From: Sent:

28 June 2016 09:39

To:

Subject:

RE: Application Reference

160828



No. We cannot accept representations to an EIA screening opinion request. The correspondence should be treated as a mail item. The email was also sent directly to me. I will respond to Mr Troup.

From:

Sent: 28 June 2016 09:32

Subject: FW: Application Reference 160828

Is this going through as a Letter of Rep

Kind regards

Application Support Officer

Communities, Housing & Infrastructure | Planning & Sustainable Development | Aberdeen City Council | Business Hub 4 | Ground Floor North | Marischal College | Broad Street | Aberdeen | AB10 1AB

Direct Dial 01224 | Fax 01224



We are always trying to improve the quality of customer service that we provide and would like to know your views on the service you have received to help us learn what we need to do better. We would very much appreciate you taking a few moments to fill in our short feedback form by clicking on http://www.aberdeencity.gov.uk/customer_satisfaction/epi_feedback.asp and selecting Development Management (Planning Applications Team) and/or Building Standards. Many thanks in advance.

From: @i-am-zeus.com

Sent: 27 June 2016 20:23 To:

Subject: Application Reference 160828

Dear Sir,

Having seen the proposed plans in the papers and seen the site boundaries as noted I wish at this early stage, if possible, to record my concerns, which fail into multiple categories

- The Stadium is located out with the main city & therefore most people will be unable to walk to it. (Environmental & Transport impact)
- The public transport passed the site is at best 8 buses per hour (capacity 80 max) that's 640 out of 20,000 whereas at present there are the busses on King Street bring people from the North and South separately
- The proposed site is near to, but crucially has no direct access to the AWPR (Environmental & Transport impact)
- The proposed site does not have direct access to the A944/AWPR roundabout to clear traffic (Environmental & Transport impact)
- The proposed site involves a right turn across the A944 Dual carriageway, this means traffic lights and a filter. An idea of the volume would be at least 3,000 cars? (Environmental & Transport impact)
- The proposed site access is within 200m of the A944/AWPR roundabout assuming 2 filter lanes and a 5m car space (from car park spaces) that gives 80 cars as maximum queue before traffic builds on to A944/AWPR roundabout
- Once traffic has blocked A944/AWPR roundabout, traffic will inevitably back up onto the AWPR

Another key factor to note is that the A944 is the route of Emergency services to/from Aberdeen to Westhill and beyond. Having a father who has more "blue light specials" than I care to think about, from Westhill to ARI (CCU/A&E) and they having neighbours who have had the services of Anderson Drive Fire Station, I would not like to see the consequences to traffic chaos at A944/AWPR roundabout.

Also worthy of note is that on the thankful relatively rare occasions when the A944 has been blocked by accidents, there are no other routes that can handle the volume of traffic. The roads to the north enter Westhill on a single road, (at South Auchinclech Crossroads) all of them aren't wide enough to allow two HGVs to pass at speed. To the south there is the Blacktop road which has passing places and Malcolm Road which sends the traffic through Cults/Milltimber/Peterculter.

I would suggest that the applicant consider the following to mitigate

- Direct connection to at least A944/AWPR roundabout to ease traffic flows
- A shuttle bus service from the city direct to site
- A shuttle bus service from the existing P&R at Kingswells to site

