From: @met.police.uk
Sent: 14 August 2020 09:17

To:

Cc:

Subject: RE: Coppermill Area Scheme + Quietway 2 (Cycleway 27) Scheme - Police Approval

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up

Flag Status: Flagged



Thank-you for the responses. Could you advise when the rectangular planters are in place please? These are something that are not being used anywhere else in London that we are aware of, my colleagues and I are intrigued as to how effective they are and I would like to go and see them 'in action'.

Kind regards

Luke





Thanks for your revised response below outlining Police approval for the Coppermill Area Scheme + Quietway 2 (Cycleway 27) Scheme.

Please see the LBWF responses to your requests for information below:

1. On the square planters what warning markings will you be putting on them, are they fixed down and are you looking to put anything in on the footways to prevent cars going around them?

Planters will be placed on the highway. The planters complete with topsoil and plants will be difficult to move, we do not propose to fix them down however this will be kept under review. We will place additional bollards on the footway where existing lamp columns are not present. Reflective strips will be placed at the corners.

2. Have you got any photos of the rectangular planters planned for near the schools? Same questions re the square ones.

See attached example of a scheme in Hackney. We are procuring our planters from the same supplier. The planters near the schools will be made from similar materials but a rectangular shape and constructed on site by our term contractor.

Are these being put in place to prevent parking for school times? Any reason school streets haven't been used instead? The planters outside schools will prevent parking at all times. School Streets projects have a longer engagement process whereas the current LSP funding from TfL is for temporary measures that need to be in place by September, the proposals will be kept under review and we may consider a School Streets project in the future.

4. What bollards are planned for Station Road? There are 2 options shown.

The central bollard on each end will be lockable with a 3.1m gap for emergency access. The gaps will have a central lockable bollard with FB1 key and the signage will be to TSRGD 619 (motor vehicles prohibited).

5. There is no signage shown on the Low Hall Lane filter?

All closures will be signed with and the signage will be to TSRGD 619 (motor vehicles prohibited)

6. Is there any reason why the bollard in Low Hall Lane is not at the junction?

The bollards have been set back to allow large vehicles to turn around if required. We anticipate that there may be an initial settling in period, signs will be placed on the boundary road, but some drivers may choose to test the signs.

7. Do you have any Stage 1 or 2 safety audits for these proposals?

Road Safety Audits are undertaken as standard protocol across all of our schemes. RSA1+2 have been commissioned; and we expect to have comments back soon,

Best regards,

| Project Manager
Argall Avenue, Leyton, London, E10 7AS
020 @walthamforest.gov.uk

Visit enjoywalthamforest.co.uk email enjoy@walthamforest.gov.uk

Our ambition is that everybody in Waltham Forest enjoys a quality life

From:
Sent: 12 August 2020 15:41
To:
Cc:

Subject: Coppermill Area - Updated Response

Hello

I have now received a response from the borough, that fully supports the scheme, and have amended my response to reflect this as per attached TMO1. I still have concerns over the planters in Verulam Avenue and the positioning of the bollard in Low Hall Lane mainly. I have also removed some of my questions that are no longer relevant.

- 1. On the square planters what warning markings will you be putting on them, are they fixed down and are you looking to put anything in on the footways to prevent cars going around them?
- 2. Have you got any photos of the rectangular planters planned for near the schools? Same questions re the square ones.
- 3. Are these being put in place to prevent parking for school times? Any reason school streets haven't been used instead?
- 4. What bollards are planned for Station Road? There are 2 options shown.
- 5. There is no signage shown on the Low Hall Lane filter?
- 6. Is there any reason why the bollard in Low Hall Lane is not at the junction?
- 7. Do you have any Stage 1 or 2 safety audits for these proposals?

Traffic Management Officer for Enfield, Haringey, Hackney, Tower Hamlets, Newham and Waltham Forest

VISION ZERO - ROAD SAFETY ENGINEERING UNIT | METROPOLITAN POLICE

Telephone

Email

@met.police.uk

Address CHADWELL HEATH TRAFFIC UNIT, 11 GROVE ROAD, CHADWELL HEATH, RM6 4AG

NOTICE - This email and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may be confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this email or in any attachment without the permission of the sender. Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law and any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude binding agreements on behalf of the MPS by email and no responsibility is accepted for unauthorised agreements reached with other personnel. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this email, its security and that of any attachments cannot be guaranteed.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the addressee(s). It may contain privileged and confidential information and, if you are not the intended recipient, you must not read, copy or distribute it, nor take any action in reliance upon it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please inform the sender as soon as possible and delete the e-mail from your computer. Any information contained in this email or in attachments to this email that relates to an identified or identifiable living individual is subject to the provisions of the Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA 2018). The intended recipient of this email, together with any attachments therein must process (as defined by the General Data Protection Regulation) the information in accordance with the DPA 2018.' E-mail may be corrupted or altered during or after transmission. We accept no responsibility for changes made to this e-mail after it was sent. Whilst we take reasonable steps to identify software viruses, any attachments to this email may contain viruses which our anti-virus software has failed to identify. No liability is accepted for such viruses, and we therefore recommend that you carry out your own anti-virus checks before opening any attachments. Information contained in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004.

NOTICE - This email and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may be confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this email or in any attachment without the permission of the sender. Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law and any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude binding agreements on behalf of the MPS by email and no responsibility is accepted for unauthorised agreements reached with other personnel. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this email, its security and that of any attachments cannot be guaranteed.