



Ministry  
of Defence

Ministry of Defence  
Main Building  
Whitehall  
London SW1A 2HB  
United Kingdom

E-mail: [People-DPTHub-Sec-FOIBox@mod.gov.uk](mailto:People-DPTHub-Sec-FOIBox@mod.gov.uk)

Ref: FOI2023/07181

Ref: FOI2023/07316

Mr Gavin Roberts

[request-989240-427c7310@whatdotheyknow.com](mailto:request-989240-427c7310@whatdotheyknow.com)  
[request-989816-5a9ae32e@whatdotheyknow.com](mailto:request-989816-5a9ae32e@whatdotheyknow.com)


30 June 2023

Dear Mr Roberts,

Thank you for your emails of 9 June and 12 June 2023 respectively requesting the following information:

*“When is the Corruption & Cover up due to end surrounding Gulf War Veterans? It is common knowledge amongst veterans that the vaccines were responsible for epidemic levels of sickness in troops.*

*Nothing can travel through the desert hundreds of miles and Only attack 5 Nations troops and not the other 31 Nations amongst them, then travel across the Persian Gulf and once again ONLY pick out the crews of ships from these same few Nations. Then ONLY the non deployed troops from these Nations and not the others. It was the experimental shots. So called vaccine study was a corrupt smokescreen, it was nothing like the shots troops received in numbers, like comparing apples with house bricks. There is taking the piss and there is taking the piss. MOD must hold the world record for a continued Cover Up.*

*The home Office informed us a few years ago, with corruption and cover ups. The correct procedure is to remove the matter from the hands of the alleged responsible Dept. This good practise also protects innocent personnel within that Dept. Why has this not been done? Why does the biggest organisation not carry out good practise? Are they excused? If so please provide data to support. We suggest to look in the locker in an attempt to find some decency, integrity and honour. There must be a single employee in there that has some? This *

*In the last 6 months we have been tracking a person called Jack Melling. He came under our spotlight as he had advised Congress to stop wasting time on looking for the cause of the epidemic levels of illness in Gulf War Veterans. We traced Mr Melling in more recent years, he has been responsible for getting the ONLY squalene based flu vaccine to market today. He has been responsible for the testing of it. He*

*was also responsible for the testing of hiv squalene based vaccines. The testing for the flu vaccines was tested on thousands in the fall of 2002. It does not state on which humans. Not sure where he was able to find thousands of young fit adults at the time they were preparing the troops once again for a War in Iraq. We traced Melling back further. He was only the head of developing the vaccines that were subjected to the troops on Gulf War 91. Wtaf? What was he doing as an advisor to Congress advising them to stop looking for cause? Is this the biggest Conflict of Interest known to man on MOD's Watch?*

*Does this administration want blood on its hands too?*

*We have asked MOD before what their procedures are when corruption is pointed out to them. The answer in short, was they deal with it. We believe dealing with it was digging it out of the system and NOT sweeping under carpet. Lets not forget we do not even have access to the docs that you have had.*

*Are you going to follow best practise or are you going to attempt to cover up once more against those prepared to sacrifice their lives for your freedoms?*

*Please do not reply with any further nonsense disrespecting the intelligence of every pair of veterans/families of fallen eyes that will see it. Even think about trying to do so, skip the bs and just instruct internal review and save wasting each others time.*

*If this is treatment of our troops/veterans we might have got better treatment from our enemies if we had let them run through the halls of Whitehall.*

*Treating Veterans with such disdain especially over the last 4 years in full knowledge of evidence, nothing short of a National disgrace.*

*Please show MOD data/policy in reference to MOD policy surrounding corruptions and Cover Up's protocols*

*Please provide MOD data showing MOD FOI Dept protocols that should be followed when information of corruption has been handed to them on a plate”.*

I am treating your correspondence as a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA). A search for the information requested has now been completed within the Ministry of Defence (MOD), and I can confirm that some information in scope of your request is held.

Under the Section 21 (Information accessible to applicants by other means) exemption of the FOIA, the MOD is not obliged to provide information if it is already in the public domain. The Department's policies for responding to and reporting corruption (whistleblowing and about the MOD confidential hotline) are published on the [www.gov.uk](http://www.gov.uk) website and can be found at the following links:

[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/408161/Whistleblowing\\_and\\_Raising\\_a\\_concern.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/408161/Whistleblowing_and_Raising_a_concern.pdf)

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/mod-confidential-hotline>

Under Section 16 (Advice and Assistance) of the FOIA please note that a Freedom of Information Act request is not the correct process for raising concerns about corruption within the MOD. Please use the guidance in the links that we have provided. Alternatively, if you believe a crime has been committed, you can contact the Police.

In addition, when making FOIA requests it would be helpful if you would refrain from using offensive terms such as 'taking the piss' and 'skip the bs' in your correspondence with the Department. While I appreciate that this is a subject about which you are passionate, MOD personnel receiving letters should not be subject to this type of language. Failure to do so may result in you being declared vexatious, and the MOD refusing to respond to any future FOIA requests from you on this topic. Furthermore, it is best practice to avoid making extraneous comments when submitting an FOIA request. Excessive commentary around the subject which is not directly asking for, or assisting in the request for, recorded information makes it difficult to identify what, if any, information is actually being requested from the MOD.

If you are not satisfied with this response or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of your request, then you should contact us in the first instance at the address above. If informal resolution is not possible and you are still dissatisfied then you may apply for an independent internal review by contacting the Information Rights Compliance team, Ground Floor, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB (e-mail [CIO-FOI-IR@mod.gov.uk](mailto:CIO-FOI-IR@mod.gov.uk)). Please note that any request for an internal review must be made within 40 working days of the date on which the attempt to reach informal resolution has come to an end.

If you remain dissatisfied following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note that the Information Commissioner will not normally investigate your case until the MOD internal review process has been completed. Further details of the role and powers of the Information Commissioner can be found on the Commissioner's website, <http://www.ico.org.uk>

Yours sincerely,

Defence People Secretariat