

Patel, Sonal

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 November 2002 14:08
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Additional youth services project

[REDACTED]

i really did get a very good reception about my bid. there were a small number on the committee who were worried about the court aspect of an EWOs work, but i think i can get over it. There was a desire for work of a 'soft' welfare nature, which i can include, basing of the new member of staff was raised, i have agreed that it could be with the MF outreach team.

I am now going for a full time worker to encompass the extra duties and i feel sure that it will go through.

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 November 2002 09:09
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Additional youth services project

For info - I'll keep you posted with any further info that comes my way

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 November 2002 08:24
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Additional youth services project

Hi [REDACTED]
Can you put any cost figures to your paper?
Difficult to proceed without.
Many thanks.
Yours,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The other two are looking good. Am thinking perhaps of not fully integrating into one form but of sending them all in at one and the same time as a batch. Will discuss with colleagues.

[REDACTED]

Marsh Farm Community Development Trust
Coulters Building, Northwell Drive
Marsh Farm, Luton LU3 3UH.
[REDACTED]

=====

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 04, 2002 1:05 PM
Subject: Additional youth services project

Attached you will find a completed application form for the service CfBT would like to include in the project MF Appraisal1.doc.

Brian Meatyard has produced his ideas in Marsh farm.doc and Tahir in CNX NDC Bid.doc.

The CfBT document has identified project outputs from the NDC and SRB output codes but has yet to quantify these.

Regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Business Development Co-ordinator
CfBT Advice and Guidance
Tel: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Mobile: [REDACTED]
Mobile: [REDACTED]
email: [REDACTED]

If you have received this email in error, please delete it. Any views or opinions are those of the sender and do not necessarily represent those of the organisation. This email has been checked for known viruses; however we cannot accept liability for any damage sustained as a result of a software virus. The organisation reserves the right to monitor emails in accordance with current legislation.

21

X

Minutes of a Meeting of the Board of Directors of

THE REGENERATION COMMUNITY TRUST

**held at The Community Enterprise & Resource Centre
Northwell Drive, Luton at 2.00 pm on Friday, 23 January 2004**

6.4 THE CUBE

Regarding the request of MF Outreach for a 3 month rent free licence of The Cube, the Secretary confirmed that he had contacted Government Office which had been generally receptive to the proposal but required Regen Trust to provide a specific justification for this extended rent free use. The Secretary said that he had written to [REDACTED] to ask [REDACTED] to provide the justification. He understood from [REDACTED] at the Joint Meeting that this had been referred to MF Outreach, and the justification was awaited.

[REDACTED] said that since the proposed Cube project is outside the scope of MF Outreach's existing contract with MFCDT, MF Outreach should make a specific project application (for MFCDT to consider) as well as a space application (to be considered under the established Lettings Policy).

The Board noted that the position on the requested 3 month licence was therefore pending and subject to the justification being provided for consideration.

[REDACTED] said that MF Outreach is also seeking free use of The Cube on 14 February 2004. The Board noted this, but pointed out that a policy on allowing rent free use had already been established, and an application for space was therefore required so that it could be considered by the Client Team and the Property Manager.

[REDACTED] asked the Secretary to confirm to [REDACTED] and to [REDACTED] the Board's position in relation to proposed use of The Cube on 14 February 2004 and the requested 3 month licence.

The Secretary said that he had received a letter from [REDACTED] at MFCDT to justify the requested 3 month free licence. [REDACTED] wanted to make it clear that it was not a matter of justifying the position to Government Office, but Government Office takes the view that the Board of Regen Trust must feel that there is appropriate justification before departing from its agreed policy on rent-free licences.

The Secretary then quoted from the letter he had received from [REDACTED]. The specific point justifying the proposed rent-free licence was as follows :

"By allowing Outreach Marsh Farm Limited to utilise the space free for this period we will raise the profile of MFCDT activities to some of the hardest to reach groups."

The Secretary said that he had spoken earlier with [REDACTED] who had agreed to provide full details of the proposed activities, and confirm whether this project was seen as being of special value (which might justify rent-free use), for example in providing a range of activities which would raise and enhance MFCDT's profile in the community.

[REDACTED] said that some of the proposed activities might not be within the scope of the contract between MF Outreach and MFCDT. [REDACTED] said that there must be confirmation that the activities meet the objectives of MFCDT and that they are within the scope of any contractual arrangements.

[REDACTED] said that it should be confirmed how MF Outreach would fund liability to rates which should not fall back on Regen Trust.

[REDACTED] said that it seemed relevant that MFCDT is being charged rent and it is responsible for running the NDC Programme. In response to a query she said that there was no specific requirement to charge MFCDT rent. Board Members said that they had always understood from representatives of the Council that MFCDT must be charged rent.

The Board agreed that it was important to be able to justify the proposed licence as an exception to the established rule. Members of the Board were unclear why no project application had been made to obtain funding to pay the rent.

[REDACTED] said that there had been a bar on project applications being considered while the interim management team reviewed the project appraisal process. Members of the Board indicated that that might explain this point, but they asked the Secretary to obtain details of the proposed activities and further explanation as to why this project would be of such particular value that rent should not be charged. It was agreed that after obtaining this information the Secretary should send it to all Members of the Board and if 4 out of the current 6 Board Members approve, the rent-free licence could be granted.

1000

Patel, Sonal

From: Butler, Lorraine
Sent: 11 November 2002 17:29
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: for [REDACTED] - Outreach Project

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 November 2002 08:50
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: for [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED]

As requested please find some more information relating to the Marsh Farm Outreach Project.

Last week an incident came to the attention of a Luton Borough Council employee. A youth on the estate was doing some videoing as part of an NDC funded project looking at youth issues. While filming he caught on camera 'Jon' an NDC Outreach worker physically assaulting a young woman and her child. He passed the tape on to an LBC youth worker who contacted the police so that the incident could be dealt with.

However, when [REDACTED] discovered that the youth had made the tape he has either directly himself or through other contacts threatened the youth involved. This has escalated the incident and the child protection team are also involved.

whilst the incident was not directly related to NDC or the Outreach project it did however bring to light a range of other issues concerning the project. These are as follows;

the Outreach worker involved claims to have a youth remit and it is not known how this relates to the Outreach project

a further allegation has arisen that other Outreach workers have protected [REDACTED] and have offered him hiding places from the Police.

a further incident has been reported where two female Outreach workers were said to be fighting outside the Purley Centre

reports that the project is not operating as detailed in the approved project appraisal.

Whilst the assault and child protection issues are known to have happened and are being dealt with by the police the others listed are at present unchecked.

However such is the level of concern regarding this project I feel that it warrants a full and proper investigation. This should be done by people who are not directly related to the NDC which is why I had suggested LBC internal audit in my earlier message to you.

I hope that this is clear and that you feel able to support this line of action

Many thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Patel, Sonal

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 November 2002 18:31
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: for [REDACTED] - Outreach Project

[REDACTED]

Thank you for this - received this evening. I'll discuss first thing tomorrow with [REDACTED] when I am in Cambridge and be back in touch.

Perhaps you would confirm that your penultimate paragraph sets out the reason why you consider the first approach on all this should not be by the Trust through the Grant Agreement with the Outreach Project or through the agreements between the Trust and Renaisi and LBC and Renaisi in the light of the latter's monitoring remit.

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 November 2002 17:29
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: for [REDACTED] - Outreach Project

> -----Original Message-----

> From: [REDACTED]
> Sent: 11 November 2002 08:50
> To: [REDACTED]
> Subject: for [REDACTED]

> Hi [REDACTED]

> As requested please find some more information relating to the Marsh Farm Outreach Project.

> Last week an incident came to the attention of a Luton Borough Council employee. A youth on the estate was doing some videoing as part of an NDC funded project looking at youth issues. While filming he caught on camera [REDACTED] an NDC Outreach worker physically assaulting a young woman and her child. He passed the tape on to an LBC youth worker who contacted the police so that the incident could be dealt with.

> However, when [REDACTED] discovered that the youth had made the tape he has either directly himself or through other contacts threatened the youth involved. This has escalated the incident and the child protection team

> are also involved.

>

> whilst the incident was not directly related to NDC or the Outreach project it did however bring to light a range of other issues concerning

> the project. These are as follows;

>

> the Outreach worker involved claims to have a youth remit and it is

> not known how this relates to the Outreach project

>

> a further allegation has arisen that other Outreach workers have protected [REDACTED] and have offered him hiding places from the Police.

>

> a further incident has been reported where two female Outreach workers were said

> to be fighting outside the Purley Centre

>

> reports that the project is not operating as detailed in the approved project appraisal.

>

> Whilst the assault and child protection issues are known to have happened

> and are being dealt with by the police the others listed are at present

> unchecked.

>

> However such is the level of concern regarding this project I feel that it

> warrants a full and proper investigation. This should be done by people

> who are not directly related to the NDC which is why I had suggested

LBC

> internal audit in my earlier message to you.

>

> I hope that this is clear and that you feel able to support this line of

> action

>

> Many thanks

>

> [REDACTED]

IMPORTANT: This message, together with any associated files or any other material

attached to it, is a confidential communication and is intended only for the use of the

Individual(s) or Body to whom it is addressed. It may be subject to legal privilege or

may contain information which is confidential in nature, is subject to Copyright or

may constitute a Trade Secret. It is intended solely for the person it is addressed.

If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender at:

Luton Borough Council

Town Hall, Luton

LU1 2BQ

England

as soon as possible,

by telephone on Luton (01582) 546000 or

by using the reply facility in your e-mail software

and delete the message from your system.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 November 2002 13:25
To: Toye, Ken
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] - Challney Boys

Brian

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 November 2002 11:52
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED]

Hi

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 November 2002 11:43
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 November 2002 11:39
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED]

Thanks,

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Patel, Sonal

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 November 2002 12:02
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: FW: for [REDACTED] - Outreach Project

[REDACTED] Can you ring me please

-----Original Message-----

From: M [REDACTED] [mailto:MStocker.GO-East@go-regions.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 12 November 2002 11:02
To: B [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: FW: for [REDACTED] - Outreach Project

[REDACTED]
As I promised yesterday evening [REDACTED] and I discussed your message his morning.

We fully agree that there needs to be a very close examination of the way the Outreach Project. There is the potential here for serious damage to be done to the NDC programme.

Nevertheless we consider that to proceed in the way you suggest in your message of 8th November would, in effect, remove the prime responsibility of the Trust and Renaissi through the grant agreement and the delivery contracts to fully address all the issues set out in your message yesterday. It is not clear that the Trust and Renaissi have yet had all the issues brought to their attention and had the opportunity to fully respond. It would only be if they were seen to fail to do this that more direct action would be warranted. If this were the case there would be many further implications.

We suggest that the immediate way forward is to write to the Chair, copied to Renaissi [REDACTED] setting out the issues (you may wish to seek legal advice is respect of passing on the allegation you suggest) and asking for a formal response to the Accountable Body by a set date. You could suggest that, in the interim, the Trust itself takes the decision to suspend the project. You would, of course, say that you had consulted GO-East in all of this.

We are very much of the view in all of this that the Trust and Renaissi must be made to face up to their contractual responsibilities for the monitoring and management of projects.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
>>> [REDACTED]

> -----Original Message-----

> From: [REDACTED]
> Sent: 11 November 2002 08:50
> To: [REDACTED]
> Subject: for [REDACTED]

>
>
>

> As requested please find some more information relating to the Marsh
 > Farm Outreach Project.

>

> Last week an incident came to the attention of a Luton Borough Council
 > employee. A youth on the estate was doing some videoing as part of an
 > NDC funded project looking at youth issues. While filming he caught on
 > camera [REDACTED] an NDC Outreach worker physically assaulting a young
 > woman and her child. He passed the tape on to an LBC youth worker who
 > contacted the police so that the incident could be dealt with.

>

> However, when [REDACTED] discovered that the youth had made the tape he has
 > either directly himself or through other contacts threatened the youth
 > involved. This has escalated the incident and the child protection
 > team are also involved.

>

> whilst the incident was not directly related to NDC or the Outreach
 > project it did however bring to light a range of other issues
 > concerning the project. These are as follows;

>

> the Outreach worker involved claims to have a youth remit and it is
 > not known how this relates to the Outreach project.

>

> a further allegation has arisen that other Outreach workers have
 > protected [REDACTED] and have offered him hiding places from the Police.

>

> a further incident has been reported where two female Outreach
 > workers were said
 > to be fighting outside the Purley Centre

>

> reports that the project is not operating as detailed in the approved
 > project appraisal.

>

> Whilst the assault and child protection issues are known to have
 > happened and are being dealt with by the police the others listed are
 > at present unchecked.

>

> However such is the level of concern regarding this project I feel
 > that it warrants a full and proper investigation. This should be done
 > by people who are not directly related to the NDC which is why I had
 > suggested LBC internal audit in my earlier message to you.

>

> I hope that this is clear and that you feel able to support this line
 > of action

>

> Many thanks

>

> [REDACTED]

IMPORTANT: This message, together with any associated files or any other material attached
 to it, is a confidential communication and is intended only for the use of the
 Individual(s) or Body to whom it is addressed. It may be subject to legal privilege or may
 contain information which is confidential in nature, is subject to Copyright or may
 constitute a Trade Secret. It is intended solely for the person it is addressed.
 If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender at:

Luton Borough Council
 Town Hall, Luton
 LU1 2BQ
 England

as soon as possible,
 by telephone on Luton (01582) 546000 or
 by using the reply facility in your e-mail software and delete the message from your
 system.

Patel, Sonal

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 November 2002 12:21
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: FW: for [REDACTED] Outreach Project

I can see where you are coming from but as always it wasn't quite as simple as that. With the exception of [REDACTED] (the police incident) all the other issues involve Directors.

I have a way forward which I will discuss with you.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 November 2002 20:37
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: FW: for [REDACTED] - Outreach Project

I tend to agree with [REDACTED] sentiments. Do you want the letter to come from me?

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 November 2002 11:02
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: FW: [REDACTED] - Outreach Project

As I promised yesterday evening [REDACTED] and I discussed your message this morning.

We fully agree that there needs to be a very close examination of the way the Outreach Project. There is the potential here for serious damage to be done to the NDC programme.

Nevertheless we consider that to proceed in the way you suggest in your message of 8th November would, in effect, remove the prime responsibility of the Trust and Renaissi through the grant agreement and the delivery contracts to fully address all the issues set out in your message yesterday. It is not clear that the Trust and Renaissi have yet had all the issues brought to their attention and had the opportunity to fully respond. It would only be if they were seen to fail to do this that more direct action would be warranted. If this were the case there would be many further implications.

We suggest that the immediate way forward is to write to the Chair, copied to Renaissi [REDACTED] setting out the issues (you may wish to seek legal advice in respect of passing on the allegation you suggest) and asking for a formal response to the Accountable Body by a set date. You could suggest that, in the interim, the Trust itself takes the decision to suspend the project. You would, of course, say that you had consulted GO-East in all of this.

We are very much of the view in all of this that the Trust and Renaissi must be made to face up to their contractual responsibilities for the monitoring and management of projects.

[REDACTED]
Neighbourhood Renewal Team
GO-East

>>> [REDACTED]

> -----Original Message-----

> From: [REDACTED]
> Sent: 11 November 2002 08:50
> To: butlerlo@luton.gov.uk
> Subject: for [REDACTED]

> [REDACTED]
> As requested please find some more information relating to the Marsh Farm
> Outreach Project.

> Last week an incident came to the attention of a Luton Borough Council
> employee. A youth on the estate was doing some videoing as part of an NDC
> funded project looking at youth issues. While filming he caught on camera
> an NDC Outreach worker physically assaulting a young woman and her
> child. He passed the tape on to an LBC youth worker who contacted the
> police so that the incident could be dealt with.

> However, when [REDACTED] discovered that the youth had made the tape he has
> either directly himself or through other contacts threatened the youth
> involved. This has escalated the incident and the child protection team
> are also involved.

> whilst the incident was not directly related to NDC or the Outreach
> project it did however bring to light a range of other issues concerning
> the project. These are as follows;

> the Outreach worker involved claims to have a youth remit and it is
> not known how this relates to the Outreach project

> a further allegation has arisen that other Outreach workers have
> protected Jon and [REDACTED] have offered him hiding places from the Police.

> a further incident has been reported where two female Outreach
> workers were said
> to be fighting outside the Purley Centre

> reports that the project is not operating as detailed in the
> approved project appraisal.

> Whilst the assault and child protection issues are known to have happened
> and are being dealt with by the police the others listed are at present
> unchecked.

> However such is the level of concern regarding this project I feel that it
> warrants a full and proper investigation. This should be done by people
> who are not directly related to the NDC which is why I had suggested LBC
> internal audit in my earlier message to you.

> I hope that this is clear and that you feel able to support this line of
> action

> [REDACTED] thanks

Message: FW: Criminal Record Bureau checks on youth workers involved in the Ne w Deal project**Case Information:**

Message Type: Exchange
Message Direction: Not Specified
Case: FOI-739071_2013_MFO
Capture Date: 01/10/2013 16:27:02
Item ID: 104548
Policy Action: Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

✉ FW: Criminal Record Bureau checks on youth workers involved in the Ne w Deal project

From [REDACTED] Date 15 November 2002 14:55
To [REDACTED]
Cc [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2002 13:51

To [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: Criminal Record Bureau checks on youth workers involved in the Ne w Deal project

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2002 12:49

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: Criminal Record Bureau checks on youth workers involved in the Ne w Deal project

[REDACTED]
As you are aware in speaking to [REDACTED] I have identified a number

of concerns that exist within the New deal project in Marsh Farm.

I became involved in a Child Protection case on the 5th of November, involving a 16 year old male video recording a violent domestic which occurred outside the Purley Tavern public house on the 31st of October. The incident involved [REDACTED] and a [REDACTED] month old child was also present. The video has been seized by police and clearly shows [REDACTED] assaulting [REDACTED] with a set of Nunchaku. As a separate issue this incident will be referred to Social Services in relation to the concern I have for their child. Even though [REDACTED] has refused to co-operate with the police I intend to arrest [REDACTED] based on the video evidence.

In speaking to the 16 year old boy it appears he was asked by [REDACTED] to go out into the community and video-record items of interest - [REDACTED] I discovered was a youth worker for the New Deal project. This presents a serious concern for all parties involved in this project. [REDACTED] has numerous convictions for violence and possessing and supplying controlled substances. He is also wanted for another serious matter in Luton, dating back to 6-6-01.

I have contacted [REDACTED] of Lifelong Learning for Community and Development who confirmed with me that the New Deal project was managed by a [REDACTED]. The council upto this point had had some involvement in the project but [REDACTED] shared my concern that it appeared youth workers were not being given the appropriate level of clearance (Form X checked by [REDACTED] the CRB) to work with children.

On the 6th of November 2002, I visited [REDACTED] at the New Deal premises in Marsh Farm. It was to be a private meeting but [REDACTED] (previously of Exodus) and Mr [REDACTED] so wanted to listen to my reasons for being there [REDACTED] said he was the chairman of

Sgt.Rawkins, Luton North.

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by MIMESweeper for the presence of computer viruses.

www.mimesweeper.com

the Marsh Farm Community Development Trust and [REDACTED] was the Vice-Chair. I asked for [REDACTED] to leave but allowed [REDACTED] to stay. I established New Deal had allowed [REDACTED] group called the Marsh Farm Outreach Limited which included [REDACTED] to be paid by New Deal to work with children and young persons in Marsh Farm. I asked [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] if all the youth workers had been CRB checked and they said they hadn't. Infact it had not occurred to them to do this, [REDACTED] asking me what would I advise.

[REDACTED] has now decided to insist all youth workers are CRB checked. I clearly pointed out to him that [REDACTED] ought to no longer work for New Deal based on the information I had and in-light of the violent domestic incident in the street.

[REDACTED] is undoubtedly some-one statutory agencies can work with but I would suggest he needs clear guidance from the police and the Local Authority.

[REDACTED] assured me he was in the process of putting all his workers through [REDACTED] a Community and Development Officer at the Jubilee Centre to be CRB checked.

From the police point of view and in speaking to [REDACTED] today, it our attention to become more involved in the New Deal project, advising on such issues as CRB checks.

Your views please.

[REDACTED]
Distribution list to this e-mail.

[REDACTED] manager of Lifelong Learning for Community and Development.

[REDACTED] manager Children's Services, Unity House.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Patel, Sonal

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 November 2002 09:36
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Additional youth services project

Its fine by me

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 November 2002 09:11
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Additional youth services project

What do you think ? I do not mind the separating of the bids and agree there is some mileage to this as long as we ensure they support each other and have the same general aims (Connexions related) ?

Let me know - I will go along to the Youth Strategy Meeting tomorrow and see if there is any movement

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 November 2002 11:52
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Additional youth services project

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] has emailed me as follows.

[REDACTED]

bin the copy you have. After my meeting at the Coulter centre, i have revamping my bid to meet the good ideas put forward to me by the committee.

I am attending the next meeting with a completed bid form and an outline of the revamped bid.

To confirm, i am no longer going to be included in the additional youth services project, and i am going it alone with a single Education Welfare Service bid.

brian

As it happens, I am now more inclined to agree with [REDACTED] re separate applications. As long as we tie them in to each other by cross-referencing within each application and covering letters. I am thinking that perhaps the covering letter could include an overall financial picture for all the components of the same "batch". Perhaps we can discuss this further.

Vis a vis the next step, I have sent yours and [REDACTED] (first draft) off for informal assessment by colleagues in London. If the response is good, we can launch straight into the formal appraisal process. If not so good, we re-visit and modify. We may need to do some re-visiting anyway, just to fine tune the linkages, but at the very least, we should have a clearer picture of possibilities by the next Youth Strategy meeting.

Best wishes,
Martin

[REDACTED]
Marsh Farm Community Development Trust
Coulters Building, Northwell Drive
Marsh Farm, Luton LU3 3UH.
01582 512555
[REDACTED]

=====
----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2002 3:30 PM
Subject: RE: Additional youth services project

[REDACTED]
> It is the same as the one I have seen (if it is the same as [REDACTED] 'other'
> one then apologies from me as I am merely confusing matters !)
>
> As we now have bids written up what is the next step for us - any
> advice gratefully received
>

[REDACTED]
>
> -----Original Message-----

> From: [REDACTED]
> Sent: 05 November 2002 15:22
> To: [REDACTED]
> Subject: Re: Additional youth services project
>
>

[REDACTED]
> Just to clarify, is the attached one and the same project?
>
> Can you add any modifications directly thereto and send along to me?
>
> Many thanks.
> [REDACTED]
>
> -----
> [REDACTED]

> Marsh Farm Community Development Trust Coulters Building, Northwell
> Drive
> Marsh Farm, Luton LU3 3UH.
> 01582 512555
> [REDACTED]
>

> =====
> ----- Original Message -----

> From: [REDACTED]
> To: [REDACTED]
> Cc: [REDACTED]
> Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2002 2:38 PM
> Subject: RE: Additional youth services project
>
>

> > Thanks [REDACTED]
> > I'll ask [REDACTED] to ensure that we link in but also to ensure the EWO
> > bid that [REDACTED] prepared is no longer necessary as you are pursuing
> > this aim instead.

> >
> > Can you ensure we get full details when the appropriate time comes
> > as it will be important to ensure we all know what each other is doing ?
> >

> > Thanks
> [REDACTED]
> >

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: [REDACTED]
> > Sent: 05 November 2002 14:08
> > To: [REDACTED]
> > Subject: RE: Additional youth services project
> >
> >
> > [REDACTED]

> > i really did get a very good reception about my bid. there were a
> > small number on the committee who were worried about the count
> > aspect of an
EWOS

> > work, but i think i can get over it. There was a desire for work of
> > a 'soft' welfare nature, which i can include, basing of the new
> > member of staff was raised, i have agreed that it could be with the
> > MF outreach
> > team.
> >

> > I am now going for a full time worker to encompass the extra duties
> > and
i

> > feel sure that it will go through.
> >
> > [REDACTED]
> >

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: [REDACTED]
> > Sent: 05 November 2002 09:09
> > To: [REDACTED]
> > Subject: FW: Additional youth services project
> >
> >

> > For info - I'll keep you posted with any further info that comes my
> > way
> >
> > [REDACTED]
> >

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: [REDACTED]
> > Sent: 05 November 2002 08:24
> > To: [REDACTED]
> > Cc: [REDACTED]
> > Subject: Re: Additional youth services project
> >
> >
> > [REDACTED]

> > Can you put any cost figures to your paper?
> > Difficult to proceed without.
> > Many thanks.
> > Yours,
> > [REDACTED]
> >
> > [REDACTED]

> > The other two are looking good. Am thinking perhaps of not fully
> > integrating into one form but of sending them all in at one and the
> > same time as a batch. Will discuss with colleagues.

> > Ciao,

> [REDACTED]

> >

> > -----

> > [REDACTED]

> > Marsh Farm Community Development Trust Coulterns Building, Northwell
> > Drive

> > Marsh Farm, Luton LU3 3UH.

> > 01582 512555

> > [REDACTED]

> > =====

> > ----- Original Message -----

> > From: "[REDACTED]"

> > To: [REDACTED]

> > Cc: "[REDACTED]"

> > [REDACTED]

> > Sent: Monday, November 04, 2002 1:05 PM

> > Subject: Additional youth services project

> >

> >

> > Attached you will find a completed application form for the service

> > CfBT would like to include in the project MF Appraisal1.doc.

> >

> > [REDACTED] has produced his ideas in Marsh farm.doc and [REDACTED] in

> > CNX

> > NDC

> > [REDACTED]

> >

> > The CfBT document has identified project outputs from the NDC and

> > SRB

> > output

> > codes but has yet to quantify these.

> >

> > Regards

> >

> > [REDACTED]

> >

> > [REDACTED]

> > Business Development Co-ordinator

> > CfBT Advice and Guidance

> > Tel: 01582 439161

> > Fax: 01582 725877

> > Mobile: 078844 90481

> > Mobile: 07884 490481

> > email: [REDACTED]

> >

> >

> >

> > If you have received this email in error, please delete it. Any

> > views or opinions are those of the sender and do not necessarily

> > represent those

of

> > the organisation. This email has been checked for known viruses;

> > however

> > we

> > cannot accept liability for any damage sustained as a result of a
software

> > virus. The organisation reserves the right to monitor emails in

Patel, Sonal

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 November 2002 08:27
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Minutes of Last Meeting
Attachments: MINUTES FOR SAFETY SQUAD MEETING 25.11.02.doc

Please find attached the minutes to the last Safety Squad meeting held on 25th Nov.

Kind regards
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

MINUTES FOR SAFETY SQUAD MEETING

25th November 2002

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Marsh Farm Outreach Worker 07957 616479
[REDACTED] Marsh Farm Outreach Worker 616656

[REDACTED]

Apologies:

ACTIONS

1. Introductions

Everyone introduced themselves. The road safety team and outreach workers were welcomed to join the group.

The group expressed their appreciation for the Road Safety Team coming on board.

2. Actions from Previous Meeting

Actions

3. Goody Bags

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

ALL
ALL

4. Meeting Dates

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

5. Other Issues

[REDACTED]

Alan Collins

6. Date of Next Meeting

[REDACTED]

Patel, Sonal

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2002 12:49
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Criminal Record Bureau checks on youth workers involved in the New Deal project

As you are aware in speaking to [REDACTED] I have identified a number of concerns that exist within the New deal project in Marsh Farm.

I became involved in a Child Protection case on the 5th of November, involving a 16 year old male video recording a violent domestic which occurred outside the Purley Tavern public house on the 31st of October. The incident involved [REDACTED] who's 5 month old child was also present. The video has been seized by police and clearly shows [REDACTED] assaulting [REDACTED] with a set of Nunchaku. As a separate issue this incident will be referred to Social Services in relation to the concern I have for their child. Even though Ms.Ridley has refused to co-operate with the police I intend to arrest [REDACTED] based on the video evidence.

In speaking to the 16 year old boy it appears he was asked by [REDACTED] to go out into the community and video-record items of interest - [REDACTED] I discovered was a youth worker for the New Deal project. This presents a serious concern for all parties involved in this project. [REDACTED] has numerous convictions for violence and possessing and supplying controlled substances. He is also wanted for another serious matter in Luton, dating back to 6-6-01.

I have contacted [REDACTED], manager of Lifelong Learning for Community and Development who confirmed with me that the New Deal project was managed by [REDACTED]. The council upto this point had had some involvement in the project but [REDACTED] shared my concern that it appeared youth workers were not being given the appropriate level of clearance (Form X checked by the CRB) to work with children.

On the 6th of November 2002, I visited [REDACTED] the New Deal premises in Marsh Farm. It was to be a private meeting but [REDACTED] (previously of Exodus) and [REDACTED] also wanted to listen to my reasons for being there. [REDACTED] said he was the chairman of the Marsh Farm Community Development Trust and [REDACTED] was the Vice-Chair. I asked for [REDACTED] leave but allowed [REDACTED] to stay. I established New Deal had allowed [REDACTED] group called the Marsh Farm Outreach Limited which included [REDACTED] to be paid by New Deal to work with children and young persons in Marsh Farm. I asked [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] if all the youth workers had been CRB checked and they said they hadn't. Infact it had not occurred to them to do this, [REDACTED] asking me what would I advise.

[REDACTED] has now decided to insist all youth workers are CRB checked. I clearly pointed out to him that [REDACTED] ought to no longer work for New Deal based on the information I had and in-light of the violent domestic incident in the street.

[REDACTED] is undoubtedly some-one statutory agencies can work with but I would suggest he needs clear guidance from the police and the Local Authority.

[REDACTED] assured me he was in the process of putting all his workers through [REDACTED] a Community and Development Officer at the Jubilee Centre to be CRB checked.

From the police point of view and in speaking to [REDACTED] today, it our attention to become more involved in the New Deal project, advising on such issues as CRB checks.

Your views please.

Distribution list to this e-mail

Manager of Lifelong Learning for Community and Development.
 manager Children's Services, Unity House.
 Manager Family Support 2, Social Services.
 Community Policing Team.
 Luton North.

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by MIMESweeper for the presence of computer viruses.

www.mimesweeper.com

2

7.1 Matters arising from the meeting held on 11 June 2004 : It was agreed that no matters arose except as referred to in the report of NPS to be taken under 7.2.

7.2 NPS Report :

Toilet Area - [REDACTED] said that tenders had been received for the proposed works to the toilet area. However, the Board decided that this matter should be deferred until the funding position for 2004/2005 was confirmed.

Telecommunications Mast [REDACTED] said that MFCDT had not yet considered the Application and so he was unable to respond to JGS. The Board anticipated that MFCDT would not agree to the erection of the mast and [REDACTED] was asked to liaise with MFCDT with a view to their deciding on this as soon as possible.

Primary Care Trust - The Secretary reported that the proposed lease to the Primary Care Trust is not proceeding. However, [REDACTED] said that a separate application from the Primary Care Trust was now being considered.

[REDACTED] asked [REDACTED] to confirm how long space at the CERC is reserved for "expressions of interest" as shown on the building plan. [REDACTED] said that space is not actually reserved, as the priority is to let space and if another applicant is ready to enter into a licence or lease, then an existing expression of interest would not necessarily be given preference.

7.3 Draft House Rules : It was noted that a response from MFCDT was still awaited.

7.4 Applications for Leases / Licences : [REDACTED] said that there were no other matters to bring to the Board except as discussed under the same item earlier in the meeting.

8. FINANCE REPORT

Accounts to 30 June 2004 had been circulated with the Agenda for the meeting. [REDACTED] said that while funding for 2004/2005 had not yet been confirmed, there was a clear expectation that the CERC should be kept open and that management arrangements should continue in place.

9. INVOICES

The Secretary said that invoices of Messrs. [REDACTED] for preparation and audit of Accounts for Regen Trust and PPMF had been circulated with the Agenda, together with two invoices [REDACTED] (a) for the Secretary's own legal services and services as the Secretary, and (b) for Chris Albon's work on the proposed lease to the Primary Care Trust which did not proceed. The Secretary said that there was an agreed basis of fees for leases and licences, but this invoice is being referred to the Board as the matter was not completed. The Secretary said that in view of his interest in the Griffith Smith invoices he would withdraw from the meeting while the matter was considered by the Board.

The Board then discussed the matter following which the Secretary was invited to return to the meeting. The Chair confirmed to him that all the invoices had been approved.

10. CHARITY REGISTRATION

The Secretary referred [REDACTED] query at the meeting on 11 June 2004 as to whether in view of the potential short life of Regen Trust it was really worthwhile to proceed with Charity registration. He said that he had given some consideration to the point and took the view that if there was advantage in Regen Trust being a charity in relation to the "exit strategy" for Regen Trust and a transfer of the property of People's Property (Marsh Farm) Limited to MFCDT, it would be appropriate to apply for registration, but otherwise there might be little point in this. He said that when discussions in relation to the exit strategy commenced he would take this into account in his advice, and would report further to the Board in due course.

11. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

No other business was considered at the meeting.

12. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

Friday, 10 September 2004 at 2.00pm at the CERC Building, Luton, preceded by a Joint Meeting with MFCDT at 12.00 noon.

The Board considered the Schedule of future meetings that had been circulated with the Agenda. However, it was agreed that meetings should be held at six weekly intervals (but on the basis that shorter notice meetings could be called as necessary) and so the Secretary was asked to amend and re-circulate the meetings schedule.

CHAIR

Subject: Short profile of [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I want to thank you very much for the documents you sent, and the opportunity you now offer me to submit a brief profile. I hope you find this acceptable for this purpose? Please let me know if you would prefer it on my letter headed paper.

I am 45 years of age, married with 4 children and live in Luton.

Education: University graduate.

Professional/ Training: Estate Management, Primary Health Care, Mentoring, Mental Health Work, Youth Work etc with various certificates as proof.

Work Experience: over 10 years in Social Housing Management.

over 4 years in Mental Health Settings.

2 years in Business Management Committee

(South Hampstead).

over 3 years as mentor with New Deal in London.

Over 2 years running own business

Over 5 years running voluntary association.

Involvement in Project development and management:

As a voluntary member of the business management committee of South Hampstead Housing Co-op 1992/4, I was involved in the complete estate regeneration programme after the estate was listed a grade II building with the English Heritage.

As an estate manager with London Borough of Hackney, I was involved with the large scale voluntary transfer of housing stocks from the local authority to housing associations and the various stages of the compulsory competitive tendering. I was also involved in various estate regeneration and improvement programmes spanning over a 5 year period.

Under a recent contract in February to April 2004 I worked with Presentation, a social investor in the housing sector. One of my duties was to conduct hand over and snagging exercises with the building contractors of newly built homes for the organisation.

Other:

I have served in several voluntary capacities such as;

Dalston youth Project under Partnership 2000,

Hackney Charitable Association,

Hackney New Deal, Hackney Home Start, Hackney Education Reading Project etc.

I am able to understand, interpret and implement programmes from documents and will be prepared to serve your trust when ever called upon.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



▲
▲
▲
Feed in this direction / Einzugsrichtung / Sens de chargement
▲
▲
▲

▲
▲
▲
Feed in this direction / Einzugsrichtung / Sens de chargement
▲
▲
▲

Patel, Sonal

Subject: Executive Committee Meeting
Location: Marsh Farm

Start: Thu 19/12/2002 18:00
End: Thu 19/12/2002 20:30
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Organizer: [REDACTED]
Required Attendees: [REDACTED]

Outreach Project to be discussed.

4-11-11