## **USS Valuation 2017 Survey**

Theme	#	Question	Response options	Answer
Identifiers	1	Name of Institution		
		Name of respondent		
		Position of respondent		
	2	What types of pension schemes are offered at your institution?	The main schemes we offer for academic and support staff are USS and other DB arrangements	x
			The main schemes we offer for academic and support staff are USS and other schemes that include DC arrangements	
Overview	3	What are your institution's views on current pension provision?  To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?  Select from:	My institution highly values pensions as an important recruitment tool and benefit to employees	Somewhat agree
			The risks associated with DB pension provision represent a significant financial uncertainty for my institution	Somewhat agree
		<ul> <li>strongly agree</li> <li>somewhat agree</li> <li>neither agree or disagree</li> <li>somewhat disagree</li> <li>strongly disagree</li> </ul>	My institution wants to avoid further divergence of pension provision within the higher education sector (e.g. in terms of benefit provision or cost)	Somewhat disagree
			My institution wants greater flexibility in the pension options available to employees	Somewhat agree
			My institution wants to stabilise pension costs in order to offer a more diverse package of nonsalary benefits to employees	Somewhat agree
	4	To what extent do you think that employees value the pension schemes that your institution currently provides? To what extent do you agree or	The pension schemes that my institution currently offers are highly valued by employees	Neither agree or disagree
		disagree with the following statements?	My institution would like to enhance the pensions benefits offered to employees	Neither agree or disagree
		<ul> <li>Select from:</li> <li>strongly agree</li> <li>somewhat agree</li> <li>neither agree or disagree</li> <li>somewhat disagree</li> <li>strongly disagree</li> </ul>	Pension provision does not represent a significant part of employee's considerations around reward	Somewhat agree

Theme	#	Question	Response options	Answer
(Relates to paragraphs 8-	5	How has the rise in USS employer contributions from 16% to 18% in 2016 impacted your institution?	The increased expenditure on staff pensions was sufficiently offset by, for example, increased income or reserves	
11 in the supplementary document)		Choose one of the following statements	The financial impact was considerable, but manageable through cost savings that will not impact significantly on the ambitions of my institution	х
			The additional pension costs are a barrier to my institution's longer term sustainable growth	
		Optional text box for further comments		
	6	If possible, please indicate where	Headcount reductions	
		any cost savings had to be made that might not have been required had the USS contribution rate remained at 16%.  Tick any that apply	Restraint in other areas of employee reward and development	х
			Delays or reductions to capital projects	
			Reductions in operational costs and other non-academic activities	х
			*Other (please specify)	
	7	What is the maximum level of contributions that you could sustainably afford to pay, without causing material financial strain to your institution?  To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following levels would be affordable?	Current contribution levels (18%)	Neither agree or disagree
			An increase of 2% (to 20%)	Neither agree or disagree
			An increase of 4% (to 22%)	Somewhat disagree
		Select from:	An increase of 6% (to 24%)	Strongly disagree
		<ul> <li>strongly agree</li> <li>somewhat agree</li> <li>neither agree or disagree</li> <li>somewhat disagree</li> <li>strongly disagree</li> </ul>	An increase of 8% (to 26%)	Strongly disagree
			An increase of more than 8%	Strongly disagree
		Additional comments box provided	The responses sought do not seek verteduction from current levels. Given reports that the national average is 2	that the ONS

Theme	#	Question	Response options	Answer
			(combined employer and employee)	
			scheme, we should be looking at other options for	
	_	De constituire that are a second	a largely public-funded scheme	NI =:41= c =
	8	Do you think that employees	Higher contributions for the same benefits	Neither
		would be willing to contribute more to their pension alongside	beliefits	agree or
		employers if required?	The same contributions for reduced	disagree Neither
		To what extent do you agree or	benefits	agree or
		disagree with the following statements?	beliefits	disagree
			Higher contributions with some	Neither
		Statements.	benefit reductions	agree or
		Select from:		disagree
			Not all my employees can afford	Somewhat
		strongly agree	the contributions required for the	agree
		somewhat agree	current level of benefits	~
		neither agree or disagree		
		<ul> <li>somewhat disagree</li> </ul>		
		strongly disagree		
		l was to the state of the state		
Dono!	9	Which of these statements best	Current benefits: Retain current	
Pension		describes your institution's views	benefits, even if this requires	
benefits		on benefit reform if action is	higher contributions.  Current contribution levels:	
(Relates to		required to reduce the USS deficit?	Retain current contribution levels,	
paragraphs 12		deficit:	regardless of the benefit changes	
to 17 in the		Choose one of the following	this requires	
supplementary		statements.	Moderate revisions to benefits	
document)			and contributions: Balanced	
			approach involving reduced	
			benefits, alongside increased	
			employer and employee	
			contributions	
			Flexible approach: Move to a	
			reduced level of core pension	
			benefits, with employers being able	X
			to choose to pay higher	
			contributions to secure higher benefits for staff.	
			DC: Move to DC for future benefits,	
			to reduce risk and to make future	
			benefit changes less likely to be	
			needed.	
	10	If needed, would you support the following changes to future benefits in order to keep contributions affordable?  To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following	Changing salary threshold (from	Neither
			£55,000)	
			Changing the DC contribution	Neither
			above threshold (from 12%)	
			Changing accrual rate (from 1/75)	Neither
		statements?	Changing the DC match (from 1%)	Neither
		Select from:	Offer staff the choice to move to a	Somewhat
		COLECT HOITI.	DC only section of USS	agree
		strongly agree		
		- Subligity agree	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Theme	#	Question	Response options	Answer
		<ul> <li>somewhat agree</li> <li>neither agree or disagree</li> <li>somewhat disagree</li> <li>strongly disagree</li> </ul>	Transition USS to a DC-only scheme	Neither
	11	If changes to USS benefits become necessary, to what extent are the following issues of concern to you?	Competitiveness with other institutions whose staff can join DB public sector schemes	Somewhat disagree
		To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following area concern for your institution?  Select from:	The divergence within institutions in the pension benefits offered to staff (e.g. academics in USS and support staff in other schemes)	Neither
		<ul> <li>strongly agree</li> <li>somewhat agree</li> <li>neither agree or disagree</li> <li>somewhat disagree</li> <li>strongly disagree</li> </ul>	The possibility of staff opting out of pensions altogether	Somewhat agree
		Additional comment box for any further concerns.	Question 8 is too generic and assum 'employees' is a homogenous group possible to generalise across a popul such significant differences in age ra outgoings and expectations.	. It is not llation with
The Structure of USS	12	12 What is your institution's view on the way current contributions are set and	My institution would support taking financial responsibility for its own liabilities both past and future	Neither
(Relates to paragraphs 18-23 in the supplementary document)		assets and liabilities are calculated? To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following positions?	My institution would support the legal separation of each institution's assets and liabilities and the negative effect this may have on mutuality	Neither
		<ul> <li>strongly agree</li> <li>somewhat agree</li> <li>neither agree or disagree</li> <li>somewhat disagree</li> <li>strongly disagree</li> </ul>		
	13	What is your institution's view of increased benefit choices being available? To what extent do you agree or disagree with allowing more benefit choice for the following?	to institutions –e.g. with a "core" USS benefit, and "supplementary" option for employers to pay more to offer higher benefits to some or all employees	Somewhat agree

Theme	#	Question	Response options	Answer
		Select from:      strongly agree     somewhat agree     neither agree or disagree     somewhat disagree     strongly disagree	to employees –e.g. with a "core" USS benefit, and "supplementary" option for employees to pay more to receive higher benefits	Somewhat agree
	14	If USS comprised a core benefit with supplementary benefits being available on top (whether funded by employers and/or employees), what should these supplementary benefits be?  Choose one of the following	DB DC No strong preference	X
	15	options  Are there any particular categories of employees that your institution wishes it could provide alternative benefits to?	Internationally mobile employees  Long serving, senior employees	X
		Tick any that apply	Visiting academics Employees on short/ fixed term contracts	
			Employees with affordability concerns *Other(please specify)	х
Valuation Methodology (Relates to paragraphs 3-7 in the supplementary document)	16	In terms of the overall prudence in the actuarial method and assumptions (to be decided by the trustee in consultation with scheme stakeholders), which best describes your institution's position?  Choose one of the following options	My institution believes that USS should follow a similar approach to the 2014 valuation	
			My institution believes that USS should focus on being flexible, within reason, to mitigate the need to change contributions/benefits at this valuation	х
		Ориона	My institution is concerned about the possibility of a less prudent approach being taken and any potential increase in risk being underwritten by our institution  *Other(please specify)	
Final Comments	17	Please provide any comments that you would like to add e.g. additional views on contributions, benefits, USS structure, wider reward in HE, pledging assets, managing liabilities etcviews from all	Q12 is too generic and cannot be an without a clear understanding of the and risks	

Theme	#	Question	Response options	Answer
		employers are welcome as they		
		may differ for larger and smaller		
		USS employers		
	18	Thank you for your time. Would		
		you mind being contacted by		
		email in the future with any		
		queries about your response?		