



Disclosure Team
Ministry of Justice
102 Petty France
London
SW1H 9AJ

Blaise Tchoula
request-517586-b8d68009@whatdotheyknow.com

data.access@justice.gov.uk

10 September 2018

Dear Mr Tchoula

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Request – 180903036

Thank you for your request dated 3 September 2018 in which you asked for the following information from the Ministry of Justice (MoJ):

Question A)

Would you please provide the name, role and details emails, telephones, and addresses of the following officials:

- 1) HMCTS. Chief Executive or/and Higher ranking official.**
- 2) Attorney General. Chief Executive or/and Higher ranking official.**
- 3) President of the Queen Bench. Chief Executive or/and Higher ranking official.**
- 4) Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II.**
- 5) BAR. British Accreditation Registry**
- 6) The 4 inns of the BAR**
- 7) The Vantican. Chief Executive or/and Higher ranking official.**
- 8) Probate Court**
- 9) County Court Business Centre. Chief Executive or/and Higher ranking official.**
- 10) CPS. Chief Executive or/and Higher ranking official.**

Many thanks for your time.

Yours faithfully,

Blaise: of the family Tchoula

Your request is being handled under the FOIA.

I have considered your request for information but I am unable to answer it without further clarification. Section 1(3) of the FOIA does not oblige us to answer requests where we require further clarification to identify and locate the information requested.

So that I provide you with the right information, please can you explain what you mean by:-

- I. BAR, meaning the British Accreditation Registry – we do not recognise such a designation
- II. The 4 inns of BAR (I assume “BAR” as an acronym of “British Accreditation Registry”)
- III. The Vantican – if you mean the Vatican, the MoJ is not the responsible authority

Also, some information will already be accessible to you; for example, answers to questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9 and 10.

Under s14(1) of the FOIA, a public authority can consider a request as vexatious and refuse to respond if certain criteria are met. We have considered your request against two criteria:-

Scattergun approach – generally speaking, your request appears to be part of a completely random approach and lacks any clear focus.

Frivolous requests – parts of your request are inane or extremely trivial (questions 5, 6 and 7) and these questions appears to lack any serious purpose.

We have decided not to treat your request as vexatious at this time.

The FOIA gives individuals and organisations the general right of access to recorded information, and we would like to give you the opportunity to clarify and refine your request in these terms.

On receipt of this information I will continue to process your request.

Yours sincerely

Communications and Information Services