



PINS NOTE 01/2022r2

To:	All Inspectors
Relevancy:	Planning (excluding HAS) casework; Local Plan Examinations (England only)
Date of Issue:	14 January 2022
Review Date:	14 July 2022
Last Updated:	22 February 2022 – Paragraphs 8 and 20 to alert Inspectors to a discrepancy in DLUHC and GLA figures in the London Boroughs of Redbridge, Wandsworth, Richmond and Hounslow.

2021 Housing Delivery Test Results

Introduction

1. On 14 January 2022 the Government published the [2021 Housing Delivery Test \(HDT\) results](#).
2. The HDT measures net additional dwellings provided in a local authority area against the homes required, using national statistics and local authority data. The HDT does not apply to National Park Authorities, the Broads Authority or to development corporations without full plan-making and planning decision making powers.
3. For appeals, the HDT will apply from the **day following publication** of the results, i.e. from 15 January 2022.
4. In a [Written Ministerial Statement](#) made on 6 September 2021, the Minister for Housing announced that the 2021 Housing Delivery Test would be adjusted to account for variations in levels of housing delivery due to disruption in the construction industry caused by the pandemic. A four-month adjustment will be applied to housing requirement figures for 2020-21. This means that there will be a deduction of 122 days to account for the most disrupted period that occurred between the months of April to the end of July.
5. This adjustment has already been applied to the HDT results as published.
6. The thresholds for consequences for under-delivery as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework will be maintained.
7. [Annex 2](#) contains a table comparing the 2020 and 2021 Housing Delivery Test Results. The table has been provided by DLUHC and although every effort would have been made to ensure accuracy, Inspectors should refer to the official

government publications before quoting any HDT figures in decisions or other written communications.¹

8. Inspectors should be aware that there is a discrepancy between the HDT results published by DLUHC and the [results published by the Greater London Authority](#) (GLA) (who assist with the data used by DLUHC in the HDT table) in the London Boroughs of Redbridge, Wandsworth, Richmond upon Thames, and Hounslow. The GLA website states that this was due to an error in submission by the GLA. **Please note that this list is subject to change. Inspectors with casework in all London Boroughs should check the GLA website for further updates.** DLUHC have confirmed that, because they would have to adhere to strict timescales to consult on any updates to the HDT results, they will not be making any amendments to the table at this time. Therefore, Inspectors with any live housing casework in these areas should contact interested parties for comments as soon as possible (see 'actions' below).

Appeals and called-in applications

9. Footnote 8 of the Framework sets out that paragraph 11 d) is triggered in circumstances where the HDT indicates that the delivery of housing has been substantially below (less than 75% of) the housing requirement over the previous 3 years.
10. In addition, where HDT results indicate delivery was below 85% of the housing requirement, a 20% buffer should be applied to the supply of specific deliverable sites (NPPF paragraph 74).
11. Where HDT results indicate delivery has fallen below 95% of the local planning authority's housing requirement over the previous three years, the authority should prepare an action plan in line with national planning guidance, to assess the causes of under-delivery and identify actions to increase delivery in future years (NPPF paragraph 76).
12. These consequences apply concurrently (i.e. where a buffer applies, an action plan will also apply).
13. For casework concerning housing development, where the published HDT results indicate that a change to the status of an authority has occurred then it may be necessary to provide appeal parties with an opportunity to comment on this matter². Further advice about the action to be taken is below.
14. The HDT results additionally have a bearing on the operation of Paragraph 14 of the Framework³.
15. Given that the HDT results are published by DLUHC there should be little, if any, scope for dispute over them.
16. Guidance on the HDT may be found within the [Housing Supply and Delivery](#) section of the PPG. A [Technical Note](#) has been published alongside the 2021 HDT results, which sets out the technical process followed in order to calculate the 2021 Housing

¹ Please note paragraph 8. This table contains DLUHC results and has not been updated to reflect GLA data at this time.

² For comparison the 2020 HDT results may be found [here](#).

³ For applications involving the provision of housing where there is a conflict with a Neighbourhood Plan.

Delivery Test measurement in line with the [published Housing Delivery Test rulebook](#).

Action for appeals

17. Inspectors will need to **review any live casework promptly**, including where decisions have not yet been issued and determine whether the published HDT results necessitate seeking the views of the parties.
18. This should be done on a case-by-case basis but will almost inevitably be required when the status of an individual authority has changed from one category to another. However, where paragraph 11 d) already applies, through a failure to demonstrate a 5-year supply of housing land, it will not generally be necessary to seek further comments. However, if HDT results have featured in the cases put by the parties and there has been a significant percentage change then it is advisable to also seek further views in those circumstances.
19. Where it is necessary to write to the parties to invite comments, this should be arranged through the Case Officer. Outline text for the letter(s) to the parties is available at [Annex 1](#).
20. For appeals involving housing in the London Boroughs of Redbridge, Wandsworth, Richmond upon Thames, and Hounslow, Inspectors should seek the views of all interested parties on the significant changes since the HDT publication for these boroughs, as published by the GLA on its website. Inspectors will then need to decide whether the corrected figures represent a significant 'material consideration' that outweighs the national HDT results in determining these cases.
21. Case officers **will not** despatch **any outstanding decisions** for housing development until confirmation has been received that these have been reviewed by the Inspector and are safe to publish. Therefore, as part of their review of undetermined cases **Inspectors should give clear instructions to their case officer that cases submitted prior to 15 January 2022 can be issued**.
22. Where a Hearing or Inquiry has closed, it is not anticipated that there will be a need to reopen the event. Where it is necessary to seek comments this should be done in writing.

Local Plan Examinations

23. NPPF paragraph 74 c) and footnote 41 state that where the HTD indicates delivery was below 85% of the housing requirement, a 20% buffer should be applied when establishing the 5-year housing requirement.

Action for local plan examinations

24. For plans submitted after 24 January 2019 – if the plan you are examining is seeking to provide a 5-year HLS then you should ask the LPA if the HDT results in a different buffer from the last HDT results and if it affects 5-year HLS (unless you are sure that it does not). What happens after that depends on the circumstances and the stage the plan has reached.
25. For transitional plans submitted on or before 24 January 2019 - in strict terms, the HDT test does not apply to the examination of these plans, even if 5-year HLS is an issue. This is because 2021 NPPF paragraph 220 states that the 2012 NPPF applies

for the purpose of examining these plans – and the 2012 NPPF does not refer to the HDT. However, once the plan you are examining is adopted, the latest HDT will be used to determine the size of the buffer for planning applications and appeals. The HDT could therefore affect whether there is a 5-year HLS. Accordingly, the new HDT could be a material consideration in the examination of these plans. However, it is only likely to be a significant issue if it would result in a different buffer being applied from that resulting from the application of the 2012 NPPF and if this alters whether there is a 5-year HLS. If in doubt, the first stage is to ask the LPA for their view.

Further Information

26. Please contact [Knowledge Centre](#) if you have any general queries on this Note.
27. For case-specific queries, Inspectors should contact their IM in the first instance. The IM may raise the matter with the relevant PFL if necessary.
28. Non-salaried Inspectors should approach [Resource and Process Ownership Team](#) with any queries in the first instance.

Annex 1

HDT 1

2021 Housing Delivery Test (HDT) results

I refer to the above appeal and the 2021 Housing Delivery Test (HDT) results published on 14th January 2022.

The HDT results indicate that, when applying paragraph 74 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (published July 2021), a change to the buffer (moved forward from later in the plan period) which should be applied to demonstrate a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide a minimum of five years' worth of housing against the LPA's housing requirement, is necessary.

If you wish to make comments on this matter, these should be provided within the next 5 working days, clearly marked 'Housing Delivery Test Results 2021' and copied to the [LPA/Appellant] **delete as applicable*** at the same time.

HDT 2

2021 Housing Delivery Test (HDT) results

I refer to the above appeal and the 2021 Housing Delivery Test (HDT) results published on 14th January 2022.

The HDT results indicate that, when applying footnote 8 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (published July 2021), the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained within paragraph 11 d) of the Revised NPPF will apply.

If you wish to make comments on this matter, these should be provided within the next 5 working days (from the date of this letter), clearly marked 'Housing Delivery Test Results 2021' and copied to the [LPA/Appellant] **delete as applicable*** at the same time.

Annex 2

Comparison Between 2020 and 2021 Housing Delivery Test Results

Local Planning Authorities (Excluding London)

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
Adur	48%	Presumption	77%	Buffer
Allerdale	328%	None	308%	None
Amber Valley	155%	None	157%	None
Arun	61%	Presumption	65%	Presumption
Ashfield	65%	Presumption	66%	Presumption
Ashford	90%	Action Plan	118%	None
Aylesbury Vale (Buckinghamshire - newly reorganised)	128%	None	107%	None
Babergh	118%	None	141%	None
Barnsley	117%	None	113%	None
Barrow-in-Furness	-	None	-	None
Basildon	45%	Presumption	41%	Presumption
Basingstoke and Deane	151%	None	182%	None
Bassetlaw	196%	None	248%	None
Bath and North East Somerset	222%	None	184%	None
Bedford	133%	None	144%	None
Birmingham	152%	None	167%	None
Blaby	163%	None	140%	None
Blackburn with Darwen	234%	None	313%	None
Blackpool	324%	None	295%	None

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
Bolsover	145%	None	196%	None
Bolton	66%	Presumption	77%	Buffer
Boston	156%	None	159%	None
Bracknell Forest	174%	None	217%	None
Bradford	90%	Action Plan	74%	Presumption
Braintree	85%	Action Plan	125%	None
Breckland	110%	None	120%	None
Brentwood	69%	Presumption	66%	Presumption
Brighton and Hove	108%	None	136%	None
Bristol, City of	72%	Presumption	74%	Presumption
Bromsgrove	69%	Presumption	44%	Presumption
Broxbourne	74%	Presumption	72%	Presumption
Broxtowe	83%	Buffer	85%	Action Plan
Burnley	392%	None	434%	None
Bury	52%	Presumption	52%	Presumption
Calderdale	50%	Presumption	55%	Presumption
Cambridge	176%	None	133%	None
Cannock Chase	254%	None	231%	None
Canterbury	87%	Action Plan	65%	Presumption
Carlisle	316%	None	346%	None
Castle Point	48%	Presumption	49%	Presumption
Central Bedfordshire	107%	None	137%	None
Charnwood	135%	None	145%	None
Chelmsford	139%	None	140%	None
Cheltenham	191%	None	156%	None
Cherwell	202%	None	153%	None

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
Cheshire East	278%	None	300%	None
Cheshire West and Chester	383%	None	340%	None
Chesterfield	91%	Action Plan	129%	None
Chichester	143%	None	136%	None
Chiltern (Buckinghamshire - newly reorganised)	89%	Action Plan	107%	None
Chorley	115%	None	141%	None
Colchester	113%	None	134%	None
Copeland	692%	None	932%	None
Corby	122%	None	120%	None
Cornwall	133%	None	131%	None
Cotswold	186%	None	138%	None
County Durham	133%	None	145%	None
Coventry	158%	None	217%	None
Craven	191%	None	188%	None
Crawley	252%	None	406%	None
Dacorum	89%	Action Plan	87%	Buffer
Darlington	340%	None	382%	None
Dartford	121%	None	105%	None
Daventry	114%	None	109%	None
Derby	129%	None	128%	None
Derbyshire Dales	187%	None	202%	None
Doncaster	232%	None	229%	None
Dover	80%	Buffer	88%	Action plan
Dudley	126%	None	128%	None
East Cambridgeshire	87%	Action Plan	95%	None
East Devon	122%	None	123%	None

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
East Hampshire	181%	None	138%	None
East Hertfordshire	104%	None	130%	None
East Lindsey	130%	None	136%	None
East Northamptonshire	97%	None	108%	None
East Riding of Yorkshire	146%	None	173%	None
East Staffordshire	186%	None	201%	None
Eastbourne	29%	Presumption	32%	Presumption
Eastleigh	173%	None	178%	None
Eden	313%	None	367%	None
Elmbridge	58%	Presumption	70%	Presumption
Epping Forest	49%	Presumption	35%	Presumption
Epsom and Ewell	34%	Presumption	35%	Presumption
Erewash	69%	Presumption	79%	Buffer
Exeter	153%	None	155%	None
Fareham	79%	Buffer	62%	Presumption
Fenland	98%	None	95%	None
Folkestone and Hythe	91%	Action Plan	85%	Action Plan
Forest of Dean	94%	Action Plan	113%	None
Fylde	202%	None	194%	None
Gateshead	63%	Presumption	87%	Action Plan
Gedling	68%	Presumption	87%	Action plan
Gloucester	146%	None	164%	None
Gosport	84%	Buffer	100%	None
Gravesham	70%	Presumption	57%	Presumption
Great Yarmouth	104%	None	141%	None
Guildford	90%	Action Plan	144%	None

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
Halton	207%	None	196%	None
Hambleton	265%	None	319%	None
Harborough	156%	None	191%	None
Harlow	134%	None	208%	None
Harrogate	229%	None	266%	None
Hart	201%	None	210%	None
Hartlepool	160%	None	163%	None
Hastings	55%	Presumption	42%	Presumption
Havant	72%	Presumption	74%	Presumption
Herefordshire, County of	106%	None	103%	None
Hertsmere	102%	None	88%	Action plan
High Peak	159%	None	140%	None
Hinckley and Bosworth	92%	Action Plan	86%	Action plan
Horsham	155%	None	147%	None
Huntingdonshire	122%	None	152%	None
Hyndburn	253%	None	353%	None
Ipswich	64%	Presumption	82%	Buffer
Isle of Wight	54%	Presumption	58%	Presumption
Isles of Scilly	-	None	-	None
Kettering	103%	None	107%	None
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	94%	Action Plan	96%	None
Kingston upon Hull, City of	241%	None	198%	None
Kirklees	85%	Action Plan	87%	Action Plan
Knowsley	339%	None	410%	None
Lancaster	133%	None	137%	None
Leeds	120%	None	139%	None

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
Leicester	111%	None	101%	None
Lewes	100%	None	116%	None
Lichfield	182%	None	192%	None
Liverpool	152%	None	172%	None
Luton	174%	None	337%	None
Maidstone	146%	None	170%	None
Maldon	121%	None	154%	None
Manchester	133%	None	169%	None
Mansfield	141%	None	178%	None
Medway	55%	Presumption	67%	Presumption
Melton	141%	None	197%	None
Mendip	126%	None	98%	None
Mid Devon	139%	None	127%	None
Mid Suffolk	103%	None	137%	None
Mid Sussex	91%	Action Plan	124%	None
Middlesbrough	230%	None	257%	None
Milton Keynes	110%	None	128%	None
Mole Valley	81%	Buffer	70%	Presumption
New Forest	107%	None	141%	None
Newark and Sherwood	132%	None	173%	None
Newcastle upon Tyne	203%	None	180%	None
Newcastle-under-Lyme	81%	Buffer	132%	None
North East Derbyshire	142%	None	165%	None
North East Lincolnshire	134%	None	161%	None
North Hertfordshire	36%	Presumption	49%	Presumption
North Lincolnshire	94%	Action Plan	113%	None

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
North Norfolk	103%	None	100%	None
North Somerset	81%	Buffer	89%	Action Plan
North Tyneside	115%	None	107%	None
North Warwickshire	140%	None	133%	None
North West Leicestershire	241%	None	227%	None
Northampton	100%	None	90%	Action Plan
Northumberland	257%	None	280%	None
Nottingham	156%	None	163%	None
Nuneaton and Bedworth	105%	None	117%	None
Oadby and Wigston	95%	None	127%	None
Oldham	80%	Buffer	91%	Action plan
Oxford	-	None	2126%	None
Pendle	149%	None	227%	None
Peterborough	113%	None	145%	None
Portsmouth	80%	Buffer	54%	Presumption
Preston	339%	None	393%	None
Reading	141%	None	130%	None
Redcar and Cleveland	389%	None	453%	None
Redditch	-	None	-	None
Reigate and Banstead	120%	None	126%	None
Ribble Valley	319%	None	369%	None
Richmondshire	2113%	None	1622%	None
Rochdale	170%	None	169%	None
Rochford	95%	None	103%	None
Rossendale	64%	Presumption	57%	Presumption
Rother	65%	Presumption	57%	Presumption

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
Rotherham	88%	Action Plan	104%	None
Rugby	132%	None	155%	None
Runnymede	135%	None	109%	None
Rushcliffe	113%	None	122%	None
Rushmoor	199%	None	179%	None
Rutland	189%	None	156%	None
Ryedale	199%	None	191%	None
Salford	219%	None	287%	None
Sandwell	49%	Presumption	52%	Presumption
Scarborough	316%	None	357%	None
Sedgemoor	116%	None	128%	None
Sefton	103%	None	129%	None
Selby	172%	None	175%	None
Sevenoaks	70%	Presumption	62%	Presumption
Sheffield	123%	None	127%	None
Shropshire	154%	None	158%	None
Slough	78%	Buffer	67%	Presumption
Solihull	109%	None	114%	None
South Bucks (Buckinghamshire - newly reorganised)	64%	Presumption	107%	None
South Cambridgeshire	114%	None	145%	None
South Derbyshire	167%	None	192%	None
South Gloucestershire	125%	None	133%	None
South Holland	142%	None	176%	None
South Kesteven	99%	None	110%	None
South Lakeland	176%	None	155%	None

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
South Northamptonshire	118%	None	162%	None
South Oxfordshire	231%	None	173%	None
South Ribble	197%	None	243%	None
South Somerset	95%	None	131%	None
South Staffordshire	108%	None	136%	None
South Tyneside	79%	Buffer	74%	Presumption
Southampton	129%	None	138%	None
Southend-on-Sea	36%	Presumption	31%	Presumption
Spelthorne	50%	Presumption	69%	Presumption
St Albans	63%	Presumption	89%	Presumption
St. Helens	145%	None	187%	None
Stafford	203%	None	196%	None
Staffordshire Moorlands	97%	None	120%	None
Stevenage	64%	Presumption	79%	Buffer
Stockport	92%	Action Plan	92%	Action plan
Stockton-on-Tees	176%	None	185%	None
Stoke-on-Trent	193%	None	188%	None
Stratford-on-Avon	269%	None	240%	None
Stroud	130%	None	161%	None
Sunderland	145%	None	149%	None
Surrey Heath	124%	None	132%	None
Swale	89%	Action Plan	78%	Buffer
Swindon	95%	None	92%	Action plan
Tameside	89%	Action Plan	91%	Action plan
Tamworth	340%	None	471%	None
Tandridge	50%	Presumption	38%	Presumption

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
Teignbridge	98%	None	86%	Action plan
Telford and Wrekin	226%	None	233%	None
Tendring	101%	None	165%	None
Test Valley	173%	None	184%	None
Tewkesbury	162%	None	159%	None
Thanet	54%	Presumption	78%	Buffer
Three Rivers	54%	Presumption	46%	Presumption
Thurrock	59%	Presumption	49%	Presumption
Tonbridge and Malling	91%	Action Plan	63%	Presumption
Torbay	80%	Buffer	75%	Buffer
Trafford	61%	Presumption	79%	Buffer
Tunbridge Wells	85%	Action Plan	97%	None
Uttlesford	129%	None	99%	None
Vale of White Horse	208%	None	195%	None
Wakefield	199%	None	200%	None
Walsall	88%	Action Plan	70%	Presumption
Warrington	57%	Presumption	72%	Presumption
Warwick	122%	None	123%	None
Watford	48%	Presumption	48%	Presumption
Waverley	98%	None	109%	None
Wealden	83%	Buffer	82%	Buffer
Wellingborough	105%	None	119%	None
Welwyn Hatfield	63%	Presumption	66%	Presumption
West Berkshire	107%	None	117%	None
West Lancashire	220%	None	272%	None
West Oxfordshire	153%	None	195%	None

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
Wigan	137%	None	174%	None
Wiltshire	140%	None	141%	None
Winchester	129%	None	139%	None
Windsor and Maidenhead	87%	Action Plan	73%	Presumption
Wirral	96%	None	99%	None
Woking	80%	Buffer	78%	Buffer
Wokingham	200%	None	189%	None
Wolverhampton	111%	None	111%	None
Worthing	52%	Presumption	35%	Presumption
Wycombe (Buckinghamshire - newly reorganised)	124%	None	107%	None
Wyre	132%	None	176%	None
Wyre Forest	84%	Buffer	99%	None
York	84%	Buffer	65%	Presumption

London Local Planning Authorities

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT results	Consequence	2021 HDT results	Consequence
Barking and Dagenham	57%	Presumption	66%	Presumption
Barnet	94%	Action plan	108%	None
Bexley	81%	Buffer	93%	Action plan
Brent	120%	None	138%	None
Bromley	95%	None	89%	Action plan
Camden	79%	Buffer	134%	Buffer

City of London	238%	None	204%	None
Croydon	112%	None	128%	None
Ealing	135%	None	122%	None
Enfield	56%	Presumption	67%	Presumption
Greenwich	90%	Action plan	80%	Buffer
Hackney	90%	Action plan	96%	None
Hammersmith and Fulham	145%	None	106%	None
Haringey	60%	Presumption	75%	Buffer
Harrow	189%	None	179%	None
Havering	36%	Presumption	46%	Presumption
Hillingdon	235%	None	173%	None
Hounslow	154%	None	186%	None
Islington	90%	Action plan	104%	None
Kensington and Chelsea	49%	Presumption	43%	Presumption
Kingston upon Thames	87%	Action plan	76%	Buffer
Lambeth	134%	None	136%	None
Lewisham	88%	Action plan	87%	Action plan
Merton	103%	None	80%	Buffer
Newham	98%	None	114%	None
Redbridge	59%	Presumption	135%	None
Richmond upon Thames	112%	None	248%	None
Southwark	72%	Presumption	90%	Action plan
Sutton	150%	None	119%	None
Tower Hamlets	74%	Presumption	92%	Action plan
Waltham Forest	98%	None	99%	None
Wandsworth	112%	None	105%	None
Westminster	92%	Action plan	96%	None
London Legacy Development Corporation	98%	None	106%	None

Development Corporations

London Legacy Development Corporation	98%	None	106%	None
--	------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

Local Planning Authorities with Joint Plans Being Measured Jointly for HDT 2021 measurement

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Result	Consequence	2021 HDT Result	Consequence
Broadland, Norwich, South Norfolk	133%	None	132%	None
Broadland				
Norwich				
South Norfolk				
Christchurch, East Dorset	91%	Action plan	94%	Action plan
Christchurch	Joint Plan 2			
East Dorset	Joint Plan 2			
Lincoln, North Kesteven, West Lindsey	149%	None	175%	None
Lincoln				
North Kesteven				
West Lindsey				
North Devon, Torridge	141%	None	142%	None
North Devon				
Torridge				
West Dorset, Weymouth & Portland	109%	None	114%	None
West Dorset	Joint Plan 5			
Weymouth and Portland	Joint Plan 5			
Worcester, Malvern Hills, Wychavon	157%	None	155%	None
Worcester				
Malvern Hills				

Wychavon				
Plymouth, South Hams, West Devon	144%	None	128%	None
West Devon				
South Hams				
Plymouth				

Recently Reorganised Local Planning Authorities Scored Jointly for HDT 2021 Measurement

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
West Suffolk	112%	None	128%	None
Forest Heath				
St Edmundsbury				
East Suffolk	109%	None	107%	None
Suffolk Coastal				
Waveney				
Somerset West and Taunton	107%	None	76%	Buffer
Taunton Deane				
West Somerset Buckinghamshire			107%	None

Recently Reorganised Local Planning Authorities Scored at Formal Local Authority Boundaries for HDT 2021 Measurement

Area Name	2020 HDT		2021 HDT	
	2020 HDT Results	Consequence	2021 HDT Results	Consequence
-			Bespoke	
Bournemouth	75%	Buffer	67%	Presumption
Poole	73%	Presumption	78%	Buffer
Christchurch			Joint Plan 2	
Dorset			Bespoke	
East Dorset			Joint Plan 2	
North Dorset	59%	Presumption	69%	Presumption
Purbeck	74%	Presumption	76%	Buffer
West Dorset			Joint Plan 5	
Weymouth and Portland			Joint Plan 5	