

[REDACTED]

From: AndrwWatt@aol.com
Sent: 08 April 2012 11:25
To: FOI
Cc: AndrwWatt@aol.com
Subject: FOI: Correspondence AGO with Lord Falconer
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

This is a Freedom of Information request.

On page 9 of his written statement of 9th June 2011 the Attorney General makes mention of consulting Lord Falconer regarding the suspicious death of Dr. David Kelly.

1. On which date(s) did the Attorney General's Office write to Lord Falconer on this matter?
2. On which date(s) did Lord Falconer write to the Attorney General's Office on this matter?
3. I ask for copies of all such correspondence referred to in questions 1. and 2..

In his oral and written statements the Attorney General sought to convey the impression that his review of the evidence relating to the suspicious death of Dr. Kelly was full and transparent. In such a context withholding the correspondence with Lord Falconer from the public would undermine the impression of transparency that the Attorney General sought to create.

Thank you

(Dr) Andrew Watt

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: AndrwWatt@aol.com
Sent: 08 April 2012 11:32
To: FOI
Cc: AndrwWatt@aol.com
Subject: FOI: Correspondence of AGO with Dr. Alexander Allan

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

This is a Freedom of Information request.

On page 9 of his written statement of 9th June 2011 the Attorney General makes mention of consulting Dr. Allan, toxicologist, regarding the suspicious death of Dr. David Kelly.

1. On which date(s) did the Attorney General's Office write to Dr. Allan on this matter?
2. On which date(s) did Dr. Allan write to the Attorney General's Office on this matter?
3. I ask for copies of all such correspondence referred to in questions 1. and 2..
4. I ask whether, at any time, Dr. Allan was asked by the Attorney General about his untrue evidence that Dr. Kelly would have had to ingest co-proxamol tablets. See my email of 6th April 2012 to Professor Flanagan, copied to Mr. Kevin McGinty of the Attorney General's Office, which is online on the "Who Killed David Kelly?" blog here:
<http://whokilleddavidkelly.blogspot.co.uk/2012/04/who-killed-david-kelly-open-letter-to.html>

In his oral and written statements the Attorney General sought to convey the impression that his review of the evidence relating to the suspicious death of Dr. Kelly was full and transparent. In such a context withholding the correspondence with Dr. Allan from the public would undermine the impression of transparency that the Attorney General sought to create.

Thank you

(Dr) Andrew Watt

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 May 2012 15:31
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: FOI
Subject: FW: Death of Dr David Kelly
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 May 2012 15:22
To: FOI
Subject: Death of Dr David Kelly

This is a Freedom of Information request concerning the death of Dr David Kelly.

The document Annex TVP3 on the AGO website includes extracts of 8 police statements given by witnesses. These witnesses are Louise Holmes, Paul Chapman, DC Coe, Vanessa Hunt, David Bartlett, PC Franklin, PC Sawyer and Nick Hunt.

I request copies of the *complete* witness statements of these individuals and to be informed of the date on which each statement was made. I note that Dr Hunt's statement of 25 July 2003 is already in the public domain.

It occurs to me that it is possible that you will not feel able to publish the remaining seven statements in their entirety. In the first instance I would be satisfied to receive the statements with any such information in the statements that can't be revealed "blackened out" ie redacted.

Please confirm receipt of this email and indicate when I am likely to receive a reply.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

[REDACTED]
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 May 2012 13:38
To: FOI
Subject: re Death of Dr Kelly

This is a Freedom of Information request concerning the death of Dr Kelly.

1. Which of the photographs taken at Harrowdown Hill on 18 July 2003 were sent to Dr Shepherd? A list of photographs, who took them (e.g. Mr McGee, PC Sawyer), their subject matter and the time taken is requested. In particular I wish the answer to be clear as to whether Dr Shepherd saw any of the photographs taken by PC Sawyer at 10.10 or thereabouts on the morning of 18 July 2003. It might be most straightforward to present the answer in tabular form with the columns: Photographer; Time photograph taken; Subject matter; Sent to Dr Shepherd (Yes/No); Date sent to Dr Shepherd.

2. Which documents raising concerns about the death of Dr Kelly were sent to Dr Shepherd and which were not sent to Dr. Shepherd? I ask for the answer in a table with the following columns: Date Material received (by the Attorney General's Office); Date Material Sent to Dr Shepherd; Who submitted the material to the AGO; Subject (e.g. issue(s) raised in the document(s)),

With respect to any documents NOT sent to Dr Shepherd, I suggest that the words "Not sent" should be inserted in the "Date Material Sent to Dr Shepherd" column.

With respect to question 2 it strikes me that the possibility of "personal information" might be raised with respect to the "Who submitted the material" question. For the avoidance of doubt both [REDACTED] and myself are content for our respective names to be displayed in the relevant column of the table requested.

3. In Paragraph 151 of his report Lord Hutton refers to a photograph showing Dr Kelly's head against the base of the tree. Was that photograph sent to Dr Shepherd?

Thank you
[REDACTED]

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSI may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Thank you for sending a copy of the 22 page letter from Lord Hutton of 3rd November 2010. I have forwarded a copy [REDACTED]

In that letter I notice that Lord Hutton refers to a letter from you to him of 18th October 2010. I request a copy of that letter.

I would also ask if the correspondence between the AGO and Lord Hutton has now all been disclosed.

If not, I ask for a copy of each item of correspondence between the AGO and Lord Hutton relating to the Hutton Inquiry or Dr. David Kelly not already disclosed.

I also remind you of [REDACTED] request on 21st August 2011 for documents that had not then been disclosed. I ask for copies of all remaining undisclosed items of those specified in [REDACTED] email of 21st August.

[REDACTED] and I have discussed Lord Hutton's letter of 3rd November 2010. It raises the possibility that it would be necessary for us to submit an Addendum to the Section 13 Application of 18th April 2012.

We would therefore ask that you disclose the documents requested above in order to keep the number of Addenda to a minimum.

[REDACTED]

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.)

In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.