15 December 2014 UC (14/15) 25 Annex 3 www.pwc.co.uk

Internal Audit Annual Report 2013/2014

University of Stirling
October 2014





Contents

1. Executive summary	1
2. Summary of findings	2
3. Internal Audit work conducted	3
Appendices	4
Appendix 1: Limitations and responsibilities	;

This document has been prepared for the intended recipients only. To the extent permitted by law, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP does not accept or assume any liability, responsibility or duty of care for any use of or reliance on this document by anyone, other than (i) the intended recipient to the extent agreed in the relevant contract for the matter to which this document relates (if any), or (ii) as expressly agreed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP at its sole discretion in writing in advance.

1. Executive summary

Background

The purpose of this report is to present the results of our internal audit work on the University of Stirling's system of governance, risk management and control.

Whilst this report is a key element of the framework designed to inform the Annual Governance Statement, there are also a number of other important sources to which the Audit Committee should look for assurance. This report does not supplant the Audit Committee's responsibility for forming its own view on governance, risk management and control.

This report covers reviews performed for the year ended 31 July 2014. The specific time period covered by our work for each individual review is recorded in Section 3.

Scope

Our findings are based on the results of the internal audit work performed as set out in the 2013/2014 Internal Audit Plan, approved by the Audit Committee in September 2013.

Our work is subject to the inherent limitations of internal audit (covering both the control environment and the assurance over controls) as set out in Appendix 1.

Conclusion

We have completed the program of internal audit for the year ended 31 July 2014.

Our work identified low, moderate and high rated findings. Based on the work completed we believe that these are isolated to the following specific systems and processes and when taken in aggregate are not considered pervasive to the system of internal control as a whole. Specifically, the high rated findings were:



A summary of the key findings are described in further detail in Section 2 on page 2.

Acknowledgement

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the University of Stirling's staff for their co-operation and assistance during the year.

2. Summary of findings

Our annual internal audit report is timed to inform the organisation's Annual Governance Statement.

A summary of key findings from our programme of internal audit work for the year work is recorded in the table below:

Description	Detail
Overview We completed 9 internal audit reviews. This resulted in the identification of no critical, 2 high, 16 medium and 23 low risk findings to improve weaknesses in the design of controls and / or operating effectiveness.	The 2013/14 Internal Audit work has been delivered in accordance with the plan.
Internal Control Issues	
During the course of our work we identified no critical weakness and 2 high risk weaknesses.	 As summarised on page 1, based on our fieldwork we do not believe that these high risk weaknesses are of a pervasive nature.
Other weaknesses	
Other weaknesses were identified within the organisation's internal control arrangements relating to	Weaknesses identified include: •
Good practice We also identified a number of areas where few weaknesses were identified resulting in an overall low risk report classification.	Residences ProjectCapital ProcessesEthical Framework

3. Internal Audit work conducted

Introduction

Our internal audit work was conducted in accordance with our letter of engagement and the agreed 2013/2014 Internal Audit Plan.

The table below sets out the results of our internal audit work.

Results of individual assignments

	Report		Number of findings			
Audit unit	risk status	To Audit Committee	Critical	High	Medium	Low
Risk Management*	Low	March 14	-	-	1	3
Ethical Framework*	Low	March 14	-	-	-	2
Staff Attendance Management*	Medium	March 14	-	-	2	5
			I			
Delivery of Information Strategy	Medium		-	-	3	2
Cash Processes	Medium	December 13	-	-	2	4
Capital Processes*	Low		-	-	1	5
Residences Project	Low	March 14	-	-	-	-
UKVI Tier 4 Compliance	Low	Dec 13	-	-	1	1
		Total	-	2	16	23

^{*}Advisory recommendations were also made as part of these reviews.

15 December 2014 UC (14/15) 25 Annex 3

Appendices

Appendix 1: Limitations and responsibilities

Limitations inherent to the internal auditor's work

We have prepared the Internal Audit Annual Report and undertaken the agreed programme of work as agreed with management and the Audit Committee, subject to the limitations outlined below.

Findings

Our findings are based solely on the work undertaken as part of the agreed 2013/2014 Internal Audit Plan, which provided for nine internal audit reviews in 90 days. Our work addressed the control objectives agreed for individual internal audit assignments as set out in our 2013/2014 Internal Audit Plan.

There might be weaknesses in the system of internal control that we are not aware of because those controls did not form part of our programme of work, were excluded from the scope of individual internal audit assignments or were not brought to our attention. As a consequence management and the Audit Committee should be aware that our findings may have differed if our programme of work, scope for individual reviews had been different or other relevant matters were brought to our attention.

Internal control

Internal control systems, no matter how well designed and operated, are affected by inherent limitations. These include the possibility of poor judgment in decision-making, human error, control processes being deliberately circumvented by employees and others, management overriding controls and the occurrence of unforeseeable circumstances.

Future periods

Our assessment of controls relating to the University of Stirling is for the year ended 31 July 2014. A historic evaluation of effectiveness may not be relevant to future periods due to the risk that:

- the design of controls may become inadequate because of changes in operating environment, law, regulation or other; or
- the degree of compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate.

The specific time period for each individual internal audit is recorded within section 3 of this report.

Responsibilities of management and internal auditors

It is management's responsibility to develop and maintain sound systems of risk management, internal control and governance and for the prevention and detection of irregularities and fraud. Internal audit work should not be seen as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the design and operation of these systems.

We endeavour to plan our work so that we have a reasonable expectation of detecting significant control weaknesses and, if detected, we shall carry out additional work directed towards identification of consequent fraud or other irregularities. However internal audit procedures alone, even when carried out with due professional care, do not guarantee that fraud will be detected, and our examinations as internal auditors should not be relied upon to disclose all fraud, defalcations or other irregularities which may exist.

Appendix 2: Basis of our classifications

Report classifications

The report classification is determined by allocating points to each of the findings included in the report

Findings rating	Points
Critical	40 points per finding
High	10 points per finding
Medium	3 points per finding
Low	1 point per finding

Repo	rt classification	Points
	Critical risk	40 points and over
	High risk	16–39 points
	Medium risk	7– 15 points
	Lower risk	6 points or less

Individual finding ratings

Finding rating	Assessment rationale
Critical	A finding that could have a:
	 Critical impact on operational performance; or Critical monetary or financial statement impact; or Critical breach in laws and regulations that could result in material fines or consequences; or Critical impact on the reputation or brand of the organisation which could threaten its future viability.
High	A finding that could have a:
	 Significant impact on operational performance; or Significant monetary or financial statement impact; or Significant breach in laws and regulations resulting in significant fines and consequences; or Significant impact on the reputation or brand of the organisation.
Medium	A finding that could have a:
	 Moderate impact on operational performance; or Moderate monetary or financial statement impact; or Moderate breach in laws and regulations resulting in fines and consequences; or Moderate impact on the reputation or brand of the organisation.
Low	A finding that could have a:
	 <i>Minor</i> impact on the organisation's operational performance; or <i>Minor</i> monetary or financial statement impact; or <i>Minor</i> breach in laws and regulations with limited consequences; or <i>Minor</i> impact on the reputation of the organisation.
Advisory	A finding that does not have a risk impact but has been raised to highlight areas of inefficiencies or good practice.

Pervasive

This in a literal sense means "all encompassing". A pervasive control weakness is therefore one which has an impact across the system of internal control, and consequently could impact upon the achievement of a number of organisational objectives in relation to:

- the efficient and effective use of resources;
- the safeguarding of assets;
- the preparation of reliable financial and operational information; or
- compliance with laws and regulations.

15 December 2014 UC (14/15) 25 Annex 3



© 2014 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. All rights reserved. "PricewaterhouseCoopers" refers to the PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (a limited liability partnership in the United Kingdom) or, as the context requires, other member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited, each of which is a separate and independent legal entity.

This report is protected under the copyright laws of the United Kingdom and other countries. It contains information that is proprietary and confidential to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, and shall not be disclosed outside the recipient's company or duplicated, used or disclosed in whole or in part by the recipient for any purpose other than to evaluate this report. Any other use or disclosure in whole or in part of this information without the express written permission of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is prohibited.

In the event that, pursuant to a request which the University has received under the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 (as the same may be amended or re-enacted from time to time) or any subordinate legislation made there under (the "Legislation"), the University is required to disclose any information contained in this report, it will notify PwC promptly and will consult with PwC prior to disclosing such information. The University agrees to pay due regard to any representations which PwC may make in connection with such disclosure and to apply any relevant exemptions which may exist under the Legislation to such information. If, following consultation with PwC, the University discloses any such information, it shall ensure that any disclaimer which PwC has included or may subsequently wish to include in the information is reproduced in full in any copies disclosed.