

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fw: London Plan
Date: Tuesday, September 22, 2020 4:36:19 PM
Attachments: [20181008 Panel Note Number 3 Annex 1 Matters questions from Inspectorate.pdf](#)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 October 2018 15:51
To: [REDACTED] >
Subject: Fw: London Plan

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for your call, attached is the Planning Inspectors' questions,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 October 2018 12:55
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: London Plan

Hi [REDACTED]

Any comments on the email below – as I would like to send it later today if possible. I've looked at the EIP matters again. As advised previously, we are down to appear at;

- Social Infrastructure -Policies S1-S7
- Other design policies - Public realm, basements, safety and security, fire safety, agent of change and noise (Policies D7, D9, D10, D11, D12 and D13)
- Green infrastructure and Natural Environment - Green infrastructure, open space and urban greening (Policies G1, G4 and G5)
- Monitoring

We have not been invited to attend the following sessions where we have objected to the policy;

E8 – Economy - There is no discussion of this policy proposed.

G2 – Green Belt

G3 – Metropolitan Open Land

These are the questions for G2/G3;

'M68. Would Policies G2 and G3 provide an effective strategic context for the preparation of local plans and neighbourhood plans? Are the policies and detailed criteria justified and necessary and would they provide an effective basis for development management? In particular:

a) Is Policy G2 on London's Green Belt consistent with national policy and, if not, is this justified?

b) Is the 'swapping' of Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) referred to in paragraph 8.3.2 and allowed for by Policy G3 AC justified? Do the other detailed criteria provide sufficient clarity about inappropriate development and how any boundary alterations should proceed? Should parts of the River Thames be designated as MOL?'

I've reviewed G2 and G3 and I don't think we need to attend this session, as in the main we are seeking a mention for 'sport', recreation is included and there isn't anything that specifically excludes sport and this is in our written representations. The policy mentions the NPPF in relation to Green Belt. So I will not be asking for us to be included on this session.

Further to the meeting on Friday it would be good if we could get together and discuss how we approach the EIP and also how we explore further changes with the GLA ahead of the EIP.

I've attached the note from the Planning Inspector. Is it a good idea to meet at 9:30, ahead of the Planners' meeting OR [REDACTED] and I are both in London on Weds, could we have a conference call then?

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 05 October 2018 12:58

To: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] >

Subject: London Plan

Hi [REDACTED]

This is a follow up email for the GLA. Any comments, particularly on what we want to get from TFL?

[REDACTED] - is it likely that we will update the economic tool, as it was last updated in 2015?

Have a good weekend,

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for meeting with us on Friday, we found our discussion helpful. As discussed we will provide you with more information regarding our matters statements as we progress these over the coming weeks.

I am going to contact our Insight team this week regarding indicators/Annual Monitoring Report and we will get back to you on that shortly.

We'd be grateful for [REDACTED]' details so that we can approach [REDACTED] about assistance with the opportunity areas/growth strategies.

As discussed we would like to share more of our tools and guidance and we are happy to provide training or workshops for you (and the Boroughs) on any of these. Here are links for some of the things we spoke about;

Artificial Grass Pitches - Acoustics - Planning Implications

<https://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/planning-for-sport/planning-tools-and-guidance/artificial-grass-pitch-acoustics-planning-implications/>

AGP Acoustics Planning Implications - Sport England

www.sportengland.org

There is no previous guidance or assessment methodology specifically relating to noise from artificial grass pitches (AGPs) and this guidance considers the issues from first principles.

Sport England's guidance on Playing Pitch Strategies;

<https://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/planning-for-sport/planning-tools-and-guidance/playing-pitch-strategy-guidance/>

Information about Sport England's Facilities Planning Model

<https://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/planning-for-sport/planning-tools-and-guidance/facilities-planning-model/>

Sport England's economic tool

<https://www.sportengland.org/research/benefits-of-sport/economic-value-of-sport/>

We will also keep you updated on the outcome of our testing of the hybrid pitch and we can arrange a visit with colleagues if that would be of interest?

If you have contact details for someone we could speak to in TFL about our Active Travel/Active Environments projects that would be useful also,

We will be in touch again shortly,

Kind regards



Planning Manager

