From: HOEY, Kate [HoeyK@parliament.uk]

Sent: 02 April 2013 16:50 **To:** Tagg Ella (ST)

Cc: Caroline Pidgeon; Members Correspondence; Daniels Leon; Hendy Peter (TfL); Branks

Kirsten; Hudson Teresa

Subject: Re: TfL's involvement and actions around the Clapham Gateway Scheme

I have not had this letter either by e mail or hard copy. Kate

Sent from my iPhone

Hi Caroline,

Please find attached a copy of the letter to Kate Hoey, a hard copy was posted to you last week.

Many thanks Kind Regards Ella

Ella Tagg PA to Commissioner's Office 14th floor, Windsor House 42-50 Victoria Street London, SW1H 0TL

Tel:

Email: xxxxxxxx@xxx.xxxailto:ellatagg@tfl.gov.uk>

From: Caroline Pidgeon [mailto:xxxxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 02 April 2013 16:41

To: Caroline Pidgeon; Hendy Peter (TfL)

Cc: Members Correspondence; Daniels Leon; 'HOEY, Kate'

Subject: RE: TfL's involvement and actions around the Clapham Gateway Scheme

Peter - I am concerned not to have received a response to this yet and in particular given TfL is now consulting on this matter and residents who complained din certain blocks have once again not been mailed about the consultation.

I await your urgent review

many thanks

Caroline

Caroline Pidgeon AM
Leader of the Liberal Democrat Group
Chair Transport Committee
Deputy Chair Police and Crime Committee

www.carolinepidgeon.orghttp://www.carolinepidgeon.org

From: Caroline Pidgeon Sent: 19 March 2013 10:54 To: 'Hendy Peter (TfL)'

Cc: 'Members Correspondence'; 'Leon Daniels

(xxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx<mito:xxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx.xx>)'; 'HOEY, Kate' Subject: TfL's involvement and actions around the Clapham Gateway Scheme

Importance: High

Dear Peter

I am in receipt of a detailed letter to you from Kate Hoey MP regarding the Clapham Gateway project.

Kate's letter very accurately reflects the process that has gone on with regards to this project. From the start, Kate and I have been concerned that the project is not good value for money and goes against many principles that TfL has in terms of such projects and what should be gained from such financial spend.

My latest frustration, which I expressed to Leon Daniels on the phone last Tuesday, is that despite Kate and others emailing both Leon and Ben Plowden to clarify at what point the decision would be made on this project and my phone message, and knowing we all had a huge interest in it, we were not told the date of the meeting until after a decision had been made internally. This really is unacceptable.

Certainly Kate and other residents have unearthed some new concerning evidence that shows Lambeth's consultation figures do not add up to what local people think of the scheme and this should have been put before your decision making committee.

I strongly believe you should consider reviewing this case and looking at what can be done differently for future such projects. Ideally I would like this decision put on hold whilst a full review is carried out. In my opinion Lambeth is not good at proper genuine consultation and engagement and the process in which this project has been run from their side has been biased and a closed shop. Likewise Leon has told us he thinks a live stands option in the square is the preferred option and yet TfL seems to be happy signing off substantial money to fund a dead stand option.

I await your full and detailed review of this matter.

With best wishes

Caroline

Caroline Pidgeon AM
Leader of the Liberal Democrat Group
Chair Transport Committee
Deputy Chair Police and Crime Committee
www.carolinepidgeon.orghttp://www.carolinepidgeon.org

GREATERLONDONAUTHORITY

EMAIL NOTICE:

The information in this email may contain confidential or privileged materials. Please read the full email notice at http://www.london.gov.uk/email-notice

<_0328124017_001.p	df>
--------------------	-----

UK Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.