

Attorney General's Office 5-8 The Sanctuary London SW1P 3JS 020 7271 2492

www.gov.uk/ago

Ismail Abdulhai Bhamjee

By email to: request-538125-4f3980d0@whatdotheyknow.com

17 January 2019

Dear Mr Bhamjee,

Freedom of Information request: Request for an Internal Review – Our ref: FOI.222.18

- 1. Thank you for your email to the Attorney General's Office (AGO) of the 18 December 2018 in which you requested an internal review of our response to your request dated 9 December 2018 under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act).
- An internal review has been undertaken and this letter is to inform you of the outcome of that review. The internal reviewer was not involved in the decision making in relation to your orginal request.

Your initial request

- 3. In your initial request dated 9 December 2018 you requested the following:
 - I, Ismail Abdulhai Bhamjee do hereby request that there is a Judgment in the Court of Appeal where the HM Attorney General was named the 3rd Defendant.

 The Foreign and Commonwealth Office and the Home Office were also party to the Proceedings.

Does the Law Officers working for the Attorney General have to be named in the Legal Proceedings.

- 2. Do you have The Crown Proceedings Act 1947 Section 2 and Decisions given in the Courts under this Section Published.
- 3) Does the Law Officers have the Knowledge of the Hunter Case which was decided sometimes back when I was living outside the United Kingdom- as the Senior Court Act 1981 does not apply to Overseas Country.
- It was more reasonable that Hunter Case of 1982 should no longer be followed following the Judgments made on the 25th October 2017.
- 4) Does the Attorney General or HM Solicitor General have the Decision of Finbow Versus Air Ministry which was heard on the 9th April 1963.

This is reported in the Law Reports where the Attorney General, The Solicitor General and the Law Officers does have reasonable access to the Law Reports.

- 5. The Law Officers in the Attorney General Chambers are relying on Repealed Parliaments Act and Misleading the Court and Obtaining Illegal Orders and Making Persons Prisoners in their Own Home.
- 4. On the 18 December 2018 you received a response from the AGO which stated that your request was not a request for information under the Act.

Your request for an internal review

5. You made a request for an internal review on the 18 December 2018 in the following terms:

I am writing to request an internal review of Attorney General's Office's handling of my FOI request 'RE:-Judgment in the Court of Appeal 25-10-2017 Ismail Kamoka and Others V (3) The Attorney General and Crown Proceedings Act 1947 Section 2'.

I am not seeking legal advice from the Attorney General or the Solicitor General of the United Kingdom.

The Judgment given in the Court of Appeal has been published in the Public Domain Document, it is very surprising that your Office does not have the Court Order.

The Crown Proceedings Act 1947, is an Act of Parliament which was enacted before I was born and before I came to the United Kingdom.

The Senior Court Act 1981 does not apply to any Overseas Country where an Injunction Order can't be made when any Person was living outside the United Kingdom.

The Family Law Act 1986 is a Parliament Act, where Section 60, and 59 (1) (2) does state the Word Attorney General.

Declaration of Marital Status Section 55 (1) (d), 58 and 59.

The refusal to intervene when a Petition had been served on the HM Attorney General Chambers at the time, this does amount to Contempt of Parliament Act and Breach of the United Nations Treaties.

The Law Officers may be committing a Treason Charge against the Overseas Country which is a republican State as Once Independence Given can't be taken away.

The High Court Judge had given permission to my Wife and Children to take Proceedings against the Attorney General in his Official Capacity and Personal Capacity. When a High Court Judge gives the Directions than you can't go to a Master and state it is an abuse of Process of Court when the Law Officers are using the Name and Title of the Attorney General or HM Solicitor General for their Own Benefit by Illegal Means.

The Late Master of Rolls Lord Donaldson had stated that the Court of Appeal will refer the History of my Case to the Attorney General and Whether the Attorney General wants to issue an Application under Section 42 of the Senior Court Act 1981, this was in November 1991.

The Courts and Legal Services Act 1990 Section 11, 27, 28 and 119 are Parliaments Act which the Law Officers have ignored the Parliaments Act.

The Law Officers have also failed their Statutory Duty under Section 188 of the Legal Services Act 2007 and Section 23 of the County Courts Act 1984 and Permitted the Bar Mutual Fund Ltd to Pervert the Cause of Justice by failing to take account of the Attorney General's Reference on the 14th October 2002 in the Criminal Division Court of Appeal.

The internal review

- 6. Your request of the 9 December has been reviewed as part of the internal review process. 5 different requests have been identified. Each request will be dealt with separately.
- 7. Your first request mentions a judgement in the Court of Appeal where the Attorney General is a named defendant. You ask whether the Law Officers working for the Attorney General need to be named in the proceedings. This request is not a request for information under the Act.
- 8. The second request asks for section 2 of the Crown Proceedings Act 1974 and decisions made by the Courts under this section. The AGO does not hold this information.
- 9. The third request asks whether the Law Officers have knowledge of the Hunter case and you state that it is 'more reasonble' that it should not be followed. This request is not a request for information under the Act.
- 10. Your fourth request asks for the decision of 'Finbow Versus Air Ministry which was heard on the 9th April 1963'. The AGO does not hold this information.
- 11. Your final request is a statement and is not a request for information under the Act.
- 12. If you would like to access legislation then you can use the following website: www.legislation.gov.uk. You can also access judgements of certain courts at the following website: www.bailii.org.
- 13. If you are not content with the outcome of this internal review then you have right, under section 50 of the Act, to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner recommends that applications are made within two months of the public authority's determination in relation to the internal review (the date of this letter). The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Chesire, SK9 5AF. Further information can also be found online on the ICO website.

Yours sincerely

FOI Officer

D: +44 (0)20 7271 2492

E: correspondence@attorneygeneral.gov.uk