From: Sent: To: Cc:	HOEY, Kate [HoeyK@parliament.uk]  11 January 2013 14:00  'caroline.pidgeon@london.gov.uk'; : Daniels Leon
Subject:	RE: Clapham Gateway and Equality Impact Assessment Draft Reply
	orry we will be lobbying very hard- I personally will take this up everywhere ney which reduces the level of service to bus users will be disgraceful thanks
From: Sent: 11 January 20 To: HOEY, Kate; Cc: 'caroline.pidgeon@lo	
Dear Kate	
I can confirm that Tfl am happy to circulat	has not yet received the business case from Lambeth. When it is received, le it.
I cannot advise you	on whether you should lobby on this matter.
Best regards.	
Transport for Londor	ojects and Programmes n⊟Surface Transport ⁄8, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London SE1 8NJ   www.tfl.gov.uk
□ Please consider t	he environment before printing this e-mail
Original Message From: HOEY, Kate [I Sent: 11 January 20 To: Cc:	mailto:Hoeyx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
'caroline.pidgeon@lo	ondon.gov.uk';
	Impact Assessment Draft Reply
and that when it is yo	confirm again that no business plan has yet been received from Lambeth ou will allow us to see it. Can you also confirm that we will be able to lobby unding is NOT given if there are not live stands. Thanks Kate

From: Sent: 11 January 2013 13:37 To: HOEY, Kate
Cc: 'caroline.pidgeon@london.gov.uk';
Subject: RE: Clapham Gateway and Equality Impact Assessment Draft Reply
Dear The Control of t
As previously advised, both I and members of my team have only ever referred to the existing facility as being used to drop off and pick up passengers in briefings to the Deputy Mayor or previous Deputy Chairman of TfL. What I said, is that the current arrangements do not meet accessibility and safety requirements, as passengers have to board and alight from the carriageway. In accessibility terms this is a particular problem for wheelchair users, as the buses cannot deploy their ramps.
At each stage in the development of the proposals the views of colleagues within London Buses have been sought. TfL does consider there are advantages for bus passengers in having live stands within the Old Town (you will recall I provided Lambeth with a plan showing how this could be accommodated and recommended this option be included in the consultation). That said, both this option and the 'dead stand' option are considered operationally acceptable to London Buses.
The report to Surface Board will make clear that two options were developed and will set out the issues relating to both. However, as you will note from the attached letter from the Commissioner and Deputy Mayor to the Leader of Lambeth (dated 24 October 2012), TfL is prepared to support the borough if the requirements in respect of traffic modelling, the business case and other matters are satisfactorily addressed.
Yours sincerely
Head of Borough Projects and Programmes  Transport for London4Surface Transport  11th Floor, Zone 11Y8, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London SE1 8NJ  tel:  email:   www.tfl.gov.uk <http: www.tfl.gov.uk=""></http:>
P Please consider the environment before printing
From: Sent: 03 January 2013 10:16 To: hoeyk@parliament.uk; Cc: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
Dear

could you answer the questions I put to you yesterday? For the sake of coherence I will summarise them as follows:

- 1) Why did your team brief Daniel Moylan and Isabel Dedring that the current Clapham Old Town terminus was a dead stand used by passengers at drivers' discretion when that is factually wrong?
- 2) Why in November 2011 did you agree to a dead-stands only Gateway proposal with no prior consultation or notification of Leon Daniels?
- 3) Will the Surface Transport Panel have the option of funding live as well as dead stands, or will the Gateway proposal before it be soley based on dead stands?
- 4) Why have you been extensively discussing TfL funding space to be used soley for private clients of a pub for outdoor drinking?

I think if you could answer the above questions, that would assist my understand of TfL's position

\*

The contents of the e-mail and any transmitted files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Transport for London hereby exclude any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached transmitted files. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify postmaxxxx@xxx.xxv.uk. This email has been sent from Transport for London, or from one of the companies within its control within the meaning of Part V of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. Further details about TfL and its subsidiary companies can be found at http://www.tfl.gov.uk/ourcompany, This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

\*

## **UK Parliament Disclaimer:**

Yours sincerely

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

## **UK** Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not

permitted. caused by	This e-mail any virus	il has been transmitted	checked for by this e-m	or viruses, nail.	but no lial	oility is acc	epted for a	ny damage
				4				