



Ministry of Defence

Mr E Panter
request-257807-a271b656@whatdotheyknow.com

Ref: FOI2015/02908

Secretariat
Defence Infrastructure Organisation
Kingston Road
Sutton Coldfield
B75 7RL

E-mail: diosec-parli@mod.uk
www.gov.uk/DIO

13 May 2015

Dear Mr Panter,

Thank you for your email dated 11 March 2015 requesting the following information:

** Reports from Landmarc describing any (warning off or otherwise) incidents involving members of the public from September 2014 to date on the lands covered by the Aldershot Military Lands Byelaws 1976.*

** Numbers and summary details of any insurance claims raised by members of the public following accidents on the lands covered by the Aldershot Military Lands Byelaws 1976 since August 2014.*

** Copies of any correspondence / memos / internal documents discussing the possibility (or implementation) of fencing or restricting public access to any area of the lands covered by the Aldershot Military Lands Byelaws 1976 that is current accessible to the public (as of March 2015).*

I am treating your correspondence as a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA).

I am writing to confirm that the Ministry of Defence (MOD) holds information on the subjects you have requested. However, I have to advise you that we will not be able to answer your request without exceeding the appropriate limit. This is because to determine/locate/extract the information in scope of your request would involve at least some 4.5 days of effort.

Section 12 of the FOIA makes provision for public authorities to refuse requests for information where the cost of dealing with them would exceed the appropriate limit, which for central government is set at £600. This represents the estimated cost of one person spending 3.5 working days in determining whether the Department holds the information, and locating, retrieving and extracting it.

The MOD may be able to provide some information in scope of your request if you reduce or refine your request to bring the cost of compliance under the limit. If you were to ask for the first and second bullet point and significantly reduce the scope of the third then I might be able to offer you a response within the appropriate limit. For example, to reduce the third bullet you could

reduce the timescale asked for, only ask for electronic correspondence or you could reduce your request to a specific area.

Please contact us via the details at the top of this letter if you would like to refine your request or require advice on doing so.

I apologise for the delay in responding to your request, this was due to an administrative oversight.

Yours sincerely,

DIO Secretariat

If you are not satisfied with this response or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of your request, then you should contact DIO Secretariat in the first instance. If informal resolution is not possible and you are still dissatisfied then you may apply for an independent internal review by contacting the Information Rights Compliance team, 1st Floor, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB (e-mail CIO-FOI-IR@mod.uk). Please note that any request for an internal review must be made within 40 working days of the date on which the attempt to reach informal resolution has come to an end.

If you remain dissatisfied following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note that the Information Commissioner will not investigate your case until the MOD internal review process has been completed. Further details of the role and powers of the Information Commissioner can be found on the Commissioner's website, <http://www.ico.org.uk>.