

Response to Request for Information

Reference: 4653
Date: November 2014
Type of request FOI

Planning applications

Please supply the following information:

- 1) A copy of the layby design submitted to the Highway Authority, on which the view given in "FOI 4412 App5.pdf" dated 7th March 2014, was based.
- 2) A copy of the design for the layby which it appears would have been considered at the 7th August meeting. (In asking this, we are attempting to determine what change has been made, if any, between 7th March and 7th August, in order to explain the apparent reversal of the Highway Authority's opinion).
- 3) Copies of the minutes of the meeting on the 7th of August between Julian Clarke, Ian Dyer and Lou Mason Walsh. If the meeting was unminuted, please state this.
- 4) Copies of any correspondence (which shall include e-mails and paper agendas/minutes) between the applicant and the Highway Authority regarding such a layby subsequent to that which has already been released in our previous FOI request [5].

In the light of the imminent consideration of the applications, if part 4 will take longer to obtain than points 1-3, please send through send those points through as soon as they are available.

- 1) A copy of the layby design submitted to the Highway Authority, on which the view given in "FOI 4412 App5.pdf" dated 7th March 2014, was based.

Cambridgeshire County Council does not hold any copies, for the purposes of the Freedom of Information Act, of the proposals discussed at pre-application stage.

There were two variations proposed. One was a half-depth layby that would have left all vehicles with no option but to encroach onto the footway or carriageway. The other was a full depth layby, but the Highway Authority had concerns as set out in the response to the pre-application response, particularly with regard to possible encroachment into pedestrian routes and potential for catching a pedestrian between the delivery vehicle and the corner of 103 Mill Road.

2) A copy of the design for the layby which it appears would have been considered at the 7th August meeting. (In asking this, we are attempting to determine what change has been made, if any, between 7th March and 7th August, in order to explain the apparent reversal of the Highway Authority's opinion).

The changes made to the original design for the layby incorporated a bollard at the eastern end of the layby to protect pedestrians and widening of the footway area to be dedicated to pedestrian use. These changes were considered adequate to address the Highway Authority's concerns with regard to the initial designs for the servicing layby.

3) Copies of the minutes of the meeting on the 7th of August between Julian Clarke, Ian Dyer and Lou Mason Walsh. If the meeting was unminuted, please state this.

It has been confirmed that the meeting was not minuted.

4) Copies of any correspondence (which shall include e-mails and paper agendas/minutes) between the applicant and the Highway Authority regarding such a layby subsequent to that which has already been released in our previous FOI request [5].

Cambridgeshire County Council does not hold any correspondence (including e-mails, paper agendas/minutes) between the applicant and the Highway Authority regarding such a layby, subsequent to that which has already been released under FOI 4412.

Information Governance Team
SH1202 Cambridgeshire County Council
Castle Hill, Cambridge, CB3 0AP
Tel: 0345 045 5200
Email: foi@cambridgeshire.gov.uk