
From: Tasker, Nichola
Sent: 31 July 2020 10:40
To:
Subject: FW: A303 Response to SoS
Attachments: A303 DFT EH response Aug 2020 NT draft clean copy Edits.docx

has a factual question in his comments. How far is the new discovery from Stonehenge – do we know? We say at present that Stonehenge is ‘some way off’ – and he is suggesting we say exactly how far.

Thanks,
Nichola

Nichola Tasker | Stonehenge Director | Historic Properties Department

www.english-heritage.org.uk

www.facebook.com/englishheritage

www.twitter.com/EnglishHeritage

From: @english-heritage.org.uk>
Sent: 31 July 2020 09:36
To: Tasker, Nichola <Nichola.Tasker@english-heritage.org.uk>; @english-heritage.org.uk>; @english-heritage.org.uk>
Subject: RE: A303 Response to SoS

Hi Nichola,

Thanks for sharing this with me.

My initial reaction after a first, slow read is that it is a considered and detailed response. I should also admit that I struggled to follow every section but I take it the audience for it will be far better informed.

I've made some comments on the below. I agree that it doesn't quite flow and our recommendations appear to be buried within the body of our replies to each question. I wonder if there is scope to include for each question a conclusion that summarises where we stand (along the lines of the third paragraph in the introduction where we state relatively clearly our stance on the tunnel)?

If it helps, I'll happily jump on a call today.

Best,

From: Tasker, Nichola
Sent: 30 July 2020 18:06
To:
Subject: A303 Response to SoS

Dear all,

sent me a draft of this earlier and we have worked on it together this afternoon
has also liaised extensively with HE before writing.

Please see attached for comment. What I believe are the most directive and possibly contentious statements where we are actually recommending HiE to do something, are highlighted in yellow for your particular attention. I have also added one question as a comment for you to consider.

There is still a level of detail in there that I think is required in order to give a meaningful response to the detailed questions they asked – I don't believe that they are just seeking a general but undetailed endorsement and we need to be seen to have taken this seriously and done the hard thinking, which really has.

In addition to the above, my question is about how well this now hangs together. and I have changed and reshaped it, so I now wonder if it works structurally and if the arguments still add up to the key recommendations in yellow – please comment. I will re-read it again myself tomorrow and take account of any comments you send - but I think I have now been looking at it too long to do more today.

I thought I would send it to when we four are all satisfied?

Thanks,
Nichola

Nichola Tasker | Stonehenge Director | Historic Properties Department

www.english-heritage.org.uk

www.facebook.com/englishheritage

www.twitter.com/EnglishHeritage