

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** HOEY, Kate [HoeyK@parliament.uk]  
**Sent:** 03 March 2013 15:10  
**To:** Field Jo  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: Thank You For Meeting To Discuss Bus/Crime Issues  
**Attachments:** image001.jpg

Is she implying they haven't had modelling from Lambeth k

Sent from my iPhone

On 3 Mar 2013, at 14:38, "Field Jo" <JoField@tfl.gov.uk<mailto:xxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx>> wrote:

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for your email and it was lovely to meet everyone.

As [REDACTED] said in the meeting, the consultation has been reviewed by an independent body and we have asked Lambeth to submit the required traffic modelling and safety assessment for the scheme design before it goes to the Surface Board.

I will follow up on the other points raised in the meeting regarding night bus capacity in the area and drainage on the road outside the Tube station.

Kind regards

Jo

Jo Field  
Head of Campaigns and Stakeholder Engagement Transport for London  
T: [REDACTED]  
M: [REDACTED]  
E: xxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx<mailto:xxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx>

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: 28 February 2013 20:35  
To: Field Jo  
Cc: xxxxx@xxxxxxxxxx.xx<mailto:xxxxx@xxxxxxxxxx.xx>;  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Subject: Thank You For Meeting To Discuss Bus/Crime Issues

Dear Jo

Thank you for setting up this meeting: I appreciate we didn't really get a chance to discuss Clapham High Street and route development as we needed. This is why I wanted someone from service planning rather than [REDACTED] whose main job is - as the meeting glaringly revealed - smoothing political (and personal) relations with council officers. Cross-examination - as in any court - can be adversarial but never is it abusive. But I have no confidence in [REDACTED], and the

FOI evidence really did show up a high degree of obfuscation and misinformation by him and [REDACTED]. It was particularly farcical of him to feign ignorance of [REDACTED] e-mails to me about the supposed 'dead' current stand in Old Town, when in fact he advised and drafted the response. Such inconsistencies abound in the FOI. I'm sure you can understand why I feel he lacks credibility. Whilst I do understand the position he's in, he has clearly moved excessively to just approving everything Lambeth sends to him. The behaviour of the authority would give legitimate rise to doubts as to the quality and accuracy by TfL as to what it is saying. [REDACTED] seems to prioritise the mere appearance of a report, rather than whether or not it is objective or robust.

It's a little like saying that someone has A Level Chemistry merely because he/she sat the exam and answered the questions (as opposed to passing the exams and answering the questions well). Conversely [REDACTED] argument that very few people would use the proposed bus stop outside the Sainsbury's Local in Old Town, and hence the intrusion on privacy would be minimal is a non-argument. It doesn't matter whether 'only' 5 or 6 people can see into your bedroom/bathroom - it only takes one to be a voyeur. In Gateway's case TfL has allowed political relations to over-ride both common sense and legal duties regarding both accessibility and privacy.

I'd like to register my displeasure incidentally as being referred to as 'Mr B': I am a person with a name. Kindly call me in e-mails either [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] (whose surname also begins with a b) doesn't get this treatment from [REDACTED]. Neither should I.

However, I think I can say with some justification that other discussions about buses with relevant officers are far more positive - and I think Christian Van Der Nest would concur (otherwise we'd never have got the new bus stop).

I'd like then to set out a framework for Clapham-TfL stakeholder interplay. This is especially needed as Lambeth Council has given up the ghost on lobbying for transport (bikes and binge drinking appears the Council's priorities), so it is the stakeholder group of 3 that I lead on that has provided the meetings and TfL-Community synergy in the past, not just on bus, but Tube and rail.

So I'd like to meet again, or arrange a public meeting in Clapham. What I have done is always to prepare an agreed agenda (though I have no idea of what questions the audience might ask) and allowed TfL officers to make their pitch. It's always a robust but profitable evening.

We'll talk soon

Thanks again and warm regards

[REDACTED]

---

From: xxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx<mailto:xxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx>

To: [REDACTED]

Date: Wed, 27 Feb 2013 18:06:18 +0000

Subject: Re: Meeting TfL To Discuss Bus/Crime Issues

Ok. Thanks [REDACTED]

Jo Field  
Head of Campaigns and Stakeholder Engagement

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 05:18 PM  
To: Field Jo  
Subject: FW: Meeting TfL To Discuss Bus/Crime Issues

Dear Jo

further to prior e-mails: Chris Caesar of Lambeth Council may be joining us

Regards

[REDACTED]

---

Subject: RE: Meeting TfL To Discuss Bus/Crime Issues  
Date: Wed, 27 Feb 2013 14:48:48 +0000  
From: xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxx.xxx.xx<mailto:PWhite4@lambeth.gov.uk>  
To: [REDACTED]  
Hi [REDACTED]

I hope you are well

Just to let you know that Chris Caesar will be attending this meeting tomorrow and representing Community Safety and will be able to provide some valuable insights, particularly around the hub and the need to get people out of Clapham quickly. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Please let me know how you think the meeting goes and any thoughts or actions we should carry out. Happy to meet up within the next couple of weeks if you would prefer.

Thanks

Phil

---

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: 08 February 2013 12:03  
To: White4, Phillip  
Subject: FW: Meeting TfL To Discuss Bus/Crime Issues

Dear Phil

as you can see below, I've got the chance of a meeting to talk about Transport and the night-time economy. IF you want to join me, then the dates are as below (I am thinking of taking 2/3 people).

If not, then do just e-mail me your thoughts; remember TfL also deals with taxis etc - so any views or thoughts generally about Lambeth as well, let me know - especially arising out of the experience of the Hub (which I think was a success - better buses would boost the prospects of a future hub)

Best

[REDACTED]

---

From: xxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx<mailto:xxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx>  
To: [REDACTED]  
Date: Thu, 7 Feb 2013 16:34:33 +0000  
Subject: RE: Meeting TfL To Discuss Bus/Crime Issues Hi Nick

[REDACTED] and I could meet you on the following dates at Windsor House:

Wednesday 20th – 4pm  
Tuesday 26th – 4pm  
Thursday 28th – 4.30pm

Do any of these work for you?

Kind regards

Jo

Jo Field  
Head of Campaigns and Stakeholder Engagement Transport for London  
T: [REDACTED]  
M: [REDACTED]  
E: xxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx<mailto:xxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx>

<image001.jpg>

---

This email has been scanned by the BT Assure MessageScan service The service is delivered in partnership with Symantec.cloud

For more information please visit <http://www.globalservices.bt.com>

Disclaimers apply for full details see <http://www.lambeth.gov.uk/EmailDisclaimer.htm>

---

This email has been scanned by the BT Assure MessageScan service The service is delivered in partnership with Symantec.cloud

For more information please visit <http://www.globalservices.bt.com>

=====

\*\*\*\*\*

The contents of the e-mail and any transmitted files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Transport for London hereby exclude any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached transmitted files. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify xxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx<mailto:xxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.uk>. This email has been sent from Transport for London, or from one of the companies within its control within the meaning of Part V of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. Further details about TfL and its subsidiary companies

can be found at <http://www.tfl.gov.uk/ourcompany>, This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

\*\*\*\*\*

---

UK Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.