authority areas, this includesI think it is important that we can given an example so have emailed SHA.

3. Conclusion

- The requirement for the development of extra care housing, to offer more appropriate care options for older people has been identified in both the Council's Older Peoples Commissioning Strategy and the Putting People First Strategy for Adult Social Care.
- 2. The Priory Court project, an extra care housing development, forms part of a range of projects within the Supported Living Development Programme, the aim of which is to promote choice and independence for vulnerable people in West Berkshire.
- 3. The project aims to deliver 46 units, a mix of 1 & 2 beds, for people with care needs aged 55+. The delivery of achieving significant savings in the MTFS is contingent on the successful delivery of this project.
- 4. The initiation of these developments requires considerable investment and commitment from our partners; achieving planning consent can result in costs in excess of £250k. Whilst the Council is committed to support these developments planning constraints mean that there is increased risk of a protracted process, that it will require additional investment to ensure plans are acceptable and even then there is the real possibility that consent will not be achieved. The current economic climate means that concerns around these risks have heightened and RSLs require stronger assurances.
- 5. At a national level the initiation of the 'Single Conversation' by the Homes and Communities Agency means that now, more

than ever, Local Authorities are expected to provider leadership in negotiations to ensure the delivery of a full range of housing to ensure better outcomes for local people. The development of this type of agreement would give confidence both the RSL and the HCA about the importance of this project.

6. It is recommended, therefore, that consent is given to establishing the principle of a risk sharing agreement. This to be negotiated to minimise the risk of its having to be enacted. *

Appendices	
Appendix A - *	
Appendix B - *	
Consultees	
Local Stakeholders: Officers Consulted:	* June Graves
	Mel Brain
	Shiraz Sheikh
	Andy Walker
Trade Union:	*