

Objection To Proposed Development19Fu1

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: 24 July 2018 18:10  
To: Planning Mail  
Subject: Objection To Proposed Development/19Fu1

I am writing to object to the proposed development of 5 three storey houses at the bottom of Grove Street, Ashton. I can not put into words how strongly opposed I am to this development. I notice the council has made it incredibly difficult to access the website to list my objection, I also object to the withholding of information in the hope that the decision is given the go ahead without consultation from residents affected by the development. I question where my Labour Councillor/MP is during this process and would like an active, vocal Councillor/MP who fights for its voters issues, rather than produce glossy brochures and leaflets showing how productive they are, whilst receiving an extortionate wage and the luxury of expenses.

Back to the issue at hand, the development is unnecessary, we have already experienced the erection of 100 plus houses on Richmond Street, it will destroy the habitat of many animals and bring traffic chaos to an area where children play out and people walk dogs. The council has sold their soul in selling the land and I believe any objections from the public are a waste of time. The deal is already done and the houses will be built because Tameside Council is the most ineffective council in Greater Manchester. This would not happen in Didsbury, Prestbury, Alderley Edge, because rich, powerful people live there, who are professionals and tend to be listened to. Here in Ashton, we are powerless, our opinions are worthless.

I understand there is a housing crisis and my solution is for the Council to redevelop its existing buildings, not sell every piece of green land to the highest bidder. Government cuts will be another excuse, when better management of the councils budget is so desperately required.

I now expect my email to bounce, so that my response is not recorded and I doubt no matter how many objections are received and how strong the arguments are the development will be given the go ahead. I desperately hope I'm proved wrong

Regards

[REDACTED]