NAME OF PE	RSON ASSESSED				•
	sness, in your opinionsing Act 1996 (amer				in regards to Part
Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulnerable	<b>⊠</b> .
Epilepsy – N	lo evidence of un	controlled s	eizures or fr	equent hospita	I admissions.
dose antider are actively	with Anxiety / Me oressant medicat suicidal or acutely secondary care m	ion and anti y psychotic.	-psychotic. <sup>-</sup> There is no	There is no indi	cation that they
	k the specific meds s now defined.	dical issues	render the a	applicant signifi	cantly
Future Hous	ing Needs - Nil S	pecific			

Assessed and completed by

Date: 27th November 2016

		ion is the person vulne inded by Homelessnes	erable or not vulnerable in regards to less legislation 2002).	Part
Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable	Not Vulnerable ⊠	
	- not under psychiatri ry - presumably unilat		referral pending or deemed necessar	ry
I don't think defined	the specific medical i	ssues reach the thresh	nold of significant vulnerability as now	
	•			
	. •			
		,		
	•			
	•			

NAME OF P	ERSON ASS	ESSED:					
					ble or not vuln legislation 200		regards to Part
Answer:	Homeless	ness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulne	erable [	⊴
long-term medeemed neo Drug/alcohol Overdose ris	ental illness; essary I abuse - not p sk - I acknowle	not u primarily a edge this i	inder psychi medical ma isk on impu	iatric care atter Ise, but I n	e events rathe nor is any suc ote bein o indicate curre	n referral g prescril	pending or ped sleeping
I don't think t defined.	the specific m	edical issi	ues reach th	e threshol	d of significan	t vulneral	oility as now
					oe provided wi , to provide so		ince in the usua ity.
			,				
	•						
			,				

Date: 2nd December 2016

NAME OF PERSON ASSESSED:				
For Homelessness, in your opinion VII of the Housing Act 1996 (amen				in regards to Part
Answer: Homelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulnerable	
Depression - not under psychiat deemed necessary Musculoskeletal problems - the with walking aids		-		-
I don't think the specific medical now defined.	l issues read	h the thresho	ld of significant	vulnerability as
	•			
Assessed and completed by			Date: 2nd Dece	mher 2016
Accepted and completed by				

**For Homelessness**, in your opinion is the person vulnerable or not vulnerable in regards to Part VII of the Housing Act 1996 (amended by Homelessness legislation 2002).

NAME OF PERSON ASSESSED:

Assessed and completed by

Unfortunately the GP is manage affairs with support this comment being treated at the medical matters.	hout support, there		edical record to e	explain or
We may learn more fro	om the psychiatric	appointment the app	olicant apparently	attended on
(the GP didn't	send us the letter h	e holds on file abou	it this), and it woເ	ild be helpful
to obtain their report r current specific medic	olease. Based on th all issues to reach t	e information availa the threshold for sig	ible, I can't find co Inificant vulnerab	ility. I will
happily review if furthe				•
		•		
	•			
	•	•		
		•		•

Date: 6th December 2016

NAME OF PERSON ASSESSED:

		your opinion is t 1996 (amended l					le in regar	ds to Pa
available, l	c <u>an't</u> find a	absence of any langthing to indi- daily activities	cate	condition				
		fic medical issu opily review if m						
•								
							ı	
Assessed a	nd comple	ted by			Date	: 6th Dec	cember 20	16

NAME OF F	PERSON ASSESSED				
	essness, in your opini ousing Act 1996 (ame	•		rable or not vulnerable s legislation 2002).	in regards to Part
Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulnerable	$\boxtimes$
on the flat a Depression necessary	and can accomplish	some stairs tric care nor	is any s	etains reasonable inde uch referral pending c evance	
l don't thin now define		al issues reac	h the th	reshold of significant	vulnerability as

Assessed and completed by

Date: 6th December 2016

NAME OF	PERSON ASSESSED	:					
	essness, in your opini ousing Act 1996 (ame	•				in regards to Pa	٢
Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable			Not Vulnerable		
prevents	iess - I can't find anyt undertaking his b e - now abstinent, an	asic daily act	ivities (	or func	tion	onsistently hoice	
l don't thin now define	k the specific medicand.	il issues read	h the t	hresho	ld of significant	t vulnerability as	>
				e.			
Assessed a	and completed by		<b>.</b> .	-	Date: 6th Dece	mber 2016	

#### HOUSING ADVISOR MEDICAL APPROVAL SHEET

APPLICANTS NAME:	
DOB:	·
PERSON TO BE ASS	ESSED:
HIL No:	oth December 2016
DATE RECEIVED:	
Homelessnes	s: VULNERABLE  NOT VULNERABLE
Locata: A	A □ B □ C □ D ⊠
condition currently co activities or function	er psychiatric care adn I can't find anything to indicate consistently prevents undertaking basic daily ms - the applicant retains independent mobility on the flat in employment
I don't think the speci vulnerability as now o	fic medical issues reach the threshold of significant lefined.
A double bed isn't me	dically essential.
	Date: 6th December 2016

NAME OF PERS	SON ASSESSED						
	i <b>ess</b> , in your opiniong Namer (amer					regards	to Part
For Locata, in y the Housing Act	our opinion, what 1996.	Banding and /	or DSL sl	nould be awarde	d in re	gards to I	Part VI of
Answer: H	lomelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulner	able [	$\boxtimes$	
are actively suici	sues - Anxiety / De idal or acutely psy mental health serv	chotic. There i					
Future Housing	needs - Nil Specifi	c				·	
							•
		;					

Date: 7th December 2016

		*			
NAME OF PE	RSON ASSESSED:				
	s <b>ness</b> , in your opinio sing Act 1996 (amer				in regards to Part
Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulnerable	
	letal Issues / chro n permanent walk	•	The applic	ant is mobile on t	he flat and is
actively suic	se of anti-depress idal or acutely ps secondary care m	ychotic. The	ere is no r	equirement of inv	-
	k the specific med s now defined.	dical issues	render th	e applicant signifi	cantly
Future Hous	ing needs :				
Any floor wit Max first floo Nil else spec	or if unlifted				
					•
			•		

Date: 7th December 2016

•		
NAME	OF PERSON ASSESSED:	
	•, , _,,,••,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

For Homelessness, in your opinion is the person vulnerable or not vulnerable in regards to Part VII of the Housing Act 1996 (amended by Homelessness legislation 2002).

For Locata, in your opinion, what Banding and /or DSL should be awarded in regards to Part VI of the Housing Act 1996.

Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulnerable	
secondary care	issues - Depression e follow up, but there re is no requirement	e is no indicati	on that they a	re actively suicida	al or acutely
Mobility issues	- applicant has chr	onic pain, but	is able to walk	on the flat, albei	t with crutches
l do not think ti defined.	ne specific medical i	ssues render	the applicant s	significantly vulne	rable as now
Future Housing	g needs :				
Any floor if lifte Ground floor if Nil else specifi	unlifted		•		

Date: 7th December 2016

				•	
NAME OF PE	RSON ASSESSE	D:			
For Homeles VII of the Hou	sness, in your opir using Act 1996 (ame	nion is the pers ended by Home	on vulnerabl elessness le	e or not vulnerable gislation 2002).	in regards to Part
Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulnerable	$\boxtimes$
undertaking h	t find anything to in is basic daily activit not primarily a med	ties or function		ly consistently prev	ents <b>Ex</b>
I don't think th defined.	ne specific medical	issues reach th	ne threshold	of significant vulne	rability as now
•					•
Assessed an	d completed by			Date: 13th Dec	ember 2016

NAME OF PE	RSON ASSESSED	): <b>(</b>	•		
For Homeless VII of the Hou	sness, in your opin sing Act 1996 (ame	ion is the pers ended by Home	on vulnerable elessness legi	or not vulnerable slation 2002).	in regards to Part
		•	_		· ·
Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulnerable	
l'm hampered described as	l by the absence of partial only, and o	of any detailed cataracts are	l medical rep potentially tre	orts. However eatable by surge	visual loss is ry.
In the first ins significant vu available.	stance I don't thin Ilnerability as nov	k the specific v defined. I wi	medical issu II happily rev	ies reach the thro iew if hospital re	eshold of ports become
		•			
Assessed and	d completed by		-	Date: 13th Dec	ember 2016

	ssness, in your op ousing Act 1996 (am				le in regar	ds to Part
	•					
Answer:	Homelessness	: Vulnerable		Not Vulnerabl	e 🛚	
mobility and	nts in the benefit l I function, and as	such seems b	roadly to s	upport the previo		nation. I
this case.	the threshold for s	ignificant vuln	erability is	met on specific		rounds. ii
	the threshold for s	ignificant vuln	erability is	met on specific		rounds. ii
	the threshold for s	significant vuln	erability is	met on specific		rounds. ii
	the threshold for s	ignificant vuln	erability is	met on specific		rounds. i
	the threshold for s	ignificant vuln	erability is	met on specific		rounds. i
	the threshold for s	ignificant vuln	erability is	met on specific		rounds. i

Date: 20th December 2016

NAME OF PE	ERSON AS	SSESSED:				
Answer:	Homele	ssness:	Vulnerable	o ·	Not Vulnerable	
stairs	etal /heart ¡	oroblems -	the applicant	retains inde	rral pending pendent mobility or e independent fund	
I don't think ti defined.	he specific	medical is	sues reach th	ne threshold	of significant vulne	ability as now
20 🗆	Dec 16					
•						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•	
•						

Assessed and completed by

Date: 20th December 2016

NAME OF P	ERSON ASSESSED	*			
	ssness, in your opini using Act 1996 (ame			rable or not vulnerable s legislation 2002).	in regards to Part
Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulnerable	
Depression - necessary Suicidal idea Alcohol abus	not under psychiatric ation (thoughts) - desc se - not primarily a me	c care, nor is a cribed as fleet edical matter	any such	andard oral medication referral pending or de in the GP record old of significant vulne	emed currently
Assessed a	nd completed by			Date: 6th Janua	ary 2017

NAME OF P	ERSON ASSESSED				
For Homeles VII of the Hou	ssness, in your opiniousing Act 1996 (amer	on is the pers	on vulne elessne:	erable or not vulnerable ss legislation 2002).	in regards to Part
Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulnerable	
Depression - necessary Suicidal ideat Alcohol abuse	not under psychiatric ion (thoughts) - desc e - not primarily a me	care, nor is a ribed as fleet dical matter	any such		
I don't think the defined.	ne specific medical is	sues reach th	e thresh	nold of significant vulner	ability as now
			5		
		•			
Assessed and	d completed by			Date: 6th Janua	ry 2017

#### HOUSING ADVISOR MEDICAL APPROVAL SHEET

APPLICANTS NAM	E: I
DOB:	
PERSON TO BE AS	SSESSED:
HIL No:	6th December 2016
DATE RECEIVED:	
Homelessn Locata:	ess: VULNERABLE   NOT VULNERABLE
Locata.	
condition currently activities or function	olems - the applicant retains independent mobility on the flat
l don't think the sp vulnerability as no	ecific medical issues reach the threshold of significant w defined.
A double bed isn't	medically essential.
	Date: 6th December 2016

		•			
NAME OF F	PERSON ASSESSED	:			
For Homele VII of the Ho	essness, in your opiniousing Act 1996 (ame	on is the personded by Home	on vulnerable elessness leg	e or not vulnerable gislation 2002).	in regards to Part
Answer:	Homelessness:	Vulnerable		Not Vulnerable	
mobility an	ents in the benefit let d function, and as su the threshold for sig	uch seems br	oadly to su	pport the previou	s information. I
. •					
		•			

Assessed and completed by

Date: 20th December 2016