KEEPING SOUTH WALES SAFE • CADW DE CYMRU'N DDIOGEL



Freedom of Information Request 190/11

Supt Mark Lynch's report was never accepted. The question remains unanswered and this is not good enough as the police force and CPS are saying one thing yet doing exactly the opposition.

Is South Wales Police content to state that perjury is not acceptable, if so that make Mr Hart' statement in the LYNETTE WHITE CASE RIDICULOUS.

We have a Coroners Act yet you wish me to accept that it is meaningless

Your reply is unacceptable and I wish an internal review.

Part VI of the Section 45 Code of Practice places a duty on public authorities to implement a complaints process to ensure that applicants are able to request an internal review if they are not content with an authority response.

An internal review of your original Freedom of Information Request 118/11 has now been conducted in a fair and impartial manner.

The Freedom of Information Act 2000 covers recorded information only. The report forwarded to you by Detective Superintendent Mark Lynch on 16th December 2008 represents the final outcome of the South Wales Police investigation into this matter. As the Freedom of Information Office only covers recorded information and it has been confirmed that no further information is held, South Wales Police maintains the position articulated in our response to your original Freedom of Information request.

 $THIS\ INFORMATION\ HAS\ BEEN\ PROVIDED\ IN\ RESPONSE\ TO\ A\ REQUEST\ UNDER\ THE\ FREEDOM\ OF\ INFORMATION\ ACT\ 2000,\ AND\ IS\ CORRECT\ AS\ AT\ THE\ DATE\ ORIGINALLY\ PROVIDED.$

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the South Wales Police.