Waste PFI Project ISOP Evaluation Scoresheet

Section		Max	Unadjusted	Questions in	Adjusted	Weighting	Adjusted &	Section
		Unadjusted	Score	Section	Section		Weighted	Assessment
		Score			Score		Score	
1	Technical Proposals	30	15	6	2.5	15	38	Acceptable
2	Sites & Consents	20	11	4	2.8	15	41	Acceptable
3	Funding Proposals	15	9	3	3.0	10	30	Acceptable
4	Price & Affordability	5	3	1	3.0	10	30	Acceptable
5	Coporate Structure & Security	10	6	2	3.0	5	15	Acceptable
6	Legal & Contractual	10	6	2	3.0	5	15	Acceptable
7	Capacity & Resources	15	10	3	3.3	10	33	Acceptable
8	Partnership/WCA working	10	6	2	3.0	10	30	Acceptable
9	Waste minimisation/public engagement	10	5	2	2.5	10	25	Acceptable
10	Deliverability	10	6	2	3.0	10	30	Acceptable
		135	77	-	29.1	100	287	

Commentary

Explain the rationale for any Unacceptable scores and the overall assessment

Overall	Assessm	ont

Generally good proposals which score well in a number of areas

Some concern remains about the size of the company and its ability to fund and deliver a contract of this size These are issues which will have to be adequately addressed in their bid

osals
proposals
at RDF proposals have not been fully thought through
· ·

Sites & Consents

Comparatively well advanced with sites and consents in relation to particular sites

Some concerns about whether the requirements for PPC licences have been properly considered

Some concern also about the lack of detail provided in response to some questions, particularly relating to the timetable for delivering the facilities

Funding Proposals

Concern remains about Donarbon's ability to fund the contract and their relative inexperience in this area Nevetheless, by bringing their funders and financial advisors to the clarification interview, they went as far as could reasonably be expected at this stage to allay these concerns

Price & Affordability							
Satisfactory response to a necessarily general question at this stage							

Waste PFI Project ISOP Evaluation Scoresheet

Corporate Structure & Security
Good, robust structure proposed
Good, robust structure proposed Some vagueness on the issue of warranties and guarantees
Legal & Contractual
Satisfactory response reflecting Pinsents experience in this area
Capacity & Resources
Bid team and advisors appointed
B a 11 W 11 A a a 11 WO
Partnership Working / Integration with WCAs
Satisfactory response Minimal impact on the WCAs
William at impact of the Works
Weste Minimisation / Bublic Engagement
Waste Minimisation / Public Engagement Satisfactory response. No major concerns
Gallatatory response. No major contents
Deliverability
Sensible discussion across a range of issues
Key risks noted and some mitigation measures proposed