From:
 Julian Graves

 To:
 Julian Graves

 Subject:
 FW: Your Requests

 Date:
 16 December 2021 12:28

From: Mark Ellen

**Sent:** 04 November 2021 18:00

Subject: Re: Your Requests

Dear Dr Ali

Reference: IR1-3236320551 and IR1-3236344161

Thank you for your e-mails of 10 October 2021 in which you ask for various fitness to practise statistics.

In fact, I believe that the information you seek can be found via the extensive tables that we publish on line within our publication, The State of Medical Education and Practice in the UK. A link to the statistical information can be found here:

https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/gmc-somep-2020-reference-tables-about-fitness-to-practise\_xlsx-84732179.xlsx

I hope that this information is helpful to you.

Kind regards Mark Fllen

Mark Ellen
Information Access Team
General Medical Council
3 Hardman Street
Manchester M3 3AW
Direct Line: 0161 923 6347

----Original Message----

Sent: 10 October 2021 11:32 To: FOI <<u>xxx@xxxxxx.xxx</u> >

Subject: Freedom of Information request - Non-medical GMC FTP investigations

Dear General Medical Council,

Please can you tell me, per year for the last 5 years, how many FTP investigations has the GMC investigated/investigating non-medical matters, ideally as whole numbers. Also as a percentage of total investigations per year.

I am shocked and disgusted that the GMC, despite having extensive evidence of full and timely disclosures for a non-medical employment enquiry (not even an application or starting a non-medical post) are investigating this as a probity Fitness to Practice matter as decided by an anonymous Assistant Registrar (whom may or may not have medical qualifications or knowledge). Whilst the matter is clearly unrelated to the business of the GMC and clearly infringes upon employment rights and Human Rights preventing non-medical employment, whilst also actions such as this are known to be used as tool to victimise doctors in certain circumstances. This is against common-sense on what the GMC should and should-not be doing.

#BlackLivesMatter I find the above extra-discriminatory as the GMC refuses to investigate the complainant doctor whom also maliciously obtained and was disclosing/distributing without consent confidential (despite unremarkable) medical/occupational health records – the GMC hypocrisy in disregarding published professional standards is a grave dishonest matter to the profession and undermines confidence of the Public in an organisation that is unfit to practice and unfit for purpose. It appears there is one rule for someone white and privileged like this group of HEE-WM complainant doctors (and GMC given race disparity ratios), but tougher other rules/lower thresholds for those that are from Black and Ethnic Minorities. Which echoes many GMC documents including Chapter 4 of the state of medical practice in the UK, 2020 about racism and a lack of trust in the GMC.

Please can you tell me, per year for the last 5 years, for how many FTP investigations, the GMC investigated non-medical matters, ideally as whole numbers and also as a percentage of total investigations. [repeated paragraph]

For clarification: Non-medical matters are matters unrelated to medical employment/standards, and health/safety/wellbeing of the public i.e. unrelated to the practice or study of medicine. Examples are driving offences/tickets outside the workplace, criminal offences without any harm outside the workplace, having a second non-medical job in prejudiced industries, not paying a utility bill, embarrassing the GMC, having a moustache, having pineapple on pizza, tying your shoe-laces the wrong way, etc.

If it helps, in essence I am asking how many Section 65 FTP investigations is the GMC conducting/abusing. Good Medical Practice 2018 edition ('GMP'), paragraph 65: 65. You must make sure that your conduct justifies your patients' trust in you and the public's trust in the profession. A section frequently misused by the GMC at the MPTS to make any offence/action by a doctor, like in the last paragraph a crime in a potential/imaginary context.

Please can you tell me, per year for the last 5 years, how many FTP investigations has the GMC investigated/investigating non-medical matters, ideally as whole numbers. And as a percentage of total investigations per year. [repeated paragraph]

Dr Ali	
Former Elected Doctors Representative	

Yours sincerely,

Is <u>xxx@xxxxxx.xxx</u> the wrong address for Freedom of Information requests to General Medical Council? If so, please contact us using this form:

https://urldefense.com/v3/\_\_https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/change\_request/new?body=gmc\_\_;!!leEvfY6EA4c!lD30m-

wInzKFiiJPtqIJoe6yCBCua\_IzKlsX3xhpKciyEQP2ODdf10Krheuk\$

Disclaimer: This message and any reply that you make will be published on the internet. Our privacy and copyright policies:

https://urldefense.com/v3/\_\_https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/help/officers\_\_\_;!!leEvfY6EA4c !lD30m-wInzKFiiJPtqIJoe6yCBCua\_IzKlsX3xhpKciyEQP2ODdf1y-ntNq\_\$

For more detailed guidance on safely disclosing information, read the latest advice from the ICO: <a href="https://urldefense.com/v3/">https://urldefense.com/v3/</a>\_ <a href="https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/help/ico-guidance-for-authorities">https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/help/ico-guidance-for-authorities</a>\_;!!leEvfY6EA4c!lD30m-

wInzKFiiJPtqIJoe6yCBCua\_IzKlsX3xhpKciyEQP2ODdf13zyWwYY\$

Please note that in some cases publication of requests and responses will be delayed.

If you find this service useful as an FOI officer, please ask your web manager to link to us from your organisation's FOI page.

-----