



Disclosure Team
Ministry of Justice
102 Petty France
London
SW1H 9AJ

Ms Linda Lawler
request-857332-850fab60@whatdotheyknow.com

data.access@justice.gov.uk

25 May 2022

Dear Ms Lawler,

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Request - 220427031

Thank you for your request dated 27 April 2022 in which you asked for the following information from the Ministry of Justice (MoJ):

I submitted a formal complaint regarding the conduct and behaviour of a Senior District Judge. You refused to investigate this Judge and gave no valid reasons for not looking into his actions. Your organisation is mandated to investigate Conduct, Behaviour and Language of any member of the Judiciary. My complaint ticked all of these boxes but not only did you refuse to investigate, you blocked me from the website; WHY?

At the trial in 2019, the Judge accepted 12 invoices which were fraudulent and related to another business and not mine. They were relating to a Heating Business and not my equestrian property or holiday lettings. Some of these invoice were actually dated before I met the Claimant and her witnesses.

He did not question a witness when she tried to change her statement on the day of the trial and admit to the subject matter which had been vehemently denied by the claimant. This new evidence was retracted once the enormity of the new evidence was made clear to the witness. This was clearly an attempt to pervert the course of justice but it was ignored.

After finding in favour of the Claimant, he refused to accept evidence which would contradict the witness statements of the Claimant and her witnesses. This evidence was taken to the High Court and found to be credible and admissible.

At the appeal in October 2021, he readily accepted a change to the witness statements of both the Claimant and her witnesses. This time, they actually admitted to the matter in hand which means they were in contempt when signing their original statements.

He would not admit they had lied (my Counsel openly accused them of lying under Oath) but said they lacked transparency, lacked candour and did not admit to the matter at the first trial as they thought it would damage their case. In other words, they lied and they have been allowed to get away with perjury on two occasions.

I believe you will not investigate this matter because it is unpalatable to think such a thing could happen in a British Court of Law. Can I have an explanation please?

Your request has been handled under the FOIA.

The MoJ considers your request to be vexatious and, in accordance with section 14(1) of the FOIA, we will not be taking it any further. In reaching this decision we have considered your request against the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) guidance on vexatious requests. A copy of this guidance can be found on the ICO website:

<https://ico.org.uk/media/for-organisations/documents/1198/dealing-with-vexatious-requests.pdf>

The complaint you refer to was dealt with correctly by the JCIO in accordance with its statutory process for considering complaints. A response was sent to you on 21 December 2021. You then submitted a duplicate complaint on 12 January, which was closed because it did not contain any relevant new information. You were informed that you could complain to the Judicial Appointments and Conduct Ombudsman if you were unhappy with how the JCIO had handled your complaint. We therefore consider your FOIA request to be vexatious because it has been made with no obvious intention to obtain information and is unreasonably persistent. Additionally, we consider that there is no wider public interest in the subject matter of your request. You have not been blocked from using the JCIO website. If you have difficulty accessing the website, please request assistance by emailing:

general.enquiries@judicialconduct.gov.uk

Appeal Rights

If you are not satisfied with this response you have the right to request an internal review by responding in writing to one of the addresses below within two months of the date of this response.

data.access@justice.gov.uk

Disclosure Team, Ministry of Justice

You do have the right to ask the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) to investigate any aspect of your complaint. However, please note that the ICO is likely to expect internal complaints procedures to have been exhausted before beginning their investigation.

Yours sincerely,

Emel Fadil

Judicial Conduct Investigations Office