

Thanks for the below – very helpful. I had just wanted to be clear on the reasons for a few things, so I'm content to accept your points.

If you could let me know the rough timetable for the review, I'd be grateful.

Regards,

Police Pensions and Retirement Policy Section

Police Finance and Pensions Unit

Home Office

6th Floor Fry

2 Marsham Street

London SW1P 4DF

Tel: 0207 035 1878

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]@npia.pnn.police.uk]

Sent: 12 February 2008 8:41 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]@hm-treasury.x.gsi.gov.uk>; Morris Merielle

Subject: RE: 30+ review

Questionnaire attached

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2008 8:32 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]@hm-treasury.x.gsi.gov.uk>; Morris Merielle

Subject: RE: 30+ review

Louise,

thanksso much for the comments.

- agreed.
- that was not deliberate.It should be inserted where Q5 is blank - I think there were just versionproblems. I've put the question (back) in.
- I think the distinctionis between what the force does to raise awareness of the scheme, i.e. whatofficers find out and have access to before having to contact their force(word of mouth? website? posters?) and then, once they have contacted them,what further information they are routinely supplied with (copy of theguidance, force's own leaflet?). I think both will help us identify potentialshort-comings, especially in light of the fact that one officer in an ET made'rumours' about the scheme part of his defence.
- agreed.
- The rational behind theswapped columns was chronological order, hence why 2002 came first inthis instance along the lines of 'before v after'. I don't mind swapping thoughand am happy to go with your advice if this seems more logical.
- agreed.
- The reasoning behind theomission was that, regardless of when the scheme closed in that particularforce, they would all need a policy on phasing out officers on it. Ibelieve it is worthwhile knowing what forces did who already closed the scheme- did they terminate all at annual review, do they still have people on it, andif so, what are they doing with them? For those forces still operating thescheme the answer is hypothetical and a forward look, but for some this hasalready taken place, and I believe it is worth covering both.

I hopethis makes sense and helps understand the logic behind intentional changes.

I havestill heard nothing from Treasury but am still hoping for final comments by theend of this week.

Thanksagain for all your hard work on this, it is very much appreciated.

■

From: ■
Sent: Monday, February 11, 20086:33 PM
To: ■
Cc: ■@hm-treasury.x.gsi.gov.uk; ■ Morris Merielle
Subject: RE: 30+ review

Thanks forsending through the revised questionnaire. Ijusthave a few further comments that Ithoughtworth passing on.

- Question4 - shouldperhapsread "retained".
- Intherevisedversionthat you sent out, there is nothing against question 5, and our suggestedinsertion (please outline ofthe process by which officers apply for the 30+ scheme and by which your forceassesses applications and makes decisions etc) has been deleted. Ijustwondered whether this was an intentional deletion (and whatthemotivation -Iseemto remember from the discussions we had on 25thJanthat we were keen to discover whether forces

>

London SE1 9QY

email: [REDACTED].pnn.police.uk

*****Any opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of NPIA.

Accordingly, the copying, dissemination or distribution of this message to any other person may constitute a breach of Civil or Criminal Law.

This email and any files transmitted with it are private and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please return it to the address it came from telling them it is not for you and then delete it from your system.

This email message has been swept for computer viruses.

This email and any files transmitted with it are private and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please return it to the address it came from telling them it is not for you and then delete it from your system.

This email message has been swept for computer viruses.
