



Our Ref: IR.6553.16

Mr Richard Card
request-371889-2cc033d5@whatdotheyknow.com

6 March 2017

Dear Mr Card

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 REQUEST

I write further to your request, dated 19 December 2016, for an internal review of the CPS response to your earlier Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, received on 17 November 2016.

At the outset I should apologise for the considerable delay in responding to you. This has been caused because of other urgent work pressures. I am sorry that you have had to wait so long for the outcome of the internal review.

Your original request was;

'Please disclose that part of the CPS information to Henriques that relates to Kent Adventure Training Corps, the War and Peace Show, Deal Royal Marines Barracks security history, the 1990 EU Resolution on member states to dismantle unauthorised military units, the Brabant killings and the alleged associated Belgian Military "Pink Ballets" paedophile ring.'

The FOIA 2000 places a general duty on public authorities to allow access to official information, subject to a number of statutory exemptions. The FOIA is a public disclosure regime, which means information disclosed under it is thereafter deemed to be in the public domain and freely available to the public on request.

Our response to your request was sent by emailed letter of 19 December 2016. The response provided was:

'The CPS does not hold any information falling within the scope of your request relating to the Kent Adventure Training Corps, the War and Peace Show, Deal Royal Marines Barracks security history, the 1990 EU Resolution on member states to dismantle unauthorised military units, the Brabant killings and the alleged associated Belgian Military "Pink Ballets" paedophile ring.'



You have now sought a review of that decision. In your correspondence of 19 December 2016 in which you request an internal review you state:

'I think you are incorrect re Deal Barracks security and 1989 terrorist bombing.

CPS are supposed to be holding the information against the possibility of a prosecution case being presented.

So I will ask for a review. You should hold a record re not taking the nil prosecution decision Unlawful Drilling Act 1819 in 1987 re arrests of 21 Kent TA men for paramilitary collusion activity. This would be part of the adverse disclosure information CPS should have on file against possibility of charges being brought for Deal Barracks bombing.'

Your original enquiry specifically related to information provided by the Crown Prosecution Service to Sir Richard Henriques as part of his review. You refer to the scope of that review as being:

'the duty of investigating all past allegations against Lord Janner.'

It is appropriate to point out that the scope of the enquiry conducted by Sir Richard Henriques was:

'[an] independent and thorough review into the CPS decision making and handling of all past matters relating to allegations against Lord Janner'.

I am satisfied that the original decision, that the CPS does not hold the requested material, was correct and that appropriate steps were taken to identify whether any of the requested material was held by the CPS. Further, the original FOIA decision was communicated to you in clear terms and explained your right to review.

If you are not content with the outcome of this internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision, at the Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF.

Yours sincerely

Elizabeth Jenkins
Deputy Divisional Head
Specialist Fraud Division