

UNCLASSIFIED

[Note: All redactions in this document are Section 40 exemptions]

From: [REDACTED] Cabinet Office [REDACTED]

Date: 4 October 2011 12:34

Subject: [UNCLASSIFIED] RE: Notes from our meet

To: [REDACTED]@microsoft.com [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]@rbwm.gov.uk" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

Will need to come back to you on those first two. Would not phrase the second sentence that way. Also you didn't provide examples – [REDACTED] picked everything up and packed it into his bag!

On the second point I think again we would just say that you asked for evidence that employing an open standards approach would help us achieve our aims and I explained. Seems you didn't "get" the explanation so I will send you more info, but a quick look at "better for Less" the paper we wrote in 2010 seems to satisfy most people. Let me know if you need more.

I think on the last point we do need to stick was what was there as even [REDACTED] knew about the approaches – and said so in the meeting when I mentioned them.

On the Reading MP incident it was reported back to me as being [REDACTED]

The email to me at RBWM followed a number of days after the 31st March "Open Source in the Public Sector" Conference at Inmarsat, London. This was sent at 09.51 on 28 April 2009.

Let me know if you need any more info

[REDACTED]

UNCLASSIFIED