

---

**From:** Fiona Duhamel  
**Sent:** 22 February 2019 11:37  
**To:** HARRIS Natalie  
**Cc:** Simon Cairns  
**Subject:** FW: St Botolphs, Colchester

Natalie,

This is my position with regard to the student right of way access. I will wait to see the response from Natalie/DaVID.

Simon – For your information too. Not sure what is in the planning application but I can agree to a managed access provided it is capable of being amended in the future.

Thanks

---

**From:** Fiona Duhamel  
**Sent:** 22 February 2019 11:32  
**To:** 'David Campbell' [REDACTED] Natalie Greig [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: St Botolphs, Colchester

Dear Natalie and David,

I respect and understand your position to be able to maintain some control of the right of way access through the student demise. That is what Natalie and I discussed yesterday. We also discussed the Council's need to take a long term approach to any rights of way as we cannot afford to leave a legacy of issues for future generations.

I thought we had agreed some management of the right of way was required but this is not reflected in the wording which came through.

We must maintain a right of way through this part of the scheme, we have been consistent on this point from the start. However if you need to control access hours until we intensify the use of Berryfield, amend the joint use agreement to provide for greater public use of Berryfield or require it for redevelopment, I am happy to accept this. I would expect this access to at least provide a route through during daytime hours.

Does this help??

Kind Regards

Fiona

---

**From:** David Campbell [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 22 February 2019 11:23  
**To:** Natalie Greig [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Fiona Duhamel [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: St Botolphs, Colchester

We have agreed a sensible position on this with the planners, whilst maintaining the requirements of the police and SBD . We must be able to Maintain key welfare and pastoral care elements in the scheme or we will struggle to let and get key stakeholders comfortable. Therefore our position on this must be respected.

David Campbell  
Managing Director  
Alumno

Sent from my iPhone

On 22 Feb 2019, at 11:07, Natalie Greig [REDACTED] wrote:

Hello Fiona,

I have been advised that the right of way through the student demise is still an issue. We have suggested the drafting in the attached which should assist with the futureproofing concern you raised yesterday, as you know at the moment it is not practical to have a full and open access right of way through the student demise. There is still of course a right of way to Berryfields along the wall.

Please confirm you are content with the attached.

Best wishes,  
Natalie

Natalie Greig  
Development Manager

<image001.png>

A: 2nd Floor, 10 Frith St | London | W1D 3JF

T: [REDACTED]

E: [REDACTED] | W: [www.alumnogroup.com](http://www.alumnogroup.com)

The above email and any attached files may contain confidential and/or privileged material and is intended solely for the addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering to the intended recipient, please be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, retransmission, dissemination or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please delete the material from any computer and notify Alumno Developments Ltd by return email. Any opinions expressed in this email may be those of the individual(s) and not necessarily of Alumno Developments Ltd.

<JWAT-20190221135951.pdf>