### PROGRAMME SUMMARY REPORT

**Programme: Parliamentary Business** 

Period to: April 2013

Last R	leport
Overa	II RAG
Am	ber
Delivery RAG	Benefits RAG
Amber	Green

This F	leport
Overa	II RAG
Am	ber
Delivery RAG	Benefits RAG
Amber	Green

### 1. Headlines

- Q&A team have delivered wire frames to Answering Bodies and first iteration of system to users (in 3 months)
- Divisions prototype delayed for three weeks due to supplier availability
- Select Committee Portal requirements for Phase 3 gathered and work has commenced
- PQs going first release beginning of May
- Hansard system deployed into training rooms, will use to transcribe live committees
- Escape Sayles Gap Analysis on Select Committee Templates delayed due to unavailability of HoL Committee teams
- Business Case approved for 13/14 funding
- Funding for PDP Overspill not yet agreed

## 2. Planned activities and priorities for the next reporting period

- Sessional Return first release end of May/Early June
- PDP Overspill funding agreed
- Divisions Prototype presentation to Tech Services/Business users

Programme Manager/BRM Status
 Detailed RAG Status
 (drivers of Overall, Delivery and Benefits RAGs)
 Time Budget Programme Resource Resource
 Resource Resource

This Report	GREEN	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber
Last Report	Green	Red	Amber	Green	Red	Green

### 4. Strategic Milestones

No.	Milestone	Forecast	Baseline	Commentary (incl. Reason for slippage if relevant)
		date	date	360510. 1004
	Programme Mandate Approved	July 2012	July 2012	
	Programme Business Case Approved	October 2012	April 2013	
Key	Last Gateway			Will schedule for Summer 2013
Key	Next Gateway			
Key	Programme End Date			Rolling Umbrella
Key	Benefits Realised			

### 5. Programme Finances

	HoC / HoL split	Total Approved costs £'000	Total Forecast costs £'000	In Year Approved costs £'000	In Year Forecast costs £'000	Spend to Date £'000
Resource	75/25	1666000	1666000	0		0
Capital	75/25	50000	50000	0	0	0
Total	75/25			0		

## 6. Top/New Risks

Ref	Risk	)RAG	Mitigation	Owner
1	injured in accident. Currently working from home on the project in a limited capacity. So far all deliverables met.	A	Ensure that is not being over burdened. If so, contact to engage contractor.	
2	Possible delivery timing slip for SCWE portal due to unavailability of developers	Α	scoping requirements and will report back with delivery timescales	PN
3	Divisions prototype will not receive support from business users/House Committees and project will be halted	Α	Work with HoL to ensure good prototype delivery	
4	Funding for PDP Overspill has not been allocated to PBP Programme as of yet, from next month budget will overspend	А	to agree with Joan Miller/PICTAB overspill funding arrangements	

5 May 24<sup>th</sup>, Handover to needs to be complete G is handing over his current programme. Time is limited and may not be available for full handover

# 7. PDP Risks and Issues – Will be incorporated into PBP Programme from next reporting period

Ref	Risk	Residual (i.e. Current) RAG	Owner	Mitigation
R138	There is a risk that if end to end environments are not in place by the time applications built by the programme are deployed, they will not have been tested to a satisfactory level. This will also impact on user acceptance of the applications.	12	3.V/10/2	UPDATE 29.01.13 taken over lead from to discuss progress with the discuss progress with the discuss progress with the discuss progress. Having these environments in place is essential for the success of the CPIMF integration work.  UPDATE 23.4.13 – the facilitating meetings with design discussions ongoing
R154	There is a risk that the requirement for developer resources to be assigned to PDP infrastructure resilience work will have a detrimental effect on the delivery of PDP projects.	9		19.02.13 Resources being carefully managed. UPDATE: Same
R130	There is a risk that the anticipated new support structure for BAU work will not be established in time and/or that it will divert resource from Programme delivery due to the concentration of expertise in individual developers in the PDP	12	•	UPDATE 29.01.13 Projections are that some developers will be able to move to the new BAU team before programme ends. has negotiated 'hit squad' from 3rd party to work on BAU backlog (esp. LBP syncing issue); developers awaiting security clearance.  UPDATE 22.04.13 By start June 2013 new structure in place, however resources may not be in post
R136	There is a risk that the benefits realisation work will not be completed as work for both was covering this piece of work for both Houses; is taking up a new position within Parliament and is leaving the Programme.	9		UPDATE 29.01.13 Mentioned at January PDP Board, no resolution.  UPDATE: 23.04.13 to undertake for Lords, no counterpart in the Commons
R137	Business partners are lacking confidence in shutting down projects for fear no mechanism will be in place for effective business as usual support. This could lead to serious delays to project closures causing the programme to overrun on time and finance.	9		UPDATE 29.01.13 See update R130   In addition, there has been one instance of an office introducing genuinely new (i.e. previously unspecified) requirements prior to handover to support.  UPDATE: 23.4.2013 No Update
R131	There is a risk that the programme will fail to realise its desired outcomes if it does not ensure sufficient visibility and appropriate approval of its necessarily complex technical architecture and design.	8	•	UPDATE 29.01.12 Progress is being made on architectural deliverables. Visit to EA Board planned in February.  UPDATE 23.4.2013 Update with to monitor progress
R152	Risk that the complexities of approving new software applications for deployment on the Parliamentary Network will impact the introduction of Google Chrome application. The HRS application is dependent on Google Chrome.	8	•	UPDATE 29.01.13 Richard Fortune is leading on this as part of his architectural work.  UPDATE 23.4.2013 Update with recommendation progress

Ref	Issue	Residual (i.e.	Owner	Mitigation
		Current) RAG		
l123	The amount of time taken to prepare for release to live is too long to be in step with development delivery. This will lead to compound delays as the Programme progresses, and frustration in business areas as they have to wait for applications that appear complete.	3. Significant		UPDATE 29.01.12 Definite improvement in process and consistency, and some improvement in timeliness as a result. is investigating to ensure that the current process is sustainable / scalable.  UPDATE: 23.4.13 This is currently being monitored during the PQ release, to ensure lessons learned during previous deployments are being implemented.
1130	Our inability to test end to end interactions between integrated applications is leading to a lack of testing and user acceptance. This is true of current live applications (e.g. The Red Book + Renderer + Portal), as well as applications currently in development (e.g. the Hansard applications, & Parliamentary Questions.	2. Major		UPDATE 24.04.13 See update R138
l131	Development delivery is suffering from a lack of strong leadership that a Development Manager would normally provide.	3. Significant		UPDATE 29.01.13 A combination of effort from several staff 'filling the gaps' means that the PDP can manage, but the situation is not sustainable post-programme. Certain teams carry greater risk without having a Dev. Manager. The Dev. Manager post was not filled internally and has been advertised outside. has arranged another x-team working session for the developers.  UPDATE 24.04.13: A development manager has been assigned to the Procedural programme under the new development structure
l133	The EBS Team feel they are not at all engaged in the activities of the PDP.	3. Significant		UPDATE 30.01.13 This was going to be removed as it was though that our efforts had paid off, but today EBS raised concerns that they were unprepared for the new EDMs application. to investigate.  UPDATE: Hansard has a deliverable to deploy the transcription tool to PPE in its current state to give EBS early site of the application.
I129	Testing is being hindered due to poorly configured and maintained environments leading to delays in system testing, and user acceptance testing	3. Significant	V(5	UPDATE 29.01.13 See update R138   System test is more stable than it has been in the past; ↓ to 'significant'
1122	Programme design work and approval is behind schedule due to the chronic lack of available Solutions Architect resource throughout 2011 which was not resolved in time despite frequent, clear escalation.	3. Significant		UPDATE 29.01.13 to lead architecture lessons learned for PDP following request to UPDATE 23.4.2013 Update with to monitor progress

# **Financial Report**

# **Programme Financial Summary Report**

### 2013/14

						Act	uals/Forecas	t £					***************************************	1			
	YTD Actual	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4	Period 5	Period 6	Period 7	Period 8	Period 9	Period 10	Period 11	Period 12	Projected	Current	Variance	
Description	£	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Outturn	Budget	£	%
Total Revenue Expenditure	88,416	88,416	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88,416	1,665,000	1,576,584	94.69
Total Capital Expenditure	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Programme	88,416	88,416	·	-		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	88,416	1,665,000	1,576,584	94.69

Month	Actual	Budget	Variance
Revenue	88,416	146,775	58,359
Capital	-	-	-

## Project Activity Report

		2-20 0000							R.A	AG Statu	ıs				
Project Title (Project ID)	Project Manager	Project Exec	PICT Senior Supplier	Baselined Project Start Date	Baselined Project End Date	Overall Delivery Confidence	Time	Budget	Programme Resource	Business Area Resource	Dependency*	Risks	Benefits Status	Quality	Project Stages Start Up, Planning & Requirements, Technical and TCO Approval, PID, Delivery Stage Reports, Hand Over, Closure
Q&A	Mark Baptist	A Tof	Barret	July 2012	March 2014	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	Start Up
Select Committee Written Evidence	Newbook	G Clarke	Staticy	Aug 2012	October 2013	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	Delivery Stage Report
Select Committee Templates	Newboulk	TBC		April 2013	Dec 2013	G	Α	G	G	Α	G	G	G	G	Planning and Requirements
Bills	S.Peek	5 Burton	ТВС	May 2012	March 2013	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	Planning & Requirements
Hansard	M Smith	L Sutherla nd	D Sagrett	July 2008	Sept 2013	Α	Α	G	Α	G	Α	Α	G	G	Delivery Stage Report
PQs	Sayed (A)	P Evans	Barrett	July 2010	June 2013	Α	Α	G	R	G	G	Α	G	G	Delivery Stage
Sessional Return	∨! Smith	D Lloye	Sarrett	April 2010	May 2013	S	G	G	R	G	G	Α	G	G	Delivery Stage
Divisions	Micholis	Nicholls	da ren	Jan 2012	Unknown at this time	G	Α	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	Planning and Requirements