

[Note: All redactions in this document are Section 40 exemptions]

Meeting Record

<p>2. Restriction on Technology</p>	<p>■ asked for specific examples of what technologies could not be procured if an RF only position was adopted, noting that the policy note (PPN) was advisory not mandatory. ■ stated that within hardware, GSM and and wi-fi were examples.</p> <p>■ and ■ to revert with specific examples for software.</p> <p>■ did not believe that this was a problem for the UK public sector as it was not looking to procure technology in a way that caused the preference of RF over FRAND to be a problem for intellectual property right holders. ■ stated that the basic problem that UKG is trying to solve by this PPN is interoperability at the software level.</p>
<p>3. Basis for Procurement Note</p>	<p>■ asked what the economic basis for the policy note was. ■ stated that the basis for the choice was stated in the PPN. ■ agreed to revert with further background.</p>
<p>4. Closing Remarks</p>	<p>■ stated that we should take away the respective actions and arrange a follow-up meeting.</p> <p>■ confirmed that the UKG fully intended to continue to pay for software where appropriate on a most economic advantageous basis and that it would respect the rights of IP holders. ■ welcomed this confirmation.</p> <p>■ asked who the owner of IPR issues was within Government. ■ stated that the owner was Minister for Cabinet Office and all queries should come through him.</p> <p>■ asked BSA to confirm they were happy with the conduct of the meeting. ■ confirmed he felt comfortable and looked forward to meeting further.</p>
<p>5. Actions</p>	<p>BSA to supply examples of other nations which have explicitly adopted FRAND policies</p> <p>BSA to supply specific examples for software which could not be purchased if RF adopted.</p> <p>GPS to supply background to PPN</p>