

[REDACTED]

From: HOEY, Kate [HoeyK@parliament.uk]
Sent: 13 November 2012 15:10
To: Daniels Leon
Cc: Caroline Pidgeon
Subject: FW: Bus stop

Dear Leon You are dealing with the most incompetent Borough in London on Transport matters and yet TFL keep allowing them to get away with this shoddiness, I am beginning to think it better for TFL to take its money elsewhere Kate

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 November 2012 15:02
To: [REDACTED] HOEY, Kate
Subject: Re: Bus stop

I have to add that Lambeth/Abu/George seem to adopt the "sticking plaster" approach to planning rather than get it right in the first place.

Surely they can't all be incompetent - or can they? Three years on they should know Old Town like the back of their hand....

And Surly [REDACTED] must be aware of Lambeth's inability to get things right.

Rant over.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 2:48 PM
To: [Kate Hoey](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Bus stop

Hi Kate

This is typical of Lambeth.

- So Abu and Project Centre (both supposedly traffic engineers) both didn't realise the original bus stop location was unsafe until we told them.
- So the stop is being inset into the pavement - making a narrower pavement rather than a wider pavement as per the Council's objectives.
- So the stop is being moved east? I assume they mean west - further away from the junction with Orlando rd,
- And they have had to make it "no right turn" from Orlando rd. So traffic from Orlando heading South will have to turn left, go round the dead stands and down Old Town East - wait if there is a bus at the bus stop outside Sainsburys Local - and then continue down Old Town East - which the Council claim is not for through traffic!

The above illustrates yet again why live stands are better.

We will have to get the revised plans from TfL and look at them closely.

[REDACTED]

From: HoeyK@parliament.uk
To: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]

Subject: FW:
Date: Tue, 13 Nov 2012 13:10:26 +0000

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 November 2012 08:59
To: HOEY, Kate
Subject: RE:

Dear Kate:

Abu Barkatoolah and George Wright from Lambeth, together with their consultants, The Project Centre, came in to present the work done on traffic modelling and safety on Friday.

In respect of the bus stop near Orlando Road, they have amended the design to partially inset the bus stop to improve visibility for vehicles exiting onto Old Town West (they are also proposing that it would be left turn only out of Orlando Road, as is presently the situation). In addition, the bus stop has been moved slightly east to further improve visibility. The Project Centre has completed a safety audit on the revised design and an independent audit has also been commissioned to verify the changes address previous concerns.

We also talked through other proposed changes the Council has made in response to the consultation (such as the proposed contra-flow cycle lane on Old Town East). This resulted in certain suggested revisions to ensure the effective operation of the junction of The Pavement / Clapham Common South Side, as well as extending the loading bay on the Pavement (north of Bromells Road) and providing new parking bays (south of Bromells Road).

Lambeth and their consultants indicated that they are going to make the necessary revisions to the plans and submit them to TfL in the next two weeks.

Best regards, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Head of Borough Projects and Programmes

Transport for London ▶ Surface Transport

11th Floor, Zone 11Y8, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London SE1 8NJ

tel: [REDACTED]
email: [REDACTED] | www.tfl.gov.uk

 *Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail*

From: HOEY, Kate [<mailto:HoeyK@parliament.uk>]
Sent: 12 November 2012 12:13
To: [REDACTED]
Subject:

Dear [REDACTED]

Further to your email of the 23rd October I would be interested to hear what information Abu presented to you at your meeting on Friday - in particular the safety issues with the proposed bus stand near the junction with Orlando road.

I understand that at the Scrutiny meeting last Tuesday, George Owen from Omnibus (who become a firm supporter of dead stands since he won the bid for the Old Library) commented on the proposed bus stop.

George said that he thought it was inappropriate to locate the bus stop outside the new library because it would cause disturbance and most importantly it meant the relocation of the current zebra crossing from outside the Old Library to outside Starbucks. The current crossing is used by most of the Experian (the largest local employer) employees at George West House and would be used by George's own patrons.

George should also have mentioned that it is used by a lot of the bus passengers who alight at the current stop by George west House and head towards the High street or the tube station.

It appears to me that a bus stop outside the Old Library or Starbucks (as it was originally planned, but was moved by the Council because of complaints from the residents above) is a bad idea and not in keeping with the the guidelines that you and Leon told Lambeth. Moving the zebra crossing - as highlighted by George - is also clearly not in the local public interest.

Best wishes

Kate

UK Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

The contents of the e-mail and any transmitted files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Transport for London hereby exclude any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached transmitted files. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify postmaster@tfl.gov.uk. This email has been sent from Transport for London, or from one of the companies within its control within the meaning of Part V of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. Further details about TfL and its subsidiary companies can be found at <http://www.tfl.gov.uk/ourcompany>. This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

UK Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

UK Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.