

Gibbons, Frances

From: Trethowan, Jessica
Sent: 06 December 2018 09:30
To: Logan, Kate; Sebire, Heather
Subject: For info re Blick Mead damage

For info re Blick Mead damage

From: Jefferies, Martin J
Sent: 06 December 2018 09:28
To: Hancock, Charlotte; Murray-Fennell, Michael; Trethowan, Jessica
Subject: Re: Urgent: Stonehenge tunnel

Thanks all – that's all clear, and we won't engage unless it's absolutely essential that we do (which would probably be in the event that someone with a considerable following asks us a direct question, and even then we'll liaise with you first, Michael).

Martin

From: "Hancock, Charlotte"
Date: Thursday, 6 December 2018 at 09:25
To: "Murray-Fennell, Michael"

"Trethowan, Jessica"

Cc: "Jefferies, Martin J"
Subject: Re: Urgent: Stonehenge tunnel

Thanks all for this, I'll work with Martin I'm monitoring engagement today.

Best,

Charly

Sent from my iPhone

From: Trethowan, Jessica
Sent: 06 December 2018 08:00
To: Jefferies, Martin J
Cc: Murray-Fennell, Michael; Hancock, Charlotte
Subject: Re: Urgent: Stonehenge tunnel

Thanks Martin

I'll speak to Heather first thing and see what she knows of this, and get back to you Jess

Sent from my iPhone

On 6 Dec 2018, at 06:52, Jefferies, Martin J wrote:

Morning all,

The following has appeared in the Guardian this morning and is gaining traction online (it's already their fifth most popular story):

https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2018/dec/06/ancient-platform-damaged-during-stonehenge-tunnel-work?CMP=tw_t_gu

Although it doesn't reference us (and of course we're not the ones digging anything...), we'll inevitably get dragged into the discussion, so I was wondering if there's anything we would want to say, beyond pointing people towards [our position](#)?

Thanks, Martin