From: Figgures, Andrew

Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 06:27 PM

To: Crowther, Paul; McCall, David; Falcon, Iggi; Furness Michael Cc: Redacted Section 40(2)

Redacted Section 40(2) @crosscountrytrains.co.uk)Redacted Section 40(2) @crosscountrytrains.co.uk> Redacted Section 40(2)

Subject: SCOTLAND DEVOLUTION

You may have seen thist......http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2015-16/scotland.html Clauses 38 and 39 respectively devolve legislative and executive competence. The Scottish Government don't like the approach in 39.

Yours Andrew

Andrew Figgures CB CBE Chief Executive

British Transport Police Authority

74 - 80 Camden Street

London NW1 0EG

The Forum (5th Floor N)

T: 020 7383 7708 / M: 07826 891 857 W: www.btpa.police.uk

E:

andrew.figgures@btp.pnn.police.uk



10 years: shaping progress together

BTPA Protective Marking applies to all emails and unless otherwise stated above this email is considered 'NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED'

From:

Falcon, Iggi

Sent:

05 June 2015 14:28

To:

'Millie Banerjee', Redacted Section 40(2)

Figgures, Andrew;

Crowther, Paul; McCall, David; Furness Michael; 'Bill Matthews'; Vitty, Charlotte;

Hanstock, Adrian

Subject:

Scotland - Designation as Cross-Border Authority

Dear all,

As you know, the Scotland Bill was introduced to the House on 28 May, and it contains two distinct set of provisions concerning the status of the BTP. The first, which we had learned about in the 'Command Paper' published on Burns Night, is the removal of the Scottish Parliament's barrier to legislate in matters of railways policing. This effectively deals with the issue of legislative competence and is achieved by introducing an exception clause to the reserved matter that is "Rail transport security", contained in the Scotland Act 1998.

The second set of provisions relate to our new status as a cross-border authority. The Command Paper didn't talk about this proposal, although it did suggest that "further consideration will need to be given to the manner in which executive competence will be transferred". What the Bill proposes, to be exact, is to designate the BTPA as a crossborder authority, as well as the Chief Constable, Deputy Chief Constable and the Assistant Chief Constables. This is what the Bill's explanatory notes have to say about this move:-

This clause facilitates the devolution of executive competence in relation to the policing of railways in Scotland by specifying as cross-border public authorities the British Transport Police Authority, the Chief Constable of the British Transport Police, the deputy Chief Constable of the British Transport Police and the assistant Chief Constables of the British Transport Police ("the BTP Bodies"). The Scotland Act 1998 applies to BTP Bodies in the same way as it applies to any cross-border public authority specified in an Order in Council made under section 88(5) of the Scotland Act 1998 (see clause 38(3)), although one modification is needed to ensure that this is the case.

The designation of the BTP Bodies as cross-border public authorities will result in functions in relation to those bodies being modified immediately so that future appointments to the British Transport Police Authority or to the offices of Chief Constable, Deputy Chief Constable and Assistant Chief Constables will only be able to be made in consultation with Scottish Ministers. Other functions in relation to the BTP Bodies will similarly only be able to be exercised in consultation with the Scottish Ministers unless their effect on Scotland would be wholly in relation to reserved matters.

The designation of the BTP Bodies as cross-border public authorities is envisaged as a first step in the process of devolving greater powers to the Scottish Government. An order could, if required, be made under section 89 of the Scotland Act 1998 to confer further or wider functions on Scottish Ministers in relation to the BTP Bodies or to make other modifications to constitutional arrangements. In the event that the Scottish Parliament exercises the new legislative competence conferred by clause 37 to remove the Scottish aspects of functions of, or relating to, the BTP Bodies, section 90 of the Scotland Act 1998 could then be used to transfer the property and liabilities of the cross-border public authority which will enable the transfer to take place in an orderly manner.

To ensure that the Scotland Act 1998 applies to the BTP Bodies as it does to other cross-border public authorities clause 38(2) provides for the reference in section 88(3) of the Scotland Act 1998 to "precommencement enactments" to be read as a reference to the Railways and Transport Safety Act 2003.

The next step for me is to bottom out what it means to be a cross-border authority. Looking through the list of existing bodies falling within this category is quite interesting... almost none of them bear resemblance to us. I

recognise UK Sports, Visit Britain, the Criminal Injuries Compensation Authority, the Forestry Commission and perhaps a couple more. I was surprised not to see Network Rail or the Security Industry Authority (SIA), for instance.

The Forestry Commission was one we've picked up in the past because they went through an interesting process of 'administrative' devolution in the early 2000s. I believe they've kept that set-up, with the Scottish Government providing the budget for the Scottish side, but continuing to have significant cross-border activities like research, etc. I have scheduled a telephone conference on 15 June with two people in charge of Forestry Commission cross-border services to understand a little more about their arrangements. Hopefully, they'll be able to walk me through governance and accountability issues, like the joint appointment of Forestry Commissioners, which may shed some light on our future relationship with Scottish Government. I will report back on my findings soon.

I trust this is helpful. Please let me know if I you require any further information at this stage.

Regards,

Iggi Falcon Senior Policy Officer

British Transport Police Authority

The Forum (5th Floor N)

74 - 80 Camden Street

London NW1 0EG T: 0207 387 3810 W: www.btpa.police.uk

E: iggi.falcon@btp.pnn.pollce.uk



10 years: shaping progress together

BTPA Protective Marking applies to all emails and unless otherwise stated above this email is considered 'NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED'

OFFICIAL

MINISTERIAL MEETING WITH BTP CHIEF CONSTABLE THURSDAY 7th JANUARY 2016 - 0930-1030 HRS SCOTTISH PARLIAMENT ROOM TG 20/21

Attendance:

Cabinet Secretary for Justice – Michael Matheson MSP
Minister for Transport and Islands – Derek Mackay MSP
BTP Chief Constable – Chief Constable Paul Crowther OBE
BTP D Division Commander – Chief Superintendent John McBride
Scottish Government - Transport Scotland – Gordon Macleod
Scottish Government - Police Division – John Craig
APS to Cabinet Secretary for Justice – Steven Day

Note of Meeting:

1. BTP Strategic Challenges:

- The Chief Constable updated Ministers on the implications for the BTP in the Spending Review 2015.
- The Chief Constable updated Ministers on the current strategic challenges for infrastructure policing throughout the UK.

2. BTP Armed Policing:

 The Chief Constable updated Ministers on BTP armed policing and the ongoing BTP risk assessment for armed policing.

3. Integration of BTP Scotland into Police Scotland:

- The Cabinet Secretary for Justice confirmed the Scottish Government ambition is to maintain a specialist national railway policing unit within Police Scotland which is accountable to the people of Scotland, builds on the excellent skills, knowledge and experience of BTP and enhances railway policing in Scotland.
- The Cabinet Secretary for Justice also confirmed that the ambition of the Scottish Government is to Integrate by 1st April 2018 and to do this in a Joint Programme Board with DfT, BTPA and SPA.
- The Cabinet Secretary for Justice said that the Scottish Government are preparing to Consult on the integration of the BTP in Scotland into Police Scotland and we will share an advance draft with the Joint Programme Board, Police Scotland and the BTP later this month.

4. Date of Next Meeting:

A date will be arranged for the next BTP Quarterly Update.

John Craig Police Division Scottish Government 12th January 2016

1

OFFICIAL

From:

Falcon, Iggi

Sent:

15 January 2016 15:39

To:

Crowther, Paul

Subject:

FW: Scotland - BTP: BTPA action plan

Attachments:

Scotland - BTPA timetabling considerations = v2.xlsx

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Completed

Dear Chief.

For your information. This will be the main agenda item at the meeting with the DfT and the SG in Edinburgh next Thursday.

Please let me know if you need me to brief you on this.

Regards,

Iggi Falcon

Interim Business Manager

British Transport Police Authority

The Forum (5th Floor N)

74 - 80 Camden Street

London NW1 0EG

T: 0207 387 3810

W: www.btpa.police.uk

E: iggi.falcon@btp.pnn.police.uk

Twitter: @BTPAuthority

BTPA Protective Marking applies to all emails and unless otherwise stated above this email is considered 'NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED'

Ü	
Ě	į
9	
Ö	
5	
S	
0)
Ē	
문	1
ē	
Ē	l
-	ļ
(1)	ı
0	l
ಕ	ľ
S	l
-	ı
Ę	l
+	l
0	
9	1
20	
2	
7	Ľ

_		Search Position	End Position	EVSA4 did to L.		
						Sequence (Interdependencies
-	Funding model	D Division (Scotland) funded through Cost	Inframe Subject to design	Univery umetable (and Risk to delivery in RAG) Risk		- A
		Allocation Model (the formula for allocating charges to those who operate and provide services on the network).		A decision needed by and of FY 2016/17 at the lariest in order to revise assumptions for FY2016/19 BTP and Police Sooliand budgeting process.	A decision reeded by and of FY 2017/18 at the latest in order to vevise assumptions for FY2018/20 BTP and Police Scotland audgeling process.	Medium See, No.1 - Decision on future funding model will have consequence all other decisions (2,3.4.5.6.7)
	PSA contracts					
7	• Termination in case of Operators in Scotland		PSAs between BTPA and Scottish operators terminated.	Impact only If PSA-holder does not agree to termination. In which case, the notice would be overdue for switchover on 1 April 2018.	Impact only if PSA-holder does not agree to termination, in which case, the notice could be given on 1 April 2016.	Seq. No 2 - Will depend on decision under 1 Will have
m	- Amendment to Coat Allocation Model in case of		→ New Charging model rolled out for ToCs in	New Changing model rolled out for ToCs in Option is only viable if all PSA holders agree to change the	Online le viciblo il apparona all consultant	consequence on 4.
4	operators in England and Wales		Trigiano and Wales	model, or if damonstrated that the change needs to be made by necessity (this may need to be logally tested.) In this case, the notice must be served no later than 31 March 2017. Important to mate that this may result in signal challenge given sensitivity or TQCs. There would be some difficulty in agreeing a methodology for a revised model that uses Commol Period 5 (2014-2019) inputs if it spans into Control Period 6 (2019-2024).	Cyburi a Visitia in Process of consultation described in Rail Tension's and Safety Act 2003 begins before 1 April 2016. Also visible as per options on left column. No impact concerning transition into Control Period 6.	Seq. No Z - Will depend on decision under 1. Will have consequence on 4.
	Legistative considerations	-	Scottlish parliament makes legislation to	Pre-legislative consultation by Scottish Government to start		
ιο 10	Pereiros	Westminster Parliament	inegrate & Division into Police Scotland.	soon after Scotland Bills cleans UK Parliament. Dratting is consider re-structuring of franding for BTP Scotland, plus consequential amentments to secondary legislation and retilivary business Secondary legislation by DT can only be infriend after Scotlash Parliament's bill to published. Bill to be infriended after Scotlash Parliament in 2016/17 for Royal Assant sometime before the end of TV 2017/18. DTF Bill to be infriended before the end of 2016/17 if its to receive Royal Assent in time for 2016/17 elf its to receive	ranned regeletive unregable would benefit from edditional year. In	Medicin Seq. No.3 Will depend on 1, 2, 5, 5, 6, Will have consequence on 7, 8.
		Officer and Staff).	$\overline{}$	Amangements can be made to allow the SPA to participate in Menum	Add Word take mental mental	
			SPA will perfolipate in axisting achemes if softeness left structurally unchanged, then decision taken on division of past and future perman or division or past at the strategies of the perman or division or person or permanent of the strategies	suksting scheme and thus provides a short-term alop-gen solution post switchover. Declation on SPA's pertitipation or sequentation of schemes important to inform the triennial investment stratelay (but would affect the actuarial valueton). Destination on investment strately are expected to be correldered in early 2016 for the officer softenme and 2017 for stelf scheme, so clanity on future ser-up would be required before the process is underway.	the Scottle members scheme will still impact on investment strategy.	Seq. No 2-Will depend on 1. Will have consequence on 4, 6,
9 AAC	Worldorce transfers	BTPA holds contracts of employment with >> all D Division workforce (officers and police staff)	D Division workforce transferred to Police Scotland in accordance with agreed transfer exhanse View with	Impect on deadline would depend on Transfer schemes to Low.	See left column.	Seq. No 4 - Will depend on 1, 4,
- 8				agree any potential reorganisation of staffing before proceeding to understand liability/obfigations (ransfers.		ψ
		BTPA signatory to all contracts for good and services received by D Division. All seases held by BTPA.	Leases transferred where possible. Relevant contracts at BTP renegotiated to take account of break-up. Decision taken on future of those contracts where	This would depend on declators by SG on contract management during the transition. It may not be practicable to ferminde certain contracts. Also, design needed on how the liability for inchased your of movement as one	See left column. Low	Seq. No 4. Will depend on 1, 2, 4, 8.
			termination is not practicable. be	be managed.		

4, 8,	
8 0	
rs Polishing in Scottand Low Salon the basis of the 3.	
Consultation on new strategy for Rallways Policing in Scotland would need to commence and cf 2017 (on the basis of the current legistative framework).	
Consultation would need I current legis	
ž.	
fiways Policing in and of 2016. BTPA's executes Scotland in any	
lation on new atrategy for Ratiways Policing in Id would need to commence end of 2016, BTP y 2016-2022 would appear to exclude Scotland	
onsuf cotism rateg	
on delivery Consultati gy for 2019- Scotland i te progress Snategy 2 for and sign event.	
its to Stakeholders on a grees haw Strategy in state grees haw Strategy in the stakeholders water tup to swirchover date a d separate strategy for king in Scotlend.	
BTP's strategic objective is to deliver 20% BTPA, reports to Stakeholders on delivery Consultation on a reduction in Cifns, 20% reduction in Dispution and 10% increase in 2022. Souther stakeholders rate progress Strategy 2019-202 on delivery up to switchover date and eign event. Pto the confidence by 31 March 2019 on delivery up to switchover date and eign event. The progress spatials strategy for relivery so policing in Scotland.	
rr 20% →	
objective is to delive ne, 20% reduction in 10% increase in 17 March 2019	
BTP's strategic objective is to deliver 200 reduction in Crime, 20% reduction in Dispution and 10% increase in Confidence by 31 March 2019	
BTP's strategic reduction in Crin Dispution and 1 Confidence by 3	
l F	
S-year strategy ends in 2019	
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	

Ref i PSA Contract Clause 5.8 - Amendment to Cost Allocation Model

(a) if the amendment is, in the reasonable opinion of the BTPA, required to ensure compliance with the 2003 Act or any other legal requirement binding on it, the amendment shall take effect in respect of the next Financial Year following that notification and in subsequent at the tenenthment shall take effect in respect of the next Financial Year following that notification and in subsequent version in an arbitrator or judge:

(c) if the amendment has been agreed in writing between the Authority and the Customer, the amendment shall take effect in respect of the third Financial Year ary other reason, it shall be made only after consultation as required by the 2003 Act and it shall take effect in respect of the third Financial Year is working that rotification and in subsequent Financial Years,