

Using the 2012-13 Diagnostic and Outcome Monitoring Executive Summary Report (DOMES)

Introduction

The Diagnostic and Outcome Measure Executive Summary (DOMES) Report is a quarterly report that contains key treatment outcome and diagnostic data at a partnership level to assist local areas to monitor performance and compare that to national trends. The report has been designed to give an 'at a glance' view of performance against outcomes for different levels of stakeholders in the partnership.

All items on the report are for adults and key outcome indicators are broken down by opiate only and non opiate users and graphical trend data is also presented alongside most indicators, either as a trend graph or pie chart. All items on the report are based on the adult treatment population.

Cluster comparators

In section 2 (Successful Completions) cluster performance is presented as a comparator. Clusters have been determined distinctly for opiate and non-opiate populations. Partnerships may find that they are in different clusters for opiate and non-opiate clients. The respective opiate and non-opiate clusters relevant to the partnership are shown at the top of the report.

For the 'Successful completions as a proportion of all in treatment' item, the comparator provided is the top quartile average for the relevant cluster. This is the range required to be in the top 25% of partnerships in the given cluster, with the upper end of the range showing the performance of the best performing partnership in the cluster. Below this comparator is the number of successful completions that the partnership would require to be in this range, based on applying the proportions to the current number of clients in treatment in the partnership. The lower end of this range is the first (whole) number of completions which would return a proportion which is in the top quartile range.

For the 'Proportion who successfully completed treatment in the first 6 months of the latest 12 month period and re-presented within 6 months' item, a similar approach is used. However, in this case the desirable result is a lower proportion and correspondingly the 'top' quartile range goes from the best performing partnership (the one with the lowest proportion) to the maximum proportion that is still within the best 25% of partnerships. This is alternatively presented in terms of the number of re-presentations required based on the current number of successful completions, with the upper end of the range being the last (whole) number of re-presentations which would return a proportion within the top quartile range.

For the 'Percentage growth in successful completions since 2011-12' item, a simple cluster average is used. This is the overall change in numbers of successful completions in the cluster expressed as a percentage growth.

Definition of Report Items

Report Section	Data Item	Time Period	Level	Definition
1. Investment	PTB, DH DIP, HO DIP, Mainstream, Prison ,Other	Current Financial Year	N/A	The total local area investment in drug treatment for 2012-13 broken down by pooled treatment budget (PTB) and Department of Health (DH) and Home Office (HO) DIP allocations, mainstream (PCT, Social Services, Section 31/28 and probation), prison and other sources.
2. Successful completions	Successful completions as a proportion of all in treatment	Rolling 12 months	Individual – latest treatment journey	The number and proportion of clients in treatment in the latest 12 months who successfully completed treatment, reported separately for opiate and non-opiate clients. Baseline performance for 2011-12 is shown as well as performance at the latest month. The range required to be in the top quartile for the cluster is reported, both as a proportion and as a number (see ‘Cluster comparators’ section at the top of this guidance). Graphs to the right show progress for opiate and non-opiate clients at each month since April 2010.
	Proportion who successfully completed treatment in the first 6 months of the latest 12 month period and re-presented within 6 months	Rolling 6 months (set 6 months back)	Individual – latest successful completion in the rolling 6 month period	The number and proportion of clients successfully completing treatment in the first six months of the last twelve months who re-presented within six months of completing treatment, reported separately for opiate and non-opiate clients. The range required to be in the top quartile for the cluster is reported, both as a proportion and as a number (see ‘Cluster comparators’ section at the top of this guidance). A graph to the right shows progress for opiate and non-opiate clients at each month since April 2010.
	Percentage growth in successful completions since 2011-12	Rolling 12 months	Individual – latest treatment journey	The proportional change in the number of successful completions from the rolling 12 month baseline to the latest 12 month period that can be reported. Baseline performance for 2011-12 is shown as well as performance at the latest month. The average growth for the cluster is reported (see ‘Cluster comparators’ section at the top of this guidance). A graph to the right shows the progress at each month in the year-to-date against the 2011-12 baseline for the partnership for opiate and non-opiate clients.
	Criminal Justice clients	Rolling 12 month/Rolling 6 months (set 6 months back)	Individual – latest treatment journey/ latest successful completion in the rolling six month period	Successful completions and re-presentations as defined earlier in this section are given separately for clients referred to treatment via the criminal justice system.

Report Section	Data Item	Time Period	Level	Definition
3. Effective treatment	Growth in clients in effective treatment since 2011-12	Rolling 12 months (set 3 months back)	Individual – latest treatment journey in the reporting period	The percentage change in the number of clients in treatment in the latest 12 month period (offset by 3 months) who are in effective treatment, when compared to the 2011-12 baseline. This is presented separately for opiate and non-opiate clients. The national average percentage change is presented as a comparator, along with the minimum number of clients that the partnership would need to have in effective treatment to meet the national average percentage change.
4. In treatment	Proportion of clients still in treatment between 4-6 years and longer than 6 years	In treatment on the last day of the reporting period	Individual – those in treatment on the last day of the reporting period	The proportion of all clients in treatment at the last day of the reporting period who have been in treatment continuously for between four and six years and six or more years respectively. The national proportions are shown for comparison. Time in treatment is calculated from the first triage in the latest treatment journey to the last day of the reporting period.
	Average length of time in treatment (years)	In treatment on the last day of the reporting period	Individual – those in treatment on the last day of the reporting period	The mean time in treatment to date for all clients in treatment on the last day of the reporting period, expressed in years. Time in treatment is calculated in the same way as above.
	Criminal Justice clients	Rolling 12 months	Individual – latest treatment journey	The mean time in treatment, as defined above, is given for clients referred to treatment via criminal justice services. The proportion of the treatment population made up by criminal justice referrals are also shown here.

Report Section	Data Item	Time Period	Level	Definition
<p>5. Reduced drug use, housing and employment</p> <p>Please note that figures in the TOP section are not reported for partnerships with under 80% compliance for the treatment stage at which the measure is reported (i.e. 6 month review/exit) based on the criteria for the more in depth Quarterly Outcomes Report. This report can be found on NDTMS.net and provides more extensive analysis of TOP scores.</p>	<p>Opiate abstinence and reliably improved: 6 month review in the latest rolling 3 month period</p> <p>Crack abstinence and reliably improved: 6 month review in the latest rolling 3 month period</p> <p>Cocaine abstinence and reliably improved: 6 month review in the latest rolling 3 month period</p>	Latest rolling 3 month period	Individual – latest treatment journey	<p>The proportion of clients who reported using opiates, crack cocaine or powder cocaine respectively at the time of their start TOP that have stopped using or reliably improved (reduced their frequency of use by a set number of days) by the time of their treatment review TOP.</p> <p>The proportion and number of clients who are abstinent is presented first. The proportion of clients that are expected to become abstinent at six month review is displayed as a range. The expected range takes into consideration the case mix profile of the population. For each substance a formula has been developed based on the relationship between factors reported at presentation by clients citing the substance (nationally) and their likelihood of achieving abstinence from that substance at 6 month review. This formula is then used to determine the expected range of performance for each individual area, using factors reported at presentation by clients that are now due for 6 month review. Performance either side of the expected range is exceptional.</p> <p>The proportion achieving reliable improvement (and not abstinence) in reduction of their use is reported. The reliable change index is a conservative measure which requires a specified number of days' reduction for a client to be considered 'reliably improved'. The threshold varies between substances – for example, 13 days' reduction is required for opiate use. If a client at treatment presentation is using for fewer than the number of days required to be considered reliably improved for the given substance (e.g. an opiate user using for 12 days) they cannot reliably improve, although they can of course still achieve abstinence.</p>
	No longer injecting: 6 month review in latest rolling 3 month period	Latest rolling 3 month period	Individual – latest treatment journey	<p>For clients who reported having injected in the last 28 days on their treatment start TOP, this is the proportion who reported no days of injecting at review in the latest rolling 3 month period. An expected range is presented for the proportion of injectors in the area who would be expected to have ceased injecting by the time of their 6 month review). The proportion who had reliably improved in terms of reducing the number of days they injected in the last 28 is also reported (see the previous indicator for a description of how the expected range and proportion reliably improved are calculated).</p>

Report Section	Data Item	Time Period	Level	Definition
	Clients successfully completing treatment with no reported housing need (Exit TOP)	Latest rolling 3 month period	Individual – latest treatment journey (successful completions only)	This column is reported for clients who successfully completed treatment in the latest rolling 3 month period who didn't report a housing problem at the end of treatment.
	Clients successfully completing treatment working >=10 days in last 28 at exit	Latest rolling 3 month period	Individual – latest treatment journey (successful completions only)	The proportion of clients aged 18 and over who successfully completed treatment reported working at least 10 days of paid work in the last 28 days at the time of their exit TOP. This column is reported for clients who successfully completed treatment in the latest rolling 3 month period.
6. Waiting times	Percentage of clients waiting over 3 weeks to start first intervention	Current quarter	All first interventions	The percentage of first waits that were in excess of three weeks. This is reported for modalities commencing in the latest three months. A graph to the right shows progress over the year-to-date and a second line shows performance over 2011-12.
	Number of clients waiting over 6 weeks to start first intervention	Current quarter	All first interventions	The number of first waits that were in excess of six weeks. This is reported for modalities commencing in the latest three months.
7. Harm reduction	Percentage of eligible new presentations YtD who accepted HBV vaccinations	Year to Date	Individuals - New treatment journeys	This is the percentage of eligible new presentations that have been recorded as accepting a course of HBV vaccinations at any episode during their latest treatment journey. All new presentations are eligible unless the client had acquired immunity or had been immunised already, or the clinician had assessed that it was not appropriate to offer them the vaccinations. A graph to the right shows progress over the year to date and a second line shows progress over 2011-12.
	Percentage eligible clients in treatment previously or currently injecting who received a HCV test	Year to Date	Individuals – latest treatment journey	This is the percentage of eligible clients in treatment YtD recorded as previous or current injectors at triage who have received an HCV test. Clients are eligible unless the clinician assessed that it was not appropriate to offer them a test. A graph to the right shows progress over the year to date and a second line shows progress over 2011-12.

Report Section	Data Item	Time Period	Level	Definition
8. Parents and families	Successful completions as a proportion of all in treatment (clients living with children)	Rolling 12 months	Individuals – latest treatment journey	<p>The number and proportion of clients living with children in treatment in the latest 12 months who successfully completed treatment, reported separately for opiate and non-opiate clients. The national average proportion of clients in this group who completed treatment is provided for comparison, along with the minimum number of completions among this group required to meet the national average. Graphs to the right show progress for opiate and non-opiate clients at each month since April 2010.</p> <p>Clients are considered to be ‘living with children’ if they report at any point in their treatment journey that they live with at least one child or their parental status is reported as ‘All of the children live with client’ or ‘Some of the children live with client’. Under the first of the criteria the client does not have to be living with their own children.</p>
	Proportion of clients who successfully completed treatment in the first 6 months of the latest 12 month period and re-presented within 6 months (clients living with children)	Rolling 6 months (set 6 months back)	Individual – latest successful completion in the rolling 6 month period	<p>The number and proportion of clients living with children successfully completing treatment in the first six months of the last twelve months who re-presented within six months of completing treatment, reported separately for opiate and non-opiate clients. The national average proportion of clients in this group who re-present is provided for comparison, along with the maximum number of re-presentations among this group required to be on or below the national average. A graph to the right shows progress for opiate and non-opiate clients at each month since April 2010.</p> <p>Clients are considered to be ‘living with children’ using the same definition as used above.</p>
	New female presentations	Year to Date	Individuals - New treatment journeys	<p>The number and proportion of new female presentations where the client is reported to be pregnant at the time they present to treatment. The national proportion is also shown for information. The inclusion of this indicator is simply to allow areas to be aware of the numbers of pregnant women in treatment and the local and national figures are not intended to imply a value judgement. A graph to the right of this data shows the numbers of pregnant women presenting to treatment in the year-to-date as at each month.</p>