

**From:** [HCC Official]  
**Sent:** 25 April 2018 10:07  
**To:** [DfT Officials]  
**Subject:** RE: South Wye Transport Package

Thanks very much – that is appreciated.

Kind Regards,

XXXXXXXXXXXX

**From:** [DfT Official]  
**Sent:** 25 April 2018 10:04  
**To:** [HCC Official]  
**Subject:** RE: South Wye Transport Package

XXXXXXX,

I will look at the technical note and get back to you next week.

Regards,  
XXXXX

**From:** [HCC Official]  
**Sent:** 24 April 2018 23:19  
**To:** [DfT Official]  
**Subject:** RE: South Wye Transport Package

Dear XXXXX,

Further to the email below are you in a position to provide a response to this note?

Kind Regards,

XXXXXXXXXXXX

**From:** [Email from WSP]  
**Sent:** 29 March 2018 16:20  
**To:** [DfT Official]>  
**Subject:** RE: South Wye Transport Package

XXXXXX

Please find attached the VDM note which has been revised since our discussion at your office to incorporate a recommendation to use DIADEM. The elasticity tests indicate that variable demand modelling would not necessarily be required, but in discussion with [HCC Official] and [WSP] we felt we should use some form of variable demand modelling tool to be on safer ground.

With regard to the other comments and re-issuing reports, these are substantially complete and I hope to start feeding these through in next week.

Regards

XXXXXXXXXX

[wsp.com](http://wsp.com)