

Neale Boswell

From: Neale Boswell
Sent: 30 January 2012 09:17
To: 'x@x'
Subject: Request for an Internal review for 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event

Our Reference: 13939123

Dear Mr James,

I acknowledge receipt of your email to request an Internal review of your Environmental Information Regulations request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event.

We will now conduct a review and provide a response to you within 20 working days or no later than 40 working days in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Please use the above reference number in all future correspondence.

Yours sincerely,

Neale Boswell
Information Management
Durham County Council

Neale Boswell

From: Nick James [✉]
Sent: 28 January 2012 12:07
To: Freedom of Information
Subject: Internal review of Freedom of Information request - Lumiere why did it not achieve more.

Dear Durham County Council,

Please pass this on to the person who conducts Freedom of Information reviews.

I am writing to request an internal review of Durham County Council's handling of my FOI request 'Lumiere why did it not achieve more.'.

[delay in answering questions]

A full history of my FOI request and all correspondence is available on the Internet at this address:
[http://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/lumiere why did it not achieve m](http://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/lumiere%20why%20did%20it%20not%20achieve%20more)

Yours faithfully,

Nick James

Please use this email address for all replies to this request:
request-96671-bbc7081b@whatdotheyknow.com

Disclaimer: This message and any reply that you make will be published on the internet. Our privacy and copyright policies:
<http://www.whatdotheyknow.com/help/officers>

If you find this service useful as an FOI officer, please ask your web manager to link to us from your organisation's FOI page.

Neale Boswell

From: Freedom of Information
Sent: 21 February 2012 11:02
To: David Taylor
Subject: FW: Internal review of Freedom of Information request - Lumiere why did it not achieve more.
Attachments: FW: Draft response to Lumiere ref 11237679 ; FW: EIR 11237679 Lumiere Risk Assessment and other questions; FW: EIR 11237679 Lumiere Risk Assessment and other questions; RE: Lumiere de-brief = Draft; RE: EIR 11237679 Lumiere Risk Assessment and other questions; FW: Overdue request need your help please. EIR 11237679 Lumiere Risk Assessment and other questions; RE: EIR 11237679 Lumiere Risk Assessment and other questions; RE: FOIs; FOIs; RE: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); FW: Lumiere. Kingsgate Bridge; FW: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); RE: latest update from Nick James on his request for lumier risk assessment; FW: Request for information: ref. 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event ; Request for information: ref. 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event ; Request for information: ref. 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event ; Re: Request for information: ref. 11237679; RE: Freedom of Information request - Lumiere reference 11237679; RE: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); RE: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); FW: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); RE: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); FW: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); RE: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); RE: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); RE: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); RE: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); RE: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); FW: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); FW: EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); EIR request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (RESPONSE DEADLINE 05/1/12); FW: ref. 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event (TO GATEKEEP); Request for information: ref. 11237679; Freedom of Information request - Lumiere why did it not achieve more.;
Untitled

Hi David,

Please see below for a request for an Internal Review of EIR 11237679. As Lawrence was the PO for the request, can you please conduct the review for us. Lawrence will prepare the response to Q8. I've attached the full email history.

Just let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks

Dan Morris
Information Management Assistant
0191 372 8376

-----Original Message-----

From: Freedom of Information
Sent: 21 February 2012 10:55
To: 'Nick James'
Subject: RE: Internal review of Freedom of Information request - Lumiere why did it not achieve more.

Our Reference: 14661627

Dear Mr James,

I acknowledge receipt of your email to request an Internal review of your Environmental Information Regulations request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event.

We will now conduct a review and provide a response to you within 20 working days or no later than 40 working days in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Please use the above reference number in all future correspondence.

Yours sincerely,

Dan Morris
Information Management
Durham County Council

-----Original Message-----

From: Nick James [<mailto:request-96671-bbc7081b@whatdotheyknow.com>]

Sent: 28 January 2012 12:07

To: Freedom of Information

Subject: Internal review of Freedom of Information request - Lumiere why did it not achieve more.

Dear Durham County Council,

Please pass this on to the person who conducts Freedom of Information reviews.

I am writing to request an internal review of Durham County Council's handling of my FOI request 'Lumiere why did it not achieve more.'.

[delay in answering questions]

A full history of my FOI request and all correspondence is available on the Internet at this address:

[http://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/lumiere why did it not achieve m](http://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/lumiere%20why%20did%20it%20not%20achieve%20more)

Yours faithfully,

Nick James

Please use this email address for all replies to this request:
request-96671-bbc7081b@whatdotheyknow.com

Disclaimer: This message and any reply that you make will be published on the internet. Our privacy and copyright policies:
<http://www.whatdotheyknow.com/help/officers>

If you find this service useful as an FOI officer, please ask your web manager to link to us from your organisation's FOI page.

Neale Boswell

From: Freedom of Information
Sent: 21 February 2012 10:55
To: 'Nick James'
Subject: RE: Internal review of Freedom of Information request - Lumiere why did it not achieve more.

Our Reference: 14661627

Dear Mr James,

I acknowledge receipt of your email to request an Internal review of your Environmental Information Regulations request 11237679 - Details of Lumiere event.

We will now conduct a review and provide a response to you within 20 working days or no later than 40 working days in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Please use the above reference number in all future correspondence.

Yours sincerely,

Dan Morris
Information Management
Durham County Council

-----Original Message-----

From: Nick James [<mailto:request-96671-bbc7081b@whatdotheyknow.com>]
Sent: 28 January 2012 12:07
To: Freedom of Information
Subject: Internal review of Freedom of Information request - Lumiere why did it not achieve more.

Dear Durham County Council,

Please pass this on to the person who conducts Freedom of Information reviews.

I am writing to request an internal review of Durham County Council's handling of my FOI request 'Lumiere why did it not achieve more.'.

[delay in answering questions]

A full history of my FOI request and all correspondence is available on the Internet at this address:
http://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/lumiere_why_did_it_not_achieve_m

Yours faithfully,

Nick James

Please use this email address for all replies to this request:
request-96671-bbc7081b@whatdotheyknow.com

Disclaimer: This message and any reply that you make will be published on the internet. Our privacy and copyright policies: <http://www.whatdotheyknow.com/help/officers>

If you find this service useful as an FOI officer, please ask your web manager to link to us from your organisation's FOI page.

Neale Boswell

From: Lawrence Serewicz
Sent: 20 February 2012 19:25
To: Freedom of Information
Subject: FW: Internal review of Freedom of Information request - Lumiere why did it not achieve more.

Please log and acknowledge.

We need this to go to David Taylor as I handled the response. We will prepare the response for a response to question 8 (the only one unanswered).

Thanks

Lawrence

-----Original Message-----

From: Nick James [<mailto:request-96671-bbc7081b@whatdotheyknow.com>]
Sent: 17 February 2012 16:04
To: Lawrence Serewicz
Subject: Internal review of Freedom of Information request - Lumiere why did it not achieve more.

Dear Lawrence Serewicz,

This is not a full reply to my question and I am deeply disappointed and will be taking this matter further as I believe you are intentionality failing to meet your legal obligations

Please give me answers to points eight onwards.

There has been no real attempt to answer these questions and given the amount of time it has taken to produce the documents that you have I am most concerned as to why this is.

Please read my request again and seek answers where you have not provided them.

Yours sincerely,

Nick James

-----Original Message-----

Dear Mr. James,
Please find attached our response to your request for information.
Please accept our apologies for the long delay in responding.

If you have any further questions or need any more information, please contact me directly or the information management team at [Durham County Council request email]

Best,

Lawrence

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link

attachments:

2010 Lumiere stakeholders meeting - mins 2010 Jan 27
2010 County Durham Partnership Notes September
2010 Lumiere 2009 - Lessons Learnt meeting Jan 12
2009 Lumiere Event Management REDACTED
CX Emails Lumiere
2011 Durham Lumiere Event Management REDACTED
2011 Minutes 5 December
2011 Minutes 19 October
2011 Minutes 18 October
EIR 11237679 Lumiere Response final draft
2009 SAG Lumiere de-brief minutes 26 November
2011 Lumiere Insurance Contract reference 11237679

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

Please use this email address for all replies to this request:
request-96671-bbc7081b@whatdotheyknow.com

Disclaimer: This message and any reply that you make will be published on the internet. Our privacy and copyright policies:
<http://www.whatdotheyknow.com/help/officers>

If you find this service useful as an FOI officer, please ask your web manager to link to us from your organisation's FOI page.
