

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [Gale Beattie](#)
Subject: FW: Kingsford
Date: 04 May 2018 14:55:47

I would like to try and make this discussion as open as possible - any comments on the suggested agenda from [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@aberdeenshire.gov.uk]
Sent: 04 May 2018 13:13
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Kingsford

[REDACTED]

That's fine, I have advised [REDACTED] he is not required for this meeting. In terms of an agenda and with the decision now issued, below are the remaining points we envisaged as points for discussion for the March meeting with extra comments in red. Appreciate the JR issue remains.

1. Proposed conditions 5, 6 & 7 – how are these envisaged to work, are the applicant / City set on these conditions?
2. Process - has there been consideration of any alternatives, how would an application to vary / remove these be dealt with?
3. Bridge option – design changes (*equalities principles*), depending on application site two applications reqd., fee? (*excluding discussions on detailed design*)
4. CPZ – consideration of process (mechanism for developer funding (*promotion, implementation & enforcement*), survey Westhill residents, promotion of TRO, committee decision, possibility of refusal)?

[REDACTED] may have some other thoughts when he returns.

Regards, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Planning and Building Standards
Infrastructure Services
Aberdeenshire Council
Gordon House
Blackhall Road
INVERURIE
AB51 3WA

Tel: 01467 [REDACTED]