

1. From: FOICOMMONS
Sent: 07 July 2009 17:00
To:
Subject: FO9-343 TDR090716

Dear [Name]

Please see below Freedom of Information request F09-343, due 16 July 2009. We require this information as soon as possible or within 7 working days to meet the deadline.

Please can you supply us with:

- the requested information (and a draft response where applicable)
- or
- confirm that the information has either been destroyed or has never been held

If there are reasons why you believe the information cannot be collated (e.g. will take too long) or shouldn't be released, please let us know promptly. We will need to see some/all the information if so, to confirm exactly which legal exemptions apply.

--

The request is for:

I request a copy of all expense claims, including supporting documentation and other communications with the Fees Office connected with such claims, for Jonathan Djanogly MP, for all years for which records are held.

I am aware that some information has been published at <http://mpsallowances.parliament.uk/mpslordsandoffices/hocallowances/allowances-by-mp/jonathan-djanogly/>, but substantial parts have been blacked out and in many cases I can

see no justification for this in terms of a FOI exemption or derogation. As a simple example of such a case, the address of the website claimed for under the Communications Allowance seems to have been removed.

Please release the full information or supply a proper refusal notice or explanation of why a derogation applies for each redaction.

If you have any questions, please speak to [Name] [extension], [Name] [extension] [Name] [extension] or [Name] [extension].

Many thanks,

[Name]
Project Officer
FOI, Department of Resources
House of Commons
P Please consider the environment before printing

2. From:
Sent: 15 July 2009 11:29

To:
Subject: FW: FO9-343 TDR090716

[Name]

All information relating to this member is on the web site. Member would need to be consulted also advice from [name].

ACA to be treated as personal I.e. home address, wife, husband, partners to be protected. All suppliers to be removed and all security arrangements as these are classed as third party and not relevant to the public domain.

IEP is office costs and therefore required to conduct Parliamentary business. All suppliers names to be shown, however, contact details for suppliers was removed as again this is third party information.

CA is treated in the same way as IEP as this is again an office cost related to Parliamentary business - advertising.

The exception to suppliers was taken in the case of Northern Ireland Members as this was proved to be a security issue to both the Member and the supplier.

[Name]

[Name]
IRIS Project Officer
House of Commons
London
SW1A OAA

3. From:
Sent: 15 July 2009 12:02
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: FO9-343 TDR090716

Dear [Name] / [Name]

See message from [Name] below.

[Name]
Project Officer
FOI, Department of Resources
House of Commons
• Please consider the environment before printing

4. -----Original Message-----
From: FOICOMMONS

Sent: 27 August 2009 11:03

To:

Subject: FW: Freedom of Information request – Jonathan Djanogly expense claims

[Name],

I am not sure if you've caught sight of this email from [Name].

[Name]