

Mr Michael Evans Correspondence Manager Department for Transport Great Minster House 33 Horseferry Road London SW1P 4DR

Web Site: www.gov.uk/dft

Our Ref: F0015767

28 March 2018

Ms S H Tang

[By email: request-464139-9eabdbe7@whatdotheyknow.com]

Dear Ms Tang,

Freedom of Information Act Request – F0015767

Thank you for your Freedom of Information (FOI) request of 12 February 2018. You asked for the following information:

Please treat this as my Freedom of Information request for a copy of West Midlands Railway's Penalty Fare Scheme and its guidance to Authorised Collectors. A copy has not been made available since they took over the franchise from London Midlands.

Please also provide evidence or/and confirmation of when, or whether, this Scheme was approved by the Department.

We wrote to you on 12 March 2018 to confirm that the Department for Transport (DfT) holds information that is relevant to your request. We also advised you that we required more time to consult West Midlands Trains (WMT) and to complete public interest considerations. I am pleased to say that this process is now complete. Replies are provided under each of your requests below.

Please treat this as my Freedom of Information request for a copy of West Midlands Railway's Penalty Fare Scheme and its guidance to Authorised Collectors. A copy has not been made available since they took over the franchise from London Midlands.

On balance, the public interest test favours disclosing most of the information you have requested and I enclose with this letter all correspondence held by the DfT that falls within the scope of your request.

Some of the words contained within page 1 of WMTs' Penalty Fare Scheme have been withheld in reliance on the exemption at section 43(2) - prejudice to commercial interests; of the FOI Act 2000. The information contained is factually incorrect. In addition, information regarding the discretions given to authorised collectors of fares is also being withheld under section 43(2). As section 43(2) is a qualified exemption we are required to balance the public interest in disclosing the information against that for withholding it.

Annex A to this letter sets out the exemption in full and details why the public interest test favours withholding the information.

Please also provide evidence or/and confirmation of when, or whether, this Scheme was approved by the Department.

WMTs' Penalty Fare Scheme was approved by the DfT on 17 November 2017.

The information supplied to you continues to be protected by the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. You are free to use it for your own purposes, including for private study and non-commercial research, and for any other purpose authorised by an exception in current copyright law. Documents (except photographs) can be also used in the UK without requiring permission for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, would require the permission of the copyright holder. Copyright in other documents may rest with a third party. For information about obtaining permission from a third party see the Intellectual Property Office's website at www.ipo.gov.uk.

If you are unhappy with the way the DfT has handled your request or with the decisions made in relation to your request you may complain within two calendar months of the date of this letter by writing to the DfT's FOI Advice Team at:

Zone D/04
Ashdown House
Sedlescombe Road North
Hastings
East Sussex TN37 7GA

E-mail: FOI-Advice-Team-DFT@dft.gsi.gov.uk

Please send or copy any follow-up correspondence relating to this request to the FOI Advice Team to help ensure that it receives prompt attention. Please also remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

Please see attached details of DfT's complaints procedure and your right to complain to the Information Commissioner.

Yours sincerely

Michael Evans

Correspondence Manager – Passenger Services

Your right to complain to DfT and the Information Commissioner

You have the right to complain within two calendar months of the date of this letter about the way in which your request for information was handled and/or about the decision not to disclose all or part of the information requested. In addition a complaint can be made that DfT has not complied with its FOI publication scheme.

Your complaint will be acknowledged and you will be advised of a target date by which to expect a response. Initially your complaint will be re-considered by the official who dealt with your request for information. If, after careful consideration, that official decides that his/her decision was correct, your complaint will automatically be referred to a senior independent official who will conduct a further review. You will be advised of the outcome of your complaint and if a decision is taken to disclose information originally withheld this will be done as soon as possible.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF

Annex A

Section 43(2) - Commercial interests

- (1) Information is exempt information if it constitutes a trade secret.
- (2) Information is exempt information if its disclosure under this Act would, or would be likely to, prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).
- (3) The duty to confirm or deny does not arise if, or to the extent that, compliance with section 1(1)(a) would, or would be likely to, prejudice the interests mentioned in subsection (2).

Factors for disclosure Factors against disclosure The public interest in scrutinising Disclosure of the redacted WMTs' penalty fare scheme. information from page 1 of the attached document would be likely to prejudice the Train Operating Public interest in seeing that WMTs' penalty fare enforcement is Company's (TOCs) commercial complying with the set scheme. interests as this information is factually incorrect. Release of the The Government has promoted the redacted information would be likely ideal that information should be to misinform both the public and rail made public rather than not, and that industry at this time. Government should be more transparent. Disclosure of the redacted information would be likely to prejudice the TOCs commercial interests as it would reveal the list of discretions given to authorised collectors of fares. Public knowledge of this may result in passengers taking advantage of these discretions, which they may not be entitled to. This would result in a loss of penalty fare revenue for WMT which would be likely to prejudice their commercial interests. Full disclosure of the written instructions provided to authorised collectors would be likely to undermine the effectiveness of revenue protection ability. It would assist those intent on not paying a fare which would result in a loss of penalty fare revenue for WMT which would be likely to prejudice their commercial interests.

Disclosure would be likely to make

