

Request

1. How many paper response forms were received by the Council?

Response

Forty-four (44) completed forms were received on the day of the exhibition. The Council received a further 4 paper copies of the form after the exhibition.

Request

2. How many online response forms were received by the Council?

Response

The consultation webpage invited people to email their submissions to regeneration@arun.gov.uk rather than submit an online form. The Council received 2 emailed responses of the form. It also received emails and documents from 11 people with their comments on the proposals, one of whom submitted 2 responses. One person who emailed also submitted a hard copy response form. Some responses were based on the questions on the form and others were more random. All information received was forwarded to the consultancy team.

Request

3. In the agenda Pie charts, there were 9 'gender not given' and 21% 'distance travelled not disclosed' which indicates postcode not given, since the question is not asked elsewhere. This shows that the "your details" box was not properly completed by some people. How many response forms did not disclose the person's name?

Response

Of the forty-four (44) completed forms received on the day, five (5) did not provide a person's names. Of the eighteen (18) responses provided by the LPA, post exhibition, all provided names.

Request

4. If a name wasn't given, was the response form counted in the results?

Response

Yes

Request

5. The 'who attended' pie chart shows 56 attendees and the 'what gender' pie chart shows 61 attendees. Which is correct and please explain the difference?

Response

The 'those who attended' section communicates the interest/s or type/s of respondent to the 'Are you a' section of the feedback form. This records the type of attendee i.e. Resident, Organisation Representative, Councillor, Trader, Student & Other. It is possible for responders to choose one or more boxes to clearly define their interest/s, some fields are also left blank. The gender section records the title of the respondent e.g. Mr/Mrs/not given.

Request

6. What measures were taken to ensure that more than one response form was not completed by one person giving different and/or false names?

Response

The data is based on the information received.

Request

7. The agenda pie chart shows 378 website visits. How many of these were single unique visits, and how many were repeat and/or multiple visits?

Response

The data we have indicates 378 visits but does not discriminate between one time and repeat visits.

Request

8. Does the Council have any means of knowing whether those website visitors were also attendees at the exhibition? If so, what were the figures?

Response

No

Request

9. In the bar charts on page 33 of the agenda each bar of each question appears to represent an overall view of the number of forms (or individual responses) providing the comment the bar is set against.

Response

This is because the total number of responses to each question varies from 56 (Q3) to 70(Q2) which vaguely correlates to the 'who attended' pie chart (56) and 'what gender' pie chart (61). Is this correct, or is it that random multiple comments from a few response forms have been selectively extracted for these bar charts? The common themes, messages and frequently used words are reported to give a balanced overview of the comments received.

Request

10. Many of the comments against which the bars are set are unclear as to whether they are favourable or critical of the proposal, e.g. Q1: 'other facilities' or Q3: 'use of space'. Please let me know which bars in which question Mrs. Brown has interpreted to be 'approval' and give more detail so that I can understand why this is so.

Response

This is not a Freedom of Information question. It is asking an opinion.

Request

11. The Council must have created one or more analysis document(s) to provide the results to inform the pie and bar charts in the agenda. Please supply copies of any documents created or used

for this purpose, together with copies of any internal or external letters or emails to/from or received by Karl Roberts or Denise Vine between 13.10.17 and 11.1.18, whether signed or not, which include comments related to these results.

Response

The pie and bar charts were created by the consultants. There was no further analysis documents created for the council other than these.

There are no internal or external letters or emails to/from or received by Karl Roberts or Denise Vine between 13.10.17 and 11.1.18 which include comments related to these results.

Request

12. Please supply any other information on which Mrs. Brown may have relied for her "overwhelming approval" comment, so that I may understand what that comment was based on.

Response

The BR Regeneration Sub Committee meeting in December 2017 that recommended the revised designs to Full Council Jan 2018 gave their support to the all recommendations.

Request

13. Does the Council still hold the response forms from the public and how long will it continue to hold them? Can they be available in redacted form (ie showing reverse side only) for inspection by myself?

Response

The information obtained by the Council was obtained for the sole purpose of seeking views on the development proposals in relation to Hothamton Linear Park and there was no notification that it would be shared with others. They cannot be made available. Whilst the overall results were summarised any personal information provided by respondents as part of the consultation process has not been disclosed. The length of time the information is kept will be only as long as necessary for the sole purpose to which it was obtained, after which time the information will be securely destroyed.

Request

14. If there is any information which the Council does not have, please will you clearly say so.

Response

Please see previous answers to the questions above