

Dave Palmer (ISD)

From: Palmer Dave Mr (LIB)
Sent: 30 March 2009 17:16
To: Mcgarvie Michael Mr (ACAD); Briffa Keith Prof (ENV); Osborn Timothy Dr (ENV); Jones Philip Prof (ENV)
Subject: RE: Freedom of Information Act request (FOI 08-23) - ICO Investigation
Attachments: Out of scope of request

Holland-Palmer_080527.pdf;
Out of scope of request

Gents,
An array of documents for you as follows:

Out of scope of request

5. David Holland letter of 27 May 2008 Out of scope of request

This pretty much is the substance of the exchange between Mr. Holland and ourselves. You have probably seen all of these communications previously but I thought bringing them together would allow you to see the development of the disagreement between ourselves and Mr. Holland.

Out of scope of request

Cheers, Dave

Pages 2-5 redacted as out of scope of request

s. 40(1)
Tuesday, 27 May 2008

David Palmer, Information Policy Officer
Information Services Directorate
University of East Anglia
Norwich
NR4 7TJ

By email foi@uea.ac.uk

Dear Mr Palmer,

Your Ref: FOI_08-23
IPCC, 2007 WGI Chapter 6 Assessment Process

Thank you for your letter of 19th May 2008. My request remains on the basis of either the FOIA or the EIR and it is not immediately obvious to me how one decides which might apply in advance.

I have now read Dr Briffa's letter of 15th May in answer to mine of 31st March for which I have thanked him. As he indicates that he will refer further enquiries to you I must advise you that I do not feel it answers any of my questions satisfactorily apart from the last and continue to seek any and all documents held by CRU relating to Dr Briffa's participation in the IPCC, 2007 assessment reports.

In addition to the questions I put to Dr Briffa, and without limiting my request for all information relating to the IPCC assessment process not already in the public domain, I will specify further particular areas for which I am seeking information.

1. The IPCC stated¹ on July 1, 2006:

"We are very grateful to the many reviewers of the second draft of the Working Group I contribution to the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report for suggestions received on issues of balance and citation of additional scientific literature."

Did the IPCC receive any such "suggestions" in a written form other than those reported in the documents for each chapter entitled "IPCC Working Group I Fourth Assessment Report: *Expert and Government Review Comments on the Second-Order Draft*"²? If so, please provide them.

2. The IPCC also stated on July 1, 2006:

"Reviewers are invited to submit copies of additional papers that are either in-press or published in 2006, along with the chapter and section number 1

¹ http://ipcc-wg1.ucar.edu/wg1/docs/PublicationDeadlines_2006-07-01.pdf

² <http://ipcc-wg1.ucar.edu/wg1/Comments/comments/>

to which this material could pertain, via email to ipcc-wg1@al.noaa.gov, not later than July 24, 2006. In the case of in-press papers a copy of the final acceptance letter from the journal is requested for our records. All submissions must be received by the TSU not later than July 24, 2006 and incomplete submissions can not be accepted."

Please provide a copy of all such responses.

Any such responses described in 1 and 2 above are clearly "written expert and government review comments" as defined in "Procedures for the Preparation, Review, Acceptance, Adoption, Approval and Publication of IPCC Reports" in the Principles Governing IPCC Work.

3. Please also supply any emails or other documents from IPCC contributing author Caspar Ammann or the Journal Climatic Change that discuss any matters in relation to the IPCC assessment process.

Yours sincerely,

David Holland
s. 40(1)

Dave Palmer (ISD)

From: Tim Osborn <~~xx@xxx~~>
Sent: 31 March 2009 09:21
To: Palmer Dave Mr (LIB)
Subject: RE: Freedom of Information Act request (FOI_08-23) - ICO Investigation

Out of scope of request

Cheers

Tim

At 17:16 30/03/2009, you wrote:

>Gents,

>An array of documents for you as follows:

>

Out of scope of request

>27 May 2008 5. David Holland letter of
Out of scope of request

>

>This pretty much is the substance of the exchange between Mr. Holland
>and ourselves. You have probably seen all of these communications
>previously but I thought bringing them together would allow you to see
>the development of the disagreement between ourselves and Mr. Holland.

Out of scope of request

>

>Cheers, Dave

>

>

>

>

Pages 2-6 redacted as out of scope of request

Dave Palmer (ISD)

From: Palmer Dave Mr (LIB)
Sent: 31 March 2009 10:47
To: Tim Osborn
Cc: Mcgarvie Michael Mr (ACAD); Briffa Keith Prof (ENV); Jones Philip Prof (ENV)
Subject: RE: Freedom of Information Act request (FOI 08-23) - ICO Investigation
Attachments: Response_letter_080603.doc

Tim,

Out of scope of request

Cheers, Dave

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Tim Osborn [mailto:x.xxxxxx@xxx.xx.xx]

>Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 9:21 AM

>To: Palmer Dave Mr (LIB)

>Subject: RE: Freedom of Information Act request (FOI_08-23) - ICO

>Investigation

>

Out of scope of request

>

>Cheers

>

>Tim

>

>At 17:16 30/03/2009, you wrote:

>>Gents,

>>An array of documents for you as follows:

>>

>>1. Original request of 5 May 2008

Out of scope of request

5. David Holland letter

>>of 27 May 2008 6.

Out of scope of request

>>

>>This pretty much is the substance of the exchange between Mr. Holland

>>and ourselves. You have probably seen all of these communications

>>previously but I thought bringing them together would allow you to see

>>the development of the disagreement between ourselves and Mr. Holland.

Out of scope of request

>>

>>Cheers, Dave

>>

>>

>>

>>

Pages 3-6 redacted as out of scope of request

Dave Palmer (ISD)

From: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Sent: 10 March 2010 09:00
To: Rymarz Elaine Mrs (REG); Colam-French Jonathan Mr (ISD)
Cc: Palmer Dave Mr (LIB); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Subject: Out of scope of request

Dear Brian

Out of scope of request

Best

Edward

From: Rymarz Elaine Mrs (REG)
Sent: 09 March 2010 17:06
To: Colam-French Jonathan Mr (ISD)
Cc: Palmer Dave Mr (LIB); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Subject: Out of scope of request

As from Brian Summers

Jonathan

I am conscious of the fact that the Review Team is expecting a further document from us along the lines of a briefing to the VC concerning the most significant FOI requests received and how they were dealt with.

That aside, for my own benefit really, I summarised the comprehensive briefing to the Vice-Chancellor to try and bring out the key bits of information therein. This is attached.

Out of scope of request

In terms of questions raised in my mind, and perhaps for some further input into the document:

Out of scope of request

5. In our document Out of scope of request We do not
say much about his letter of the 27 May or our 20 June response.

Out of scope of request

*Elaine Rymarz
PA to the Registrar & Secretary
University of East Anglia
Norwich
Norfolk NR4 7TJ*

e.rymarz@uea.ac.uk

Telephone 01603 592771

This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please accept my apologies; please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this email or take any action in reliance on its contents: to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Please inform me that this message has gone astray before deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.

Dave Palmer (ISD)

From: Rymarz Elaine Mrs (REG)
Sent: 09 March 2010 17:06
To: Colam-French Jonathan Mr (ISD)
Cc: Palmer Dave Mr (LIB); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Subject: Out of scope of request
Summary of FOI requests related to CRU R&S.doc

As from Brian Summers

Jonathan

I am conscious of the fact that the Review Team is expecting a further document from us along the lines of a briefing to the VC concerning the most significant FOI requests received and how they were dealt with.

Out of scope of request

That aside, for my own benefit really, I summarised the comprehensive briefing to the Vice-Chancellor to try and bring out the key bits of information therein. This is attached.

Out of scope of request

In terms of questions raised in my mind, and perhaps for some further input into the document:

Out of scope of request

5. In our document Out of scope of request
say much about his letter of the 27 May or our 20 June response.

We do not

Out of scope of request

Elaine Rymarz
PA to the Registrar & Secretary
University of East Anglia
Norwich
Norfolk NR4 7TJ

e.rymarz@uea.ac.uk

Telephone 01603 592771

This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please accept my apologies; please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this email or take any action in reliance on its contents: to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Please inform me that this message has gone astray before deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.

Summary of FOI requests related to CRU

Set out below are the details of the handling of two individual requests and a number of generic categories of requests the University has received seeking the disclosure of information under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), or the Environmental Information Regulations (EIR), and relating to Climatic Research Unit (CRU).

Out of scope of request

2. Mr David Holland (DH)

- 2.1 In May 2008, DH requested “all letters, facsimile and email correspondence to and from Drs Briffa and Osborn in connection with their work as an IPCC Lead Authors, including, but not limited to correspondence between them [and a number of named scientists]”. He also requested “any internal CRU correspondence in connection with the IPCC WGI assessment process and discussion of IPCC Principles, rules, or procedures”.
- 2.2 While this request was originally approached under EIR, following objections by Mr Holland in an email of 8 May 2008 it was agreed to

proceed under FOIA. On 27 May 2008 DH noted that he would wish to pursue his request either under FOIA or EIR and added further requests.

Pages 2-5 redacted as out of scope of request