

Dr George Oppitz-Trotman

By email

Reference: FOI-2012-85 (Review)

26 July 2012

Dear Dr Oppitz-Trotman,

I write further to the letter addressed to you of today's date from Dr Kirsty Allen, Head of the Registrary's Office, containing the outcome of your request for an internal review of the University's handling of your request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 dated 28 March 2012 (our reference cited above).

Dr Allen has instructed me to annotate the list of materials as you requested; namely, "indicating which items identify specific individuals". Accordingly I have reproduced verbatim the list below and have labelled such items with an asterisk (\*).

Documents submitted to the Court.

Please note that some items in this list are repeated from the list supplied by letter dated 4 April 2012 in answer to an earlier request by you under the Act, FOI-2012-64. Such duplicate items are indicated by a cross sign (†).

Advocate's Statement dated 31 January 2012 (†) with appendices:

Paper A: Letter from the Advocate to the Registrary dated 22 December 2011 (†).\*

Paper B: Copy of statutory provisions.

Paper C: Proctorial Notice on Disruption of Lectures and Seminars dated October 2011.

Paper D: Extract from the Student Handbook 2011-12 entitled 'Freedom of Speech'.

Paper E: Letter from the Senior Proctor and Deputy Senior Proctor to the Advocate dated 7 December 2011 (†).\*

Paper F: Copy of the text of "Go Home, David": An Epistle to David Willetts' (†).

Paper G(i) & (ii): Two photographs of events in the Lady Mitchell Hall on 22 November 2011 (†).\*

Paper H: Extract from the Defendant's student record on CamSIS.\*

The Old Schools Trinity Lane Cambridge, CB2 1TN



Paper I: Witness statement from the Director of CRASSH dated 23 January 2012 (†).

- Two YouTube videos of events in the Lady Mitchell Hall on 22 November 2011.\*
- Clerk's Bundle:

Copy of extracts from the Statutes and Ordinances, 2011.

Copy of 'Court of Discipline: Notice of Practice Statement'.

Note on precedents and similar cases.

2. Information relating to the case held by the University Advocate.

Please note that this list does not repeat items already listed in answer to your earlier request, FOI-2012-64.

- Copy of a petition letter addressed to the Vice-Chancellor protesting about the prosecution.
- Set of papers for the Court hearing.\*
- Notes for the Court hearing.\*
- Copy of the Code for Crown Prosecutors.
- Draft Record of Proceedings and Reasoned Decision of the Court hearing.\*
- Email correspondence with the Assistant Clerk to the Court about possible corrections to the draft Record of Proceedings of the Court hearing.\*
- Final Record of Proceedings and Reasoned Decision of the Court hearing.\*
- Print-out from the *Independent's* website of a letter from certain members of the University concerning the case.
- Email correspondence with CamFM concerning the case.\*
- Email correspondence with a member of the Regent House concerning the case.\*
- Electronic file containing links to internet-based press coverage of the case.\*
- 3. Information relating to the case and the complaint held by the Senior Proctor (as the person making the complaint).

Please note that this list does not repeat items already listed in answer to your earlier request, FOI-2012-64.

- Email correspondence with the University Advocate about arrangements for the Court hearing.\*
- Email correspondence with the University Advocate and the Head of the Registrary's Office about the Defendant's admission to the MA degree.\*
- Email correspondence with the University Advocate about the outcome of the Court hearing.\*
- Email correspondence with the University Advocate and the Registrary about the issue of a Proctorial Statement following the Court hearing.
- Draft of a Proctorial Statement.
- Email correspondence with a member of the public and the Office of External Affairs and Communications concerning the case.\*
- Email correspondence with a member of the Regent House concerning the case.\*



- 4. Records of the trial.
  - Record of Proceedings and Reasoned Decision.\*
- 5. Official statements made by the University about the case.
  - Press statement issued in response to queries from journalists.

This letter concludes the University's response to your original request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. As noted in Dr Allen's letter, if you remain dissatisfied with the University's handling of this request or with the outcome of this review, you may raise the matter by way of appeal to the Information Commissioner who may be contacted at: The Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF (http://www.ico.gov.uk/).

Yours sincerely,

James Knapton